- 1 A Workshop on Religion in Global Civil Society
- 2 South-Southeast Asia Focus
- 3 January 15, 2011
- 4 Loma Pelona Center UCSB

5 Welcome, Introductory Comments, Workshop Goals

- 6 Mark Juergensmeyer This is a series of workshops that are part of a project sponsored by the
- 7 Luce Foundation, which is in turn, a part of a larger initiative on Religion and International
- 8 Affairs that the Luce Foundation again promoted several years ago. It funds projects in a dozen
- 9 schools, primarily of International Affairs around the country Columbia, Kennedy School,
- 10 Princeton a variety of schools where International Affairs is taught. We are included within this
- circle of projects, not because we have a School of International Affairs, but because we have a
- program in Global and International Studies that focuses on leadership training for people going
- in international NGO work. That was a niche that was of a particular concern to the Luce
- 14 Foundation, which has training for policy and business and journalism, and other aspects of
- 15 International Affairs, which are considered increasingly important to the NGO sector around the
- world, which is becoming a very important part of global civil society. Training for that
- leadership, of course, requires an awareness of what is going on in the world, the culture of the
- world, and religion requires an awareness in a way in which traditional training Schools of
- 19 International Affairs have not been well-equipped to deal with.
- 20 So it's this *lacuna*, the sense that there's an absence of knowledge about religion and the
- 21 importance of religion in international affairs that propelled the Luce Foundation into this
- project. They've also funded a series of books that the Social Science Research Council in New
- 23 York City is involved with and I've been involved with one of those projects as well. So we're
- very proud to be a part of this circle of projects funded by Luce and aware of this relationship
- and delighted that we have a good working relationship with some of the other projects funded
- by Luce, including the one at Georgetown. Katherine Marshall, Lord bless her, despite her
- 27 unbelievable and hectic schedule, has been able to be with us for most of the workshops that
- 28 we've had thus far, and provide a real link between the program with the Berkley Center for
- 29 Religion and Public Policy. Is that right, Katherine?

Katherine Marshall: "Religion, Peace, and World Affairs".

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

Mark Juergensmeyer: Oh there you go, "Religion, Peace, and World Affairs" at Georgetown University. Katherine is formerly with the World Bank, has been the head of that project and also our staunch ally and colleague and we're delighted to have Katherine with us again. So that's the basic idea of the project. We have these workshops as a way of generating ideas. Now I turn to the format because it may be a little unsettling if you're used to having formal paper presentations where everybody sits around stonely as people drone on in their fashion and then there's a few minutes left for frenzied conversation after the paper. Well, that's not the way we work. We discovered over the years that the frenzied conversation after the papers is really the best part, so we've just done away with the papers, and what we've asked instead is for you to have a few thoughts in mind to help kick off the conversation. We've also discovered over the years that one day is pretty much enough. After a day of this kind of conversation, there's not going to be much more productivity in the second or third day. So we've tried to engineer these conversations, by having one day of an intensive and productive encounter. It's based on your thoughts and your creative response to what other people have said in response to the questions that we pose. And this [picks up a report] will be the product of this workshop. We have this recorded for this purpose, the comments are transcribed and edited into a little report like this one that just came off the press last night which was of the earlier workshop. We usually have two workshops a year, one in the region that we're focusing on which this year is South and Southeast Asia, and so we met in Delhi in September and we're able to bring people from the region into that workshop and then, of course, this workshop here. So if you're curious of what we do and how we do it, this is it [points to report]. Again, if you're expecting a sort of report that laboriously goes from the first panel to the last and tries to sink everything into a very linear fashion, you're going to be surprised and I hope not disappointed, to discover that's not the way we work. We try to write this the way most people read reports, they kind of flip through it and look for something that leaps out that they are interested in. So that's what we've tried to do, design a non-linear report that simply encapsulates little chunks of information and comments that we thought were particularly relevant. So that as you flip through the thing, if you see something that interests you, captures your eye, you'll spend a little bit more time with it. But we don't assume that people will sit down and read this laboriously from beginning to the end

because, quite frankly, I don't think that ever happens with reports.

62 63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

So the end product of this will be some kind of resource product, and I'm hedging my words on that because initially we thought it was being a printed, paper, handbook for courses in International Affairs, on the role of religion in international NGOs. And there may be something like that, but we might also, and this is where Paul and the videotapes will help out, because we're preparing a series on YouTube of clips from the workshops, from the comments and from our other field studies that might also be useful as a film repository for people to use in classes or to consult with. As well, there will be a website, with the comments on cyberspace, in addition to a printed version. So there may be three different kinds of formats in which this material will be available ultimately for people in classes, as well as a whole range of other materials. Again, we're trying to work with the Berkley Center in Georgetown and other institutions who are working in the same area, and the website at Berkley, by the way, is fantastic, they have wonderful resources and the kind of work that Katherine has done with the interviews and so forth has been additional resources in this area. You should check it out. So that's what we do, we're trying to raise large issues of religion and public life, and by that we mean not just political life, but what we're describing loosely as "global civil society", a kind of the "world of citizen" efforts to try to improve relations and the life standards of people around the word and the humanitarian relief agencies in human rights organizations that are poised towards meeting the same goals, and the international community of people involved with it. But whenever you get a community of people, you get different cultural assumptions, the whole baggage of religious, as well as ideological, positions. So it's to tease out those kinds of issues that emerge in those conditions of cultural confrontation that we have these discussions and try to produce some movement towards the reconciliation where contestation seems particularly difficult. You're welcome in the conversation, by the way, to tell stories and to give examples, not just make didactic points and lead the conversation - let the conversation lead wherever it wants to. We have somewhat arbitrarily asked a series of questions that we're interested in. We don't

expect that all of our comments will be talking about *only* those things and only those sessions.

- 91 So we're not going to worry if the topic strays in a somewhat different direction, and you
- shouldn't be worried either.
- 1've introduced the project. I'd like all of us to introduce ourselves. I'm Mark Juergensmeyer,
- I'm the Director for the Orfalea Center for Global and International Studies, but in my real life, I
- am also a scholar, deeply committed to South Asia and concerns with religion and politics which
- have been part of my academic career.
- 97 So would each of you just take a minute to introduce yourself? Tell us not only about your own
- 98 research work, but with the institution that you're associated with and anything that might be
- 99 useful about your background that would help us in our conversations this morning.
- Surichai Wun'gaeo: Thank you Mark. My name is Surichai Wun'gaeo, I'm a sociologist
- teaching at Chulalongkorn University in Bangkok. I'm now running a center called The Center
- for Peace and Conflict Studies on campus. Until last year I have been Asia Rural Sociological
- Association president in my region, research and rural studies. So I'm very happy to be here.
- Mark Juergensmeyer: Surichai has just come from Bangkok, so we're delighted that you've
- come and we hope that you have overcome jetlag...we promise to be stimulating and keep you
- 106 awake!
- 107 **Ria Shibata:** My name is Ria Shibata and I'm currently enrolled in the graduate program of
- Global Studies at Sophia University in Tokyo, Japan. My thesis is on the role of religion in
- 109 global civil society, a topic that was actually inspired by Professor Juergensmeyer's book
- 110 Religion in Global Civil Society, which was used as a textbook in our classes. So I will be
- focusing my research on two prominent international Buddhist NGOs, Soka Gakkai and Rissho
- 112 Kosei-kai and so that's what I will be talking about.
- William Headley: Good morning, everybody! My name is Bill Headley. Presently I'm the Dean
- of the Joan B. Kroc School of Peace Studies at the University of San Diego. We have two
- institutes, a transporter institute and an Institute of Peace and Justice, and a growing master's
- degree program in Peace Studies. Prior to coming to the University of San Diego, about three
- and a half years ago, I found myself with Catholic Relief Services a very large relief and
- development agency in the Catholic Church. I was councilor to the president, and if you know

the Catholic system at all you'll know Brian Hare, a very famous "political theologian" out of 119 Boston. I said to them at that time, that in getting me to replace Brian they got twice the hair and 120 half the brains. I am a member of the Spiritan community, sometimes called the Holy Ghost 121 122 Fathers, and I'm delighted to be here. 123 **James Donahue:** My name is Jim Donahue. I'm the president of the Graduate Theological 124 Union in Berkeley, Professor of Social Ethics, and I am a friend of Mark Juergensmeyer. I first 125 got to know Mark in his Berkeley days, when he was both in UC Berkeley as well as the Graduate Theological Union. The GTU, as we refer to the Graduate Theological Union, is a 126 graduate university and a consortium of ten theological institutions that focuses on inter-religious 127 research, conversation, and programs; the topic of global civil society and the role of religion is 128 129 central to what we do. My own area of research and interest is in religion and politics. It has a decidedly American focus, but I've spent a fair amount of time in my role as president of GTU in 130 Asia, not so much of South/ Southeast Asia, but in Asia. I'm just delighted to be here. 131 The GTU is a place we have 1300 students in graduate programs, PhD, and Master's programs 132 that will be going off into the world as academics, ministers, or whatever - that is, a global world 133 and to understand the role of religion in the context of their work, whatever that might be, is 134 absolutely critical. So I come to this meeting -and I'm just delighted to be part of this project -135 with an interest in thinking about, "What does it mean to educate the next generations of leaders 136 that will be going into global civil society with a particular focus on religion?" I'm delighted to 137 138 be here. I look forward to our time together. 139 Mary Zurbuchen: Good morning, my name is Mary Zurbuchen and I am the Director for Asia and Russia programs at the Ford Foundation International Fellowships Program. The 140 141 headquarters is in New York, although I'm currently based here in Santa Barbara. I've been working for almost one decade with this IFP program, which is dedicated to expanding access 142 and equity in post-graduate education for people from developing countries. We have supported 143 over 4,000 people at the MA and PhD level who are selected on the basis of their social 144 145 commitment as well as their academic talent. I've spent almost 20 years working with Ford Foundation programs in South and Southeast Asia, 146 primarily in Indonesia, but also in India. I'm delighted to be here and to participate in this 147 particular dialogue. My research interests have been Humanities and Southeast Asian Studies 148

and I have spent time teaching Southeast Asian Studies and Indonesian Language and Literature 149 in UCLA and Berkeley in the past. Recently, I headed a project to study historical memory and 150 legacies of conflict in Indonesia. Thank you. 151 **Barbara Metcalf:** I'm Barbara Metcalf, and in keeping with remembering our links with Mark, 152 153 mine goes back to fellow graduate student days at Berkeley when we taught our first course together. My career has been within university teaching, not in any of these more active kinds of 154 155 organizations, and I have focused on the history, primarily, of Muslim renewal movements, on the organization of the educational system in the colonial period, and on a range of individual 156 thinkers and movements. I particularly have worked on reformists who are known as Deobandis 157 who have brought me everything from the Taliban to Tablighi Jamaat, which if you hadn't heard 158 159 of before is in Lamia's little paragraph which she circulated to us. 160 One of the ways I've tortured my students, over the years, is to persuade them never to use the 161 word "religion" but to always say something more precise – are they talking about 162 communitarian interests, are they talking about ritual practice and so forth - and always to kind 163 of "X" out the word Islam and try to press them on whether or not what they're talking about is 164 the Islamic invasion of Iberia or something about Bedouins or something about North Africa. So 165 that's my annoying perspective on the larger question. 166 Katherine Marshall: I'm Katherine Marshall and I'm now at the Berkley Center, which Mark 167 introduced earlier. It's four and a half years old coming up to five at Georgetown University, 168 which of course is a Jesuit University. The idea of the Berkley Center, and by the way, it's 169 Berkley without one "e," so it's Berkley as in the person. People are always confused as to where 170 171 this place is, but it is in Washington [DC]. Bill Berkley gave a grant for the initial start-up and it deals with religion, peace, and world affairs. I do the global development side that I'm going to 172 talk to you in about a second. I also head an NGO, which is called the World Faiths 173 Development Dialogue, which is a piece of my history and how I got here. I am a practitioner, a 174 newbie in the world of the academy, and I start very much from international development, 175 social justice, and problems of poverty in the world. So, I tumbled from graduate school, which 176 was multi-disciplinary, where actually Richard Falk, who's not here yet, was one of my 177 professors in the Woodrow Wilson School in Princeton. 178

179 After some consulting at the World Bank, where I spent more than half of my life, 35 years of 180 working mostly on Africa, but I also, in the context here, lived through the East Asia Crisis. I 181 was responsible for social policy in the governments during that period. But at that point, Jim 182 Wolfensohn, who was the president of the World Bank at that time, got together - we're not quite 183 sure how these two boys got together - with George Carey when he was Archbishop of 184 Canterbury and decided that in the whole development field, religion was completely invisible or 185 186 if it was not invisible, it was subject to tremendous tension. It was part of the globalization movements and people throwing tomatoes...bitter, bitter conflicts whether it was over debt or 187 structural adjustment or whatever, but their idea was that this made no sense to have these worlds 188 apart because the objectives were basically the same, which was to address the issues of poverty. 189 190 So they got together an inter-faith group, first of all at Lambeth Palace in England and then the 191 US, and decided to set-up an NGO: the World Faiths Development Dialogue. What's interesting and relevant about this, well partly it's the irony that it was the World Bank 192 and the whole sort of global development that was first in this, which was completely the facet of 193 individual leadership. The other thing was that all hell broke loose and Wolfensohn was always 194 proud of saying that it was 184 countries engaged, reaching out to this world of religion, which is 195 an exaggeration and there's a whole story around that. But the point was that trying to bring 196 religion into the discussions on global poverty was, and remains, very difficult with a whole set 197 of tensions around it. But, it persisted. And this very small NGO was way under the radar screen, 198 and was moved from the UK to the US a few years ago. The World Bank, meanwhile, 199 200 marginalizes this work as far as it can. I eventually moved to Georgetown after [inaudible] there. 201 We also are the proud beneficiaries of Luce grants, I think both of us go back to the beginning of the Luce program. What we're doing on development is what we call "mapping," which is really 202 203 trying to figure out who's doing what and where around development. We've done that geographically, and what's relevant for us here is that for the past year and a half we focused on 204 205 Southeast Asia first and South Asia. I've just returned from a consultation on South and Central Asia in Dhaka, earlier this week. So we've been doing a very similar parallel effort to try to 206 207 understand, though we look at it through development, what issues are that emerge. So we can

discuss those later.

We also are doing "issues mapping." Most recently we did tuberculosis and malaria, looked at corruption, and looked at gender issues. There's a lot of interesting gender issues and obviously religion is associated with it, though not necessarily, shall we say, positive dimensions of religion. With the WFDD, we're doing a host of other things, just to mention two: first the USIP, the US Institute of Peace, which asked us to look at women, religion, and peace and conflict. Second, the Gates Foundation has asked us to look at religion and agriculture. It's always sort of, "Okay we're working on agriculture, we're working on this, what's religion got to do with it?" What does it have to offer?" We are also doing a lot of work on Cambodia, and the view on Cambodia was that you can't get serious about these topics until you look at a country and actually look at how does this work, how does it play through...that again, is another story. **Thomas Uthup:** My name is Thomas Uthup and I'm with the UN Alliance of Civilizations. I assume Mark is going to talk about our programs later during lunch time? That's what the program says...for now, I will just stick to why I am interested in this subject. I think part of it has to do with personal reasons. I come from an extremely multi-civilizational background. My ancestors are supposedly this Jewish community that converted to Christianity in the first century in Yemen and then migrated to India in 352 AD. So I grew up in a largely Hindu country, raised Catholic, came to the United States, studied Islam as part of my dissertation, which was on religious values and public policy with a focus on Islam and development, and I'm married to a woman of Slovak-German descent, who's a third generation Midwesterner. I went to Baylor University, a Baptist university, for a Journalism degree, and before that I was at a Jesuit undergraduate institution and then got my PhD at a state institution. In terms of interests, my interests are really in how religion affects politics, but in a very broad sense, but specifically how do religious values affect policy actions, whether it is in the formulation of goals, whether it's in the instruments that I use to accomplish those goals, or how the population evaluates public policy goals and means. Obviously religion plays a very interesting role in affecting those perceptions. Just a brief introduction on what the Alliance is doing, and hopefully we'll talk a little bit more about that at lunch, but religion obviously plays a great deal in what we are trying to do, because what we are essentially going to do is bridge the divides between cultures, with a special focus on the so-called "Islam-West divide" and counter-polarization and extremism. So we're the UN

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

platform for many activities having to do with religion. I'd like to think we're probably the only 240 UN Organization that actually explicitly views religion as an issue and there are many UN 241 organizations working with faith-based groups...we can talk about that. 242 **Muhamad Ali:** Hi, good morning everyone. My name is Muhamad Ali. I am an Assistant 243 244 Professor in the Religious Studies Department at UC Riverside and also Southeast Asian Studies and we are also working on a minor in Islamic and Middle Eastern Studies. Also I'm still in 245 246 contact with Global Studies and Islam, and they bring different things and I try to affiliate with different programs that I'm interested in. I've been working on Southeast Asia, specifically 247 Islam, although I teach religions in Asia and Southeast Asia; the interactions between religion in 248 Southeast Asia. I've been working on, for example, Muslim and Christian conflicts in the 249 250 Malukus. I published a book about multi-culturalism and pluralism and now I'm working on the history of pluralism in Indonesia. I'm very delighted to be here and a participant of this 251 wonderful conference. I'm so glad and I know I will learn much from everyone. Thank you. 252 Caroline Meyer White: Hi, I'm Caroline Meyer White and I'm based in Denmark. I work 253 currently with Engineers without Borders as a Project Manager of relief work in Pakistan. I've 254 been in Pakistan a couple of times, working for three different organizations, Engineers without 255 Borders, a local NGO the Development Foundation, and a Pakistani-Californian NGO 256 PAKSBAB, which builds straw-made houses in Pakistan. All the work has been very small 257 258 projects, but I've been in the field working with our beneficiaries and our local staff. At home in Denmark I am still finishing my Engineering degree, and I have a small business together with a 259 260 couple of friends where we build contracted straw-made houses. Yes, I'm very delighted to be here, I feel that I'm already learning a lot, learning to understand what it is that I'm doing. 261 Elizabeth Collins: My name is Elizabeth Collins, I teach at Ohio University in Classics and 262 World Religions and also in the Southeast Asian Studies Program. I do most of my scholarly 263 work with Malaysia and Indonesia. I consider my field of interest to be religion, politics, and 264 development so I'm really excited about the kinds of conversations that will emerge today. I 265 work with an NGO in Indonesia that I helped to found, called Yayasan Nurani Dunia, that started 266 working with refugees from the conflicts between Muslims and Christians in the Eastern part of 267 the country. We moved on to working with the victims of the Marriot bombing, projects with the 268 American Embassy, and then to the tsunami and earthquake relief in Yogya[karta] and Padang 269

and elsewhere. We have also been drawn into development work by trying to build schools in 270 refugee camps so that the children don't drop out and so that the conflict doesn't get carried 271 down to the next generation. So we've built I think 20 schools, working on participatory 272 development, on small projects and, again, with local communities. We've actually worked with 273 274 Catholic Relief Services on compiling a directory of groups working on conflict resolution in Indonesia. So, I'd like to say something for "engaged scholarship", which turns out to be really 275 fun. 276 **Philip Oldenburg:** I'm Phil Oldenburg and I'm going to start by just updating this particular 277 note. I was Director and Associate Director of the Southern Asian Institute. Since leaving the 278 279 South Asia Institute at Columbia University, where I still teach occasionally, teaching courses on 280 South Asian politics, I've just recently published a book called *India, Pakistan, and Democracy* which I have a chapter on whether the difference in religion explains why India's a democracy 281 and Pakistan is not. My research interests have tended to be on grassroots governments working 282 in the old city of Delhi, a mixed religious area in the countryside of the state of U.P., on what I 283 284 would call "participatory development," programming land consolidation in U.P. So I've been concerned about the nitty-gritty of politics and governments at the grass roots level, but I have 285 never been particularly involved in doing anything about it. 286 Mark Woodward: Good morning, everyone, my name is Mark Woodward. I am alternatively 287 288 from Arizona State, Gadjah Mada University in Yogyakarta in Indonesia, and Sunan Kalijaga State Islamic University also in Yogyakarta, where I've been for three years. I'm primarily 289 290 interested in the politics of Islam, both in Indonesia and now globally. At the moment I'm specifically looking at what could be called counter-extremist discourse and praxis, and I want to 291 292 emphasize "practice" there as much as discourse. My experience with NGOs comes primarily from the bottom-up because I know a lot of people 293 who work with various international NGOs in Indonesia and elsewhere in Islamic Southeast 294 Asia, and it looks a little bit different when you're looking at the project from a standpoint of the 295 local people who are putting it into practice than when you are looking at it from New York or 296 Washington. I could tell you lots of stories about what people don't like about the World Bank at 297 the local level, for example, some of which may reflect lack of understanding, and some of 298

which has to do with the difference between looking at the economy from micro and macro 299 levels. 300 301 Lamia Karim: Hi, I'm Lamia Karim. I am an Associate Professor of Anthropology at the University of Oregon, in Eugene, and also the Associate Director of the Center for the Study of 302 303 Women in Society, and I'm delighted to be invited back. I was here last spring, when the Mohanty's were here for the workshop on microfinance. 304 My work is on globalization, social movements, and gender. The work I did, Microfinance and 305 its Discontents: Women in Debt in Bangladesh, is coming out in March through the University of 306 Minnesota Press, and interestingly it was posted on the Huffington Post as one of the most 307 anticipated books of 2011. That book and my research really de-mystifies many of the myths 308 behind the micro-credit miracle in Bangladesh and it's 98% rate of recovery. I hope some of you 309 will have a chance to read it. I have moved on from there to a new project, and one is to look at, 310 "How does religion translate at the level of everyday life?" I'm an ethnographer, so that's what I 311 try to understand - the texture of religion in everyday life The way people practice religion in 312 various cultural contexts is very different from the scriptures. So that's the work I did with a 313 group of women belonging to the Tablighi Jamaat, and I hope that I will be able to have a chance 314 to speak about it today. The other new project I am embarking on is called the "New Silk Road." 315 It's of the cultural politics of the Asian highway, the part that's coming into Bangladesh from 316 317 Kunming, China, through Northeast India and Burma. This project, which I will start next year, brings many of my interests together – globalization and social movements. As you know, India 318 319 has separatists movements in all of the seven sister states. The Wahhabi, what we call the Wahhabi belt in Bangladesh which is on the coastal area on the Bay of Bengal, that as well as the 320 321 two Asian giants, India and China, are both struggling for hegemony and dominance in that area and access to land routes, and seagoing lines. So that's my project. 322 **Victor Faessel:** Hi, everyone, my name is Victor Faessel. I'm the Program Director of the 323 Orfalea Center for Global and International Studies. I work mainly in the coordinative capacity 324 with the various projects and faculty running through the Orfalea Center. I've been involved with 325 this project from the beginning working together with Dinah, who deserves the credit for putting 326 this workshop together. It's my pleasure to see old friends and new faces today. 327

328	Juan Campo: I'm Juan Campo, and am chairing the first panel this morning. I'm a member of
329	the UCSB Religious Studies Department and my area is Islamic Studies primarily. I just
330	published an Encyclopedia of Islam, a five-year project that they wanted done in two years, but
331	it's one volume and meant for the general public. My other research has been in the area of
332	global pilgrimages and comparative perspectives. I'm just finishing a book dealing with that
333	focusing on the Hajj and a pilgrimage in South India - which was just in the headlines because a
334	hundred people died in a stampede in Sabarimala - and then the Guadalupe pilgrimage, which are
335	my three focal points. I'm also the UCSB Campus Director for the Education Abroad Program.
336	I'm proud to say that we sent abroad this year 1000 undergraduate students to about 30 different
337	countries. We lead all the other UC campuses and we are very proud of this. We also have
338	received about 500 students through reciprocity agreements every year. So that's another sort of
339	activity, NGO participants and candidates in the making, I think. I welcome input from you. if
340	you know of opportunities for internships in countries abroad, I'd love to hear about them,
341	because more and more students are wanting to engage in internship type of activities when they
342	go study abroad. Lastly, in terms of my own involvement with NGOs, I am a participant
343	observer with respect to the Fethullah Gulen Movement and their NGO activities, in Turkey, in
344	Central Asia, and Africa, especially for the building of schools. They also have quite a presence,
345	I understand, in Southeast Asia.
346	Mark Juergensmeyer: And you were Director of the EAP Program in Hyderabad

347

348

- Juan Campo: Right, in Hyderabad and Delhi.
- Mark Juergensmeyer: We see what a great group we have and how we've tried to balance various things, not just regions of the world, but people with general expertise on religion, people
- with very specific regional knowledge, practitioners, scholars, and some of us,
- practitioner/scholars. A word of confession, as a young guy I spent a year with the Gandhian
- 353 Sarvodaya movement doing famine relief in the state of Bihar before I started at graduate school.
- 354 So even though some of us are mired in our academic work, we've also had some experience in
- 355 the real world in the areas that others of you have now dedicated your lives.

356

357

In addition to the people around the table, we've got a great bunch of graduate students, scholars,

and research scholars with the Orfalea Center. I'm not going to introduce everybody, but I hope 358 you'll have a chance to mingle during lunch. I want to add my word of thanks to Dinah for her 359 wonderful work in organizing all of this as well as to Jack Ucciferri, and Paul Lynch, two former 360 361 MA students in our MA program in Global and International studies who are doing great stuff in the real world. 362 First Session: What transformative role have religious institutions played in civil society? 363 **Juan Campo:** Welcome back, this is the first session for today's workshop and the question that 364 we're organized around is that of the transformative role that religious institutions have played in 365 civil society. What we'll do is have our presenters give a short presentation of about five minutes 366 and then we'll enter into a more general discussion. Our first panelist and discussant is Muhamad 367 Ali. 368 369 **Muhamad Ali:** Thank you. What I'm going to talk about is just five points about why Islam, in particular, has been so public, including in civil society and also the state. There must be 370 371 something in the core of Islam that makes it public in many, many different ways. And, I give five points here. 372 373 374 First, Islam and religion in general, I would assume, provides practical beliefs – so belief and 375 practice, iman and amal, for example, not only belief. People want to believe something, but they want to act according to the beliefs. I think that is quite crucial in understanding why, for 376 example, many Muslims want to act, not simply believe in something. For example, the notion of 377 khairat, the Arabic word for, "goodness." Fastabiqul khairat, the notion that they have to 378 379 compete in goodness. I think that's quite prevalent in many Muslim organizations, for example, in Indonesia and Malaysia. 380 381 Second point that I would like to make is that Islam as a religion, has to be understood in its own 382 terms, and how religion is so embedded in many public lives. Islam, in particular, emphasizes 383 collective commitment and organization. So the notion of jama'ah and jama'ah ihya, a notion of 384 collectivity - it's not about individuality, it's about collective commitment. You want to act as a 385 collective group. And jama'ah ihya itself, which means organization, - so why, for example, 386 Islam paves a way of making organizations, networking, NGOs and so on? I think part of this is 387

because Islam itself offers the possibility of making a collective commitment and organization. 388 Notions that are stressed in this particular point, for example, the notion of *taghyeer*, which 389 means to change. For example, God would not change a community of people before they 390 change themselves. This is used by different organizations, different NGOs, especially Muslims. 391 So you have the notion of taghyeer, and then you have the notion of reform, islaah, ijtihad, 392 *jihad*, all are within the core concept of change. That is the second point that I'm going to make. 393 394 395 The third point, I think, would be helpful in understanding how and why Islam has been so embedded in public life. It serves as both a unifying and dividing force at the same time; religion 396 397 is divisive as well as unifying. You cannot see religion as simply a unifying factor - it can be also very divisive, not only against other religions, but also within religions. The potentiality of 398 399 religion being a unifying and dividing force, homogenizing and diversifying force or liberating force, I think, is quite crucial. That's why you have the notion of emancipation or liberation, for 400 401 example, for Muslims to adopt and adapt it - like liberation theology. I think it's quite interesting why they are interested in adopting and adapting liberation, because they see in Islam also the 402 403 notion of liberation and emancipation. You have women's emancipation, you have liberation of the *mustad'afin*, for example, the oppressed, the marginalized and so on. So that's the third point 404 405 I would like to make. The fourth point I would like to make is that Islam itself provides internal mechanisms of 406 addressing so-called worldly or seculars issues and problems. In other words, Islam doesn't 407 differentiate religion and world, religion and secularism, for example, For example, the notion of 408 dunya, this world, and akhira, the here-after. This kind of conflation of world and religion is 409 quite key in understanding Islam being a religion of, according to most of them, the problem-410 411 solver. That's the fourth point - that's why they have, for example, the notion of shurah, bahtsul masail, bahtsul means discuss the problems within each NGO and community. The notion of 412 413 *ummah*, for example, is not the global Islamic community, that is one interpretation of *ummah*. *Ummah* has been also defined as organization. So for example, when they read the Qur'an, the 414 415 ummah forbid evil and enjoying the good. Ummah itself means to them that its their organization - my Muhammadiyah, my NU (Nahdlatul Ulama), my PKS (Partai Keadilan Sejahtera), have to 416 417 participate in this amar ma'ruf nahi munkar, the enjoining of good and forbidding of evil. There 418 *ummah* is not global, it's really localized and it becomes organizational. You have for example,

the notion of philanthropy, Islamic philanthropy, as a result of that; a humanitarianism of Islam 419 420 because of that, which has so many networks, including zakaat and welfare and so on. That's the 421 fourth point. The last point I would like to make is that Islam itself provides multi-interpretations. This multi-422 423 interpretability, the ability and flexibility within religion, and its exposure to social, political, and cultural, circumstances, allow checks and balances. For example, if you have a so-called 424 425 fundamentalist movement, then you will also have a non or anti-fundamentalist movement, a counter-movement of fundamentalism. You always have this movement and counter-movement. 426 Islam, itself, allows these multi-interpretations, to have a movement and then to counter the same 427 movement, both in the name of Islam. So everyone talks about Islam and how to pursue Islam, 428 429 because Islam itself allows that kind of difference and conflicts. I think I should end with that. So 430 five points, thank you. Ria Shibata: Hello, like I said earlier, my thesis is on how religion interacts in global civil 431 society and my focus is on two Japanese Buddhist NGOs, Soka Gakkai and Rissho Kosei-kai. In 432 433 the book, Religion and Global Civil Society, there's a contributor by the name of An-Na'im, and he mentions that the key issue, when we think about what kind of role religion can play in global 434 civil society, is whether it will enter as a kind of tactical cooperation or reluctant partnership, or 435 whether religion will resist global civil society and persist standing outside it. 436 437 I became interested in these two Japanese Buddhist NGOs, the Soka Gakkai and Rissho Kosei-438 kai, because they seem to have been quiet successful in playing an extremely active role as 439 alliance partners to the decision-makers in global civil society. What I really wanted to know was 440 441 how they actually enter the public sphere, what is the decision-making process that actually goes on internally, and what are the hurdles and obstacles that they have confronted when 442 participating as an active alliance partner to these non-religious NGOs, governments, and various 443 UN agencies. In terms of the scope of their civil society activities and in terms of the size of their 444 membership, Soka Gakkai International and Rissho Kosei-kai are both considered extremely 445 446 prominent players amongst Japanese Buddhist groups. What is interesting is that both Soka Gakkai and Rissho Kosei-kai base their activities on Mahayana Buddhism with a particular focus 447 448 on *The Lotus Sutra*. However, the strategies and the processes that they have chosen in order to

pursue their goals are quite different.

Soka Gakkai, for example, has focused on public education, on grassroots level education, probably because of the vast human global network of believers that they have, the very strong local organizations that they have built in each nation. Whereas Rissho Kosei-kai, from a very early stage, has really stressed the importance on inter-religious cooperation and I think they were involved in the founding of WCRP, today known as Religions For Peace. I think it's one of the founding members. They stress a lot of importance on inter-religious coalitions and lobbying methods. Like I mentioned earlier, Soka Gakkai's strength lies in it's vast network of believers and the strong local organizations that they have on the ground and I think their engagement in civil society activities can be seen at three different levels.

One is through millions of individual SGI members trying to interact with their families, in their own workplaces, and societies, and very much motivated by Buddhist ideals in order to express, for example, compassion in society. So, here we see religion playing a role in really inspiring and empowering individuals to actually go out there and take action. The second level is through local organizations. The Soka Gakkai and Rissho Kosei-kai are very active in promoting various humanitarian activities on the ground, whether it be disaster relief, for example, with Rissho Kosei-kai providing blankets to African nations and so on. At the second level, the individual countries undertake these two humanitarian projects on the ground. The third level is the international level. For example, the Soka Gakkai has dedicated offices in New York, Geneva, and Vienna, and these are like liaison offices and they have these elite NGO experts representing the organization to actually lobby with the UN agencies. They will determine what kind of activities the SGI will undertake internationally, for example, to contribute to a political discourse on nuclear disarmament.

What I have realized, based on this research is that the process is not that simple. For example, the reason why the SGI was able to grow this extensively worldwide was because they enabled each local organization to have the autonomy to make decisions as to what kind of topics, or causes, that they would like to take up. In other words, even if the international body of SGI may make some kind of declaration as to its support of efforts to abolish nuclear weapons, the SGI organization headquarters in Tokyo cannot really force that upon each local organization. The

process with which these local organizations come to adopt and participate in this type of campaign was very interesting. Twice a year about 300 local representatives, leaders of different countries, gather in Tokyo. In this meeting, the Office of Public Information from SGI would introduce various activities that these local organizations are free to support and they are willing to provide resources, educational tools. The Tokyo headquarters would create, for example, a very impressive exhibit which can tour different countries, and the local organizations, who will raise their hand, will then look for alliance partners in their own country who would be willing to support this campaign together. This is how the message gets disseminated across the globe, and what has really helped was enabling local organizations to give their experiences in front of these leaders representing this worldwide network. For example, in Japan - because you know Japan is usually the organization that initiates a lot of these petition campaigns for abolition of nuclear weapons, of course because of Hiroshima and Nagasaki - they would give examples of how local groups were able to utilize various resources like DVDs. Communication is a very strong element in SGI's activities, so they would use a medium, like DVDs, with testimonials, let's say from victims of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, that they were able to compile. They would also create a website in order to provide all these educational tools and resources online and give examples of how they were able to work with NGO partners in that local region in order to utilize these resources, and to create some kind of a public information campaign. That would inspire other countries to follow suit or think of other creative ways in order to really express their Buddhist ideals, together with secular NGO partners. I didn't have time to go over Rissho Kosei-kai but this is the kind of research I'm undertaking right now.

Katherine Marshall: What I thought would be most useful is to try to come up with some of the conclusions and findings from the parallel meetings that we've been running, first in Southeast Asia, and most recently in South and Central Asia. I have ten conclusions, but we'll get through as many as I can.

505

506

507

508

509

510

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

I want to start with a brief comment. I'm sort of staring at this question, and it raises a host of questions about definition. I agree with Barbara very much that talking about "religion," and particularly trying to divide the world into people of faith and people not of faith, is ridiculous and gets you nowhere. However, it is very much part of the discourse at this point. Part way through our journey around the world, we actually transformed our vocabulary from "faith-

based" organizations to "faith-inspired" organizations, and that was part of a specific focus on the Muslim world where a lot of groups are very hesitant to identify themselves as religious or Islamic, partly because of the Islamists. So, the Aga Khan Development Network, for example, will not accept faith-based, but will accept faith-inspired, and the same is true of a lot of others. We have this huge "galaxy" of organizations, and part of what we're dealing with is large knowledge gaps. Now listening to everyone here, there's a huge amount of knowledge, so it's not that people don't know, but there's a tremendous issue of translating that knowledge into terms that those who are operating at many different levels can use. I also just want to mention an important source particularly for South Asia: the British Development Agency, DFID, which financed a five-year research program that was carried out by the University of Birmingham that has just wound up - they actually just had a conference in July. They focused on four countries, Tanzania, Nigeria, Pakistan and India. There's a slew of stuff coming out on the University of Birmingham's Religion and Development website. They focus particularly on the political dimensions and I can talk at lunch a little bit more about our approach, but basically we have one meeting that focused on Southeast Asia and then this week on South and Central. Four things I think that are worth mentioning, coming out of the Southeast Asia. First the issue of coordination is a huge one. In other words, there are organizations all over the place that are largely off the radar screens, with the exception of the Catholic Relief Services and Islamic Relief and so on. But a huge amount of activity is therefore not part, if we're talking about transformation, of the aid coordination, the working groups etc. Just trying to figure out who's doing what is, of course, an extraordinary issue. The groups all feel that nobody cares about what they say and some of them like to be independent - lots of issues around coordination. Secondly, an issue that came out of meetings in both South and South East Asia, is proselytizing. In other words, what are the boundaries, what are the codes of ethics, and tremendous divisions among communities? The Catholics identify themselves quite separately, particularly some of the US and Korean evangelical groups there and that are coming in. A lot of huge issues around proselytizing - in some cases people say religion, and you think, "proselytizing." That's the

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

primary identification. 542 543 Third, is a very specific issue which I actually did a presentation on in Malaysia last summer. I 544 was intrigued that this was what grabbed people's attention, debates around orphanages. 545 Tremendous debate, particularly in Cambodia, going on about orphanages - are orphanages a 546 good thing? Are they subject to abuse? Something I never even have heard of, "orphan tourism" 547 is a big issue. Again it's within religious groups where people are saying, "No, community care 548 549 is the way to go." It's a very tangible issue, where people can look at the religious obligations and religious traditions. The other fact is that, we, of course, don't know who's really taking care 550 551 of orphans in the world. The guess is that 90% is through religious groups of some kind. In other words, very few others are really doing very much about orphans besides the religious groups. 552 553 So that was an issue. 554 555 The fourth, and this is very much an Obama administration focus and also of others, is interfaith. In other words, people are looking all over for practical examples of inter-faith 556 557 cooperation. Just to mention one that we're quite interested in, out of Southeast Asia - a lot of the people talked about how fantastic the inter-faith cooperation was in the reconstruction of Aceh. 558 559 That Islamic Relief and Catholic Relief Services, the Salvation Army, NU, everybody was working together, and Aceh clearly is much better off today than it was after the tsunami and 560 561 during the period of conflict. But there's an alternative narrative, and the alternative narrative is 562 that these groups came in, siphoned off all of the moderates, paid them large salaries and that that accounts in part for the growing extremism in Aceh. So again, it's unintended consequences 563 are very interesting. I would love to find a way to pursue this as a case study, because on the one 564 hand it's a case study for inter-faith cooperation and action and results, but it's also a rather 565 566 complex story of how these things actually play out, when you look at them on the ground. 567 So those are the four things coming out of Southeast Asia. In South Asia and Central Asia, given 568 569 the enormity of this part of the world, these huge movements, groups, different religions etc., we 570 focused on three issues: education, gender, and peace and conflict. There were three other issues,

just to mention them, that are obvious and very important in the area that we did keep coming

back to. One of them is what are religious groups doing about action on climate change? In other

571

words, where is this situated and where is this going? I'd love to know the science of this, but the narrative the people tell in Bangladesh is that climate change is here, sea levels have risen, and people are moving from the coast into Dhaka. Climate change is a current reality - certainly that's the way people are living and experiencing it.

The second is governance and corruption issues all over the place. I'm part of the International Anti-Corruption Conference, and one of the dramatic things about the integrity alliances, whether it's TI, the civil society movement, is that religion is not part of it except very marginally and very indirectly. So the question of what the religious groupings are doing, how they're dealing with both the complexity of corruption, but also the ethical imperatives - and the ethical complexities in corruption – these are big issues. So that's second. I've lost the third issue, one of the points we didn't discuss. The ones we did discuss, education: huge role, huge challenges, and South and Central Asia and religious groups being a major part of it was a major focus. The thing people talk about most is "value education" as they call it; it is values based. It's the sense that the public education systems are failing and that they're failing in part because they've lost any ethical grounding. So the question arises, "What do you do about that?" There's also an awareness of the massive crisis of education in that part of the world. As we talked about last night, there is lots of interesting work being done on curriculum, but simply, when you compare South Asia and China or South Asia and Korea and some of the other places, it's hard to look to 20 years from now without deep concern about the poor quality of education for the masses. So, there is a lot of interest in education.

Gender is very much a part of the issues. One of the most interesting things going into these groups, where people start from a religious perspective, is that there's almost a confidence in gender that obviously religious groups are on the leading edge on gender issues. If you go into secular civil society discussions, there is first a major division, particularly among feminists and non-religionists, but the sense is that religion *is* the problem. So you have first of all a very different narrative and not much awareness, it seems, in these groups regarding the tensions. My sense is that the single issue that's most significant in the hesitations of UN agencies, bi-lateral agencies, multi-lateral banks, to deal with religion on anything, on any issue, is the perception first of all that it's all boys, the religious hierarchies, the sort of last bastion of patriarchy, but it's

also the insensitivity and unwillingness to deal with violence against women etc. But you had 604 605 some interesting alternative narratives. One is the women in Bangladesh taking on a theological re-interpretation of Islam, seeing it as a source of empowerment. So, a lot of interesting issues I 606 think around gender, a lot to discuss. 607 608 I will mention a final issue that interested me before I finish. First, there's peace building, 609 development and conflict resolution. In Georgetown, at least, they're totally separate programs. 610 But if you actually start to discuss it, it's very hard to see what the boundaries are because if you're talking about peace building, which I guess Bill will be talking about, it is about 611 communities, it is about offering people hope and a future. It's exactly the same as development. 612 So, there's a real need, I think, to try to bring these fields and perspectives together. But in any 613 event, we did have a good number of people who are actively involved, like Swami Agnivesh, 614 who's actually mediating with the Maoists and mediating in Kashmir, he's involved in 615 communal disputes in India, and a number of people from Nepal. But I was struck in South and 616 Central Asia, by the lack of a coherent discourse about conflict resolution. I mean you get a lot 617 of, "Conflict is about inner peace." Okay, we all agree with that, and we all agree that it starts in 618 the family, but how does that translate into the kinds of tensions that are going on, the pull of 619 fundamentalism - how do you deal with it? So, the discussion of that topic was all over the place 620 and very hard to draw any coherent thread. So that's a very, sort of immediate, take on some of 621 those issues coming out of a two-day discussion that we had earlier this week. 622 Mark Woodward: Thank you, I'd like to second everything that my colleague, Muhammad Ali, 623 624 not the boxer, said about Indonesia. I want to point to briefly some things both about Indonesia and Malaysia, which are in one sense, very similar societies that are culturally and historically 625 626 closely related. However, in terms of the way in which their modern states are organized, they are exceptionally different. 627 First about Indonesia, I think it's important to keep in mind that really to the extent that we can 628 talk about civil society in Indonesia, it is religious civil society. The major groups...and civil 629 society is not necessarily only people who are motivated by liberal types of ideas, there are also 630 civil society groups, for example, the Integrated Islamic Schools Movement, which is Muslim 631 Brotherhood based. So you know, we need to keep in mind that civil society does not always 632 translate into pluralistic liberal values and there's too often an assumption that it does. Of course 633

it's that side of civil society in Indonesia that I really know best because that's who my friends are.

You do see a very wide number of groups - I really like the word faith-inspired, rather than faith-based, I think that's a really good shift in terminology - most of the groups that do large-scale, effective programming, are either directly faith-inspired or they are composed of people who are coming from very deeply-seeded and very powerful and personal faith commitments. Now some of these, for example, the various groups associated with the two largest Muslim organizations, Muhammad'iya and NU, have a very clear religious foundation. There are others where this is less clear, and it's here where some of them get to be informally inter-faith based, because you will see that in groups that are mobilized around particular issues - again here I'm thinking very much also of gender issues - that you'll see Muslims and Christians in the same group. Although, it doesn't formally have a designation, but when people are talking in forums like this they are speaking *as* Muslims or *as* Christians. So that's a very important thing to keep in mind when talking about Indonesia.

More specifically, in terms of local Indonesian groups working together with outside organizations - and I want to just use the word "outside" organization because activists on the ground often do not make a really clear distinction between an international NGO, a UN-based organization, and something like USAID or AUSAID. They are all sort of put in the same box, because it's funding that supports local projects and it has a foreign source, and, you know it's the funding that really matters. The problem that was mentioned about these international organizations being hesitant to work with religious groups, and you should add religious individuals, is very apparent to people on the ground. Many people in Indonesia, and probably Indonesian feminists as much as anyone else if not more so, have very ambivalent feelings about the international donor community because there is a sense that they want to dictate the agenda, that they don't work closely enough with locally-organized groups. The notion that this sort of hidden perception that "religion is a problem," shows through very quickly in the attitudes of many of the representatives of international organizations who are on the ground in Indonesia. Again, Western secular feminists here are a real problem because they very much have a proselytizing agenda, every bit as much as American evangelical Christians. And I know that in

many cases this is deeply resented, especially by Islamic feminists. I have worked very closely with the Center for Women's Studies at the Islamic University in Yogya, and this is a constant sub-text to discussions there. Moving quickly to comparisons, I would say civil society institutions in Indonesia are very, very strong, and very important when you include groups like Muhammad'iya and NU to the mix of civil society. In many cases, they're much more effective than the government. If you want to get something done in East Java, don't talk to the Indonesian government, talk to NU because they have much deeper roots in the local communities than any of the government organizations.

Malaysia is a very different place. In a number of ways, Malaysia is a much stronger state than Indonesia is, certainly when it comes to religion and particularly when it comes to Islam in Malaysia, you don't see the religious diversity that you see in Indonesia. You do not have large mass-based Islamic organizations and you have a great deal of control and regulation of Islam by the state. What we've seen in the last 20-25 years in Malaysia, is that the Islamization of society is state-driven. It's not only driven by the political parties, trying to show which one can be more Islamic than the other, it's also driven by a very large religious bureaucracy, which actively seeks out ways to Islamize whatever falls within their domain, whether it's education, health care, whatever. They want to find a way to make it more Islamic. Now obviously, Mahathir was part of the driving force behind that, but he's not the exclusive driving force. Again, Malaysia just does not have the types of civil society organizations that Indonesia does by a very, very large measure.

Third country in the mix, in the Malay world of Southeast Asia: Singapore. Religious, civil society institutions are incredibly important in Singapore because the state does not...as powerful as the state is and as prosperous as Singapore is, questions of things like care for orphans, care for old people, care for chronically sick people, the government doesn't do very much. Singapore is not a welfare state. Singapore is a laissez-faire, capitalist state, and all of those public welfare functions have been moved off on to civil society organizations, a very large number of which are religious. There are incredibly important Buddhist civil society organizations in Singapore, Christian groups, and Muslims to a much lesser extent because the country is only 15% Muslims and Muslims tend to be the economically disadvantaged.

So we have three neighboring different countries in this part of the world where the context for the operation of global civil society groups, be they government, be they private foundations, be they UN based or other international organizations - the local contexts are really very different. I am certain that this has a substantial impact on the ability of global civil society groups to operate in the region and also how they need to localize their own agendas to maximize their effectiveness. What will work in Indonesia, will not work in Malaysia. Civil society groups have a lot more freedom of operation in Indonesia because they aren't running up against a strong state bureaucracy the way they would be in Malaysia and, of course, in Singapore – which has an even stronger state than Malaysia.

Juan Campo: Okay, we've heard a variety of perspectives here on this subject, ranging from the level of organization through which NGOs participate in society and the relationship on the level of organization to global non-religious organizations. We've looked at specific kinds of contexts in South Asia and Southeast Asia particularly in the case of Bangladesh in South Asia and Aceh in Southeast Asia, as well as Japan in East Asia. We've had a look at comparative perspectives from Southeast Asia as well, between Indonesia, Malaysia, and Singapore. We have also had a presentation of key concepts that might be mobilized, particularly in an Islamic framework, for bringing about transformation in civil society. Joining this range of topics, there has also been more specific issues, such as the role of religious-based or religious-inspired NGOs in regard to education, transformation of the status of gender (women in particular), peace and conflict resolution, and disarmament. Quite a range of topics have come up as well as specific religious traditions – Buddhist and Islamic I think have come to the floor, but we've also heard some reference as well to Christians in this context.

I think first of all we'd like to see if there are any comments or elaborations that our panelists would like to make with their respective presentations and questions that they might want to pose to each other, and then we can open it up more generally to the audience. Do the panelists have any further elaborations or questions that they'd like to raise or have comments on other presentations?

Katherine Marshall: I just remembered the tenth point...when you deal with the international, 725 726 whether it's civil society or whatever, in a way the most logical entry point for religion is health, 727 which is a huge area whether it's HIV/AIDS or malaria, tuberculosis, or vaccines or whatever. So that is also a big issue in this region and some of the more interesting case studies of both 728 faith-linked and inter-faith are coming out of health. 729 Ria Shibata: I ran out of time so I didn't mention anything about Rissho Kosei-kai, so I would 730 731 like to mention just one interesting point about their effort to promote religious cooperation. Recently both the Soka Gakkai and the Rissho Kosei-kai launched a petition campaign against 732 usage of nuclear weapons. Whereas Soka Gakkai used its own network of human resources, 733 encouraging its own youth members to go out into their local communities, have dialogue with 734 735 other young people to talk about nuclear abolition and to have them sign a petition. What the 736 Rissho Kosei-kai did, because it lacks that kind of vast human network - this was a case study in Japan, through their office of WCRP in Japan - was to contact different religious groups, new 737 religions, for example, Kurozumikyo, or even established religious traditions like the Shinto 738 739 association Jinja Honjo, and asked them to participate in this campaign called, "Arms Down." The reason why I thought this was interesting is because the religious market is very competitive 740 in Japan, as I'm sure it is around the world, but the organizations are having difficulty trying to 741 742 capture the interest of the young people to remain active in these religious groups. Smaller organizations or religious groups who do not have much experience in dealing with outreach 743 activities, don't have the resources or the know-how or the skill in order to launch their own 744 campaign. So what happened was many smaller new religious groups like Kurozumikyo jumped 745 on the band wagon very happily because they said that, "This is great, we wanted to create 746 something for our youth members!" It's very important that these religious groups appeal to the 747 748 younger public, that they are doing these activities so that they will look appealing so that more young people will be interested to come to the meetings. So, in that sense, I thought Rissho 749 750 Kosei-kai contributed greatly by enabling these smaller groups to participate in a larger public 751 discourse campaign, because they wouldn't be able to have done so otherwise. 752

Juan Campo: We can have wider discussion now...

Elizabeth Collins: I'd like to ask Katherine Marshall a question. You mentioned Cambodia, 754 where Buddhist institutions connecting up with Thailand and Sumatra have done a great deal to 755 rebuild society, to rebuild village wats, and to organize society around them. At the same time, 756 757 there was this very strong Christian evangelization activism. How do the international organizations come into this kind of situation to work with faith-inspired organizations? 758 759 760 **Philip Oldenburg**: I just wanted to say, I find "faith-inspired" to be a happier term than faith-761 based. But, for South Asia it seems to me the faith-inspired organizations are political 762 organizations, and all very important. Whereas religion is famously in Hinduism and Buddhism a 763 matter of practice, we talk about the short [inaudible]. We don't talk about orthodoxy in Hinduism, we only have [inaudible] and I think that's something that is lost in "faith" into this 764 765 category. I think about this when I think about South Asia in terms of religion. It's true that it has a problem in terms of dealing with the organizations on the spot...[inaudible] 766 Lamia Karim: This is a question to Mark Woodward, I wanted you to map for us what kind of 767 768 shifts you see on the ground in Indonesia, in terms of the Islamic movements pre-1990's, premarket deregulation, and post 9-11 the "war on terror" environment. Do you currently see some 769 shifts in the way their practices have changed? 770 771 **Barbara Metcalf:** There was just so much going on in what everyone said and the issue of proselytization, seems to me, is really front and center. In fact, these questions link back to a 772 code of conduct, and important work by somebody like Nandini Sundar on the tribal areas of 773 774 India, insisting left, right and center that what the RSS and the key organizations are doing is conversion, whereas only Christians are usually targeted in a negative way with conversion. 775 776 Those issues are so critical in the larger context of the state - what isn't legal, what is illegal, and what kind of laws are put in this case. I think that's really a subject that is important when 777 778 thinking about the work many of these groups do. 779 Mark Juergensmeyer: I want to get one issue on the table, which is what Muhammad Ali said on his fifth point that there is great diversity of interpretations of say Islam. But isn't that one 780 problem? The role that religious institutions play in civil society, increasingly, is this rigidity, the 781 increasing effort to try to define what true Islam is. Even movements like Muhammad'iya, you 782 can see it become more rigid and, for example, the treatment of the Ahmadiyah in Indonesia. 783

There is a kind of "rigidification." Of course, you see it in Christianity in this country and the 784 785 impact that that has on political life as well as civil society. Isn't that an increasing problem? And I don't know why that's the case, whether it's the kind of narrowness in general in public 786 life, in an era of globalization or cultural retreat, but we seem to be kind of hunkering down to 787 our own narrow identities, and with narrow definitions of what those identities are and that's 788 789 where religious institutions sometimes play, it seems to me, a very dangerous role. 790 Mark Woodward: Well, let me address your question quickly here. The critical date for Indonesia, in terms of an absolute sea change, is the 21st of May 1998, which was the resignation 791 of Former President Suharto who had kept his thumb, or two thumbs, or both feet, on top of 792 everything for 33 years. Everything else, the expansion of neo-liberal economics, 9-11, 793 794 everything else pales by comparison. It was the democratic transition, we could argue about how democratic it is, but it was the political transition that really opened everything up in Indonesia. 795 All sorts of new religious movements, many of them not particularly civil, such as the Islamic 796 Defenders Front and groups like the Justice and Prosperity Party. Very conservative types of 797 798 Islam came to occupy a place in public life where that had not been possible for 30 years. There was also the opening up of people on the more liberal part of the Islamic spectrum as well. It was 799 really that political change more than anything else, which has shaped the direction that 800 801 Indonesia has gone in in the last couple of years. 802 And Mark's point about the rigidity of identities - yes this is true in some cases, and I don't quite 803 understand why, because I know of plenty of other cases in Indonesia where people will say, "Oh 804 well, you know we have a common interest in this, and yeah, you may be a Baptist and you may 805 be a Catholic, and I may be NU and she may be from Muhammad'iya, but for these purposes 806 807 we're going to put those differences aside." I don't have that kind of personal experience in South Asia, but I think that this is probably a significant contrast and one that really merits a lot 808 809 of careful attention: what are the contexts which encourage people to put those religious differences aside and what are the contexts where people are really inclined to hunker down on 810 811 that core identity and say that because of this identity compromise or cooperation is not possible? I don't know what the answer to that question is, but I think that it would be a very important 812

813

research topic.

Katherine Marshall: One of the big issues that we're all grappling with is the relationship of the state to civil society, and that's coming to Barbara's point which is to what extent is it regulated, etc. But there is one particular dimension, which Cambodia illustrates graphically, and which I think is present in parts of South and Southeast Asia, though it's much more an African phenomenon, which is the "bottom billion." In other words, this is the paradigm that a lot of the development world is coming to, that we're not talking about "developed," "developing," we're not talking about "third world," "first world," or "second world." We're talking about a world where there's a billion people who are left behind, in maybe 25-50 different countries, which are in the "close-to-failed state" conflict category. One of the phenomenon that happens, and Cambodia is a vivid illustration, is that the NGOs go completely have after a peace settlement, and they come in and basically they divide up the country in many ways and have a tremendously important role to provide education, health, etc. But then there comes a time when the government has enough power, if not real capacity, to object, and that's happening in Cambodia right now - there's NGO laws, there's efforts to regulate, they still have not been very effective, but the general view in Cambodia is that we're headed for troubled waters. You're also seeing that in Mozambique, it's heavy in Ethiopia and a lot of other countries where you are seeing that. I'm not sure where else it would be in South and Central Asia. But it does come to this question of, "What is the role of civil society? How is civil society seen? And how is this galaxy of religious institutions being seen in that? So, I think that that is something worth having in mind. Now clearly in South and Central Asia, the politicization of these religious groups and their integration into political parties is another narrative, but the degree to which these institutions are providing the basic welfare functions and are running schools and health centers and raising issues of land rights and all those human rights, that's the area that I'm more interested in. On the Buddhist side, we've done a lot of work and I can share the Cambodia focus work. The role of the Buddhist sangha now is very unclear in Cambodia. It's clearly different and the fact that it was coming from almost nothing is a part of the story. It's very decentralized as a result, and the monks and all the different wats are playing very different roles. The two areas where you are seeing the most intersection at this point are on HIV/AIDS where UNICEF has had a big

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

program that covers Southeast Asia generally, and particularly Thailand, but a lot of good work 845 is going on in Cambodia. The second area where there is a lot of excitement is around 846 environmental protection at the community level. There's one of the big red schemes, they 847 avoided deforestation - actually it's a California company – and they actually have monks 848 running around with GPS' to try to monitor whether or not the forests are being cut. In general, 849 the development community is very curious about all these monks wondering around in orange, 850 851 what are they doing and how can we use them? We have two people over there in Cambodia this 852 year who are trying to get a better idea of what these institutions and people are. From Cambodia, the general sense is that all these young monks don't even begin to understand 853 Buddhism, much less have any kind of direction. 854 855 On the proselytizing, I'm going to tell you one story that came out of the Bangladesh meeting to get us started. A very distinguished person from Sri Lanka, whose name escapes me right now, 856 pulled out a book and put it on the table. It was by a guy named Paul Hattaway, from World 857 Vision, and it's about Buddhist communities. It's a study of Buddhist communities all over Asia, 858 859 and at the bottom of each page it has a chart that shows evangelization. The basic reaction was, "Look World Vision, you know that's what they're about, that's their purpose. They may be 860 building wells and doing other things, but the real purpose of World Vision is to convert to 861 Christianity." There was a horrified reaction, needless to say, from everyone and I've had a 862 fascinating exchange with someone from World Vision since. World Vision, is huge, it has 863 44,000 people working for them worldwide, and they have huge money coming from the US 864 government. They feel that they've changed, but they aren't in a position to change the way that 865 people on the field are behaving. It's a very vivid illustration of the complexity of 866 proselytizing/proselytization. 867 868 **Muhamad Ali:** Thank you. There are many ways to respond to your comment, about the rigidity 869 of religious interpretations. One way is that the way they construct values, changes for example. 870 The Muhammad'iya, the so-called modernists, reformists, or puritanist Muslims, there are some 871 changes in their construction of theology as well, about true Islam and so on. For example, the notion of tolerance, the different taji, different "councils", they have conferences every year and 872 try to adapt to political circumstances. For example, the notion of true Islam before 1912 talks 873 about Wahhabism more, and less later on after Wahhabism becomes more and more widely 874

contested in Indonesia, the relationship between Wahhabism and radicalism. So they try to get away from Wahhabism and the notion of *wasatiyyah* is then emphasized. *Wasatiyyah* means moderation, so you have moderate Wahhabism, moderate Salafism, for example. The adjective of moderate to the so-called Wahhabiyya is quite an interesting construction of how they don't want to be seen as rigid as before.

The second way of seeing this issue is they try to differentiate between faith and ethics. So *aqidah* and *mumana* or *muamalah*, for example, the notion of, "Well, we cannot compromise in terms of faith - you believe in Jesus, and you believe in Buddha, we believe in God", and so on and so on – that is *our* problems. That is our own issues with God, for example. But in ethical matters, we *can* cooperate. In trying to construct this in ways that can be very rigid on one hand but, at the same time, very cooperative on the other hand.

The third way of seeing this problem is the difference between cultural and political. When they said that different organizations, like Muhammad'iya is political, then they are considered dangerous. That's why Muhammad'iya doesn't want to participate in practical politics. They want to keep away from politics and they want to remain cultural. So in the field of culture it is easy for Muhammad'iya to cooperate with other religious organizations, Muslims and non-Muslims. So cultural Islam becomes another concern, so that Muhammad'iya is more tolerant and also more effective in solving problems.

Lastly, is the notion of innovation, because in Islamic theology there is the notion of *bid'ah*, innovation. There is good *bid'ah* and bad *bid'ah*, so bad innovation and good innovation. If it is good innovation, then you can do anything and it's very, very practical. But if it is bad *bid'ah* or bad innovation...in their understanding bad innovation is saying Ahmadiyya is Islamic, for example, because Ahmadiyya is a very controversial movement in Indonesia today. I've been working on that issue, particularly about Ahmadiyya and the notion of religion and Islam in relation to the so-called "enemy" within Islam. Anyways, I have so many comments about that, but I just want to keep with that. There is some attempt to deal with this internal contradiction in theology and practice - in theology and faith and action, politics and culture, and also innovation.

Luce Conference - Session II

Eve Darian Smith: It is a marvelous chance for me to be here as a relatively new member of the Global and International Studies Program. This is one of the exciting aspects of being with such wonderful colleagues such as Mark Juergensmeyer. Thank you very much for the opportunity to be here. Mark Juergensmeyer: Tell them about your book that is coming out. Eve Darian Smith: I have just published a book called Religion Race, Rights and Landmarks in the History of Modern Anglo-American Law, which really starts with the Reformation and goes right up to post 9-11 and looks at major developments in the history of British based law, as it were, but also looks at the dynamics between the religion and race narratives and how they are very much part of the development of what we often think of as secular western law. It's my delight to be part of the second panel today. The question that is specifically going to guide our conversation right now is: Do religious institutions play a positive role in supporting humanitarian activities? Do they also possibly play a negative role? There seems to be a quandary there. Without further ado I'm going to ask Elizabeth Collins, a professor of Classics and World Religions at Ohio University, to be our first speaker please. Elizabeth Collins: In the interest of coherence I've decided to organize my remarks around five points. The first is that religious organizations have a very long history of being involved in humanitarian activity in Southeast Asia. In the Buddhist countries the "Engaged Buddhism" movement emerged first in Sri Lanka but it is widespread and played an important role in Thailand, with Maha Ghosananda in Cambodia, and with the monks non-violent protests against the military regime in Burma. They have worked for flood relief, across the board on environmental issues, and development primarily. In Indonesia, as you've already heard, both Muhammadiyah and Nahdatul Ulama both have very important programs. Muhammadiyah particularly, has programs in health and education, school building, hospital building, and supporting clinics. NU also has a whole series of NGO's that have been working on development issues and gender. There's a long history there that goes back to the colonial period when it was religious organizations that were virtually the only part of civil society that the colonial regime

couldn't control and direct. Of course there is a whole host of new organizations that have

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

emerged in Indonesia. I would argue that many of these, both religious and secular, are a response to the impact of globalization and development policies that did not deal with increased poverty among certain groups. There was NGO movements that emerged in the 70's and 80's that have, in some cases, linked up with these religious organizations. There has been a strong connection in the last 50 years between the impact of economic globalization and the emergence of civil society organizations and the role of religion and, I would argue, the politicization of religion.

The second point is that the humanitarian involvements of religious organizations become problematic when they are perceived to be a form of evangelization and/or proselytization. This is particularly clear in Indonesia right now, where there's a backlash against what's called "Christianization." It is mainly Christians who are blamed for evangelization, but there *has been* some very aggressive and secretive, illegal Christian evangelization in Indonesia. Of course, this was a problem in Aceh with the tsunami. Many of the organizations there were seen to be evangelizing under the cover of aid, so there's a real line that needs to be drawn there and an issue that we really need to discuss because it constantly emerges.

My third point is about the complexity of this kind of aid or work with refugees, in my case, victims of natural or social disasters. Here I would like to tell one story. The organization I work with was building schools for refugees and we were also building sanitation systems and minimal housing. We very quickly discovered in Manado, for instance, where the refugees were primarily Muslim in a Christian area, that there were poor communities surrounding the refugee camps that were feeling that these people were benefiting and they were not benefiting at all. We were actually increasing the tensions between these two religious groups and we very quickly had to move to begin a dialogue in which we began to attend to the needs and demands of the local Muslim community that was poor. We learned that we can't work with one refugee community without looking at the surrounding situation.

In another case, in Koso, we were promoting school building, participatory development projects where we could in eastern Indonesia, trying to bring Muslim and Christian communities together in the building projects so they actually worked together on the project. We were successfully building a school in Koso when the project was attacked by a radical group that did not want to

see Christians and Muslims working together cooperatively. So the issues are really complex and they demand a lot of very sensitive on-the-ground knowledge. You have to have local partners who really know the politics, knowing their biases and their interests is an important part of this work. These make it very difficult for international organizations to be successful, without stirring up these kinds of issues. My third point is about the complexity and difficulty of this kind of work, and I've only been involved in very small projects. We have in fact, been reluctant to get involved in extrapolating our projects to other areas, where we don't have reliable local partners and haven't felt a strong relation with them for fear that we'll be getting entangled in exactly these kinds of issues.

My fourth point would be that we have done a lot of work with conflict resolution. We've set up centers in universities for research on "intergroup relations" as we call it, so that we will have local partners that know the local issues if a conflict emerges and if we have to work there. But interfaith dialogue often doesn't even when you get it going, attend to the economic issues that underlie conflicts that are called "religious," but have other dimensions. So simply having Christians and Muslims talk across a table often neglects the more complex issues of the economic difference between communities and their histories and how you can attend to those.

Lastly, there's been an effort in Indonesia to make *zakaat* more effective by bureaucratizing it; by setting up systems so that there will be no corruption, there won't be politics around how it gets distributed. However, this is often seen as an effort by the state to co-opt the *zakaat* and to use it for its own purposes. There's a whole other set of issues about how you deal with these problems of corruption and the role of the state in regulating organizations of this sort.

Eve Darian Smith Thank you very much. Our second speaker is Bill Headley, Dean of the Kroc School of Peace Studies, University of San Diego.

William Headley: I will try to stay pretty close to our script so I can say everything I really want to say. In 1992, Boutros Boutros-Ghali, the then Secretary General of the United Nations, used the term "peace building" as distinguished from other peace related activities in his agenda for peace. Admittedly the term itself, and I think Katherine brought this out, gives this emerging discipline another term to fuss over and struggle with and, indeed, it is fussing. I follow the likes

of John Paul Lederach, Abu-Nimer, Scott Appleby, and others of religious orientation to give a 999 1000 very broad understanding of this. This understanding of peace building, and I quote, "Gathers the 1001 range of practices essential to the building of a sustainable and just peace over time." This is both helpful and convenient for our discussion as peace building digs in and tries to make a 1002 1003 contribution to the ever even wider understanding of humanitarian activities, our focus here. Understood in this way, peace building cuts a broad cloth and includes such things as conflict 1004 1005 resolution, peace keeping, and post-war reconstruction. The cut is indeed broad. But what makes 1006 religious peace building, that is Buddhist, or Muslim or Protestant or Catholic etc.? Certainly not simply that it is performed by someone who is born or converted or moves into a certain faith. 1007 1008 Rather, it is a faith-inspiration that is taken and applied as fundamental to a rather nuanced understanding of peace building. If taken this way, it offers a buffet of teachings, practices, 1009 1010 sensibilities and sensitivities from each of the faiths. Let me rush to say what Appleby calls 1011 "authoritative," so that we might have it as particularly authoritative for our faith perspective but, if presented in non-theological language, can be presented to other people of goodwill and be 1012 useful to them in their understanding. It will be both valuable to religious peace building and 1013 1014 helpful to the larger humanitarian task if someone would take all of these buffets, so-to-speak, and make one huge one. David Smock tried to do that once out of the United States Institute of 1015 1016 Peace. It's time to do it again. In this brief time I'd like to address one particular approach, if you 1017 will. Powers says that religious bodies and peace-building are the envy of the CIA, or maybe 1018 major international NGOs, because of their vertical and horizontal integration of societies. Think 1019 of a large pyramid, if you will. Lederach, a Mennonite, speaks of themselves as having hierarchical envy of the ubiquitous presence of some faith communities. Let me use then my own 1020 church to make the point, though, in doing this, it's not so much to be chest thumping, but rather 1021 1022 to think about making a contribution to the construction of cohesive and transformative roles, to 1023 use some of the language that we have here. Vertically in terms of the Catholic system it has 1024 clear leadership, it has on the ground institutions where there are local schools or hospitals or social service agencies and churches at all levels of societies. There is a fairly consistent 1025 1026 teaching, even if certain rigidity is in certain areas, and it has an organized authority. Someone 1027 said recently that what you can do in a Catholic system in a small developing country, particularly in Africa, is that a Bishop in the morning could have coffee with the President of the 1028 country and then go out to the village and, in fact, perform a confirmation. So he has that ability 1029

to move up and down the social pyramid if you will. Now think horizontally, think of moving 1030 1031 across the societal pyramid: lower class to lower class, middle class to middle class, upper class to upper class, where ethnicity and racial mixings of society are important. This institutional 1032 dimension is seen perhaps best when consideration is shown for the poor or those in conflicted 1033 situations. These connections can be institutionalized or brought together internationally. 1034 1035 I use easy examples, again focusing largely on Asia: A decision is taken in Yangon among the 1036 Bishops Conference in Myanmar, I left this conference last year to go there and priests were gathered from all over the country to go to a peace building workshop. Or, a particular troubled 1037 Diocese has the same, or the bishop's conference for the entire country gathers. The tsunami 1038 occurs in Banda Aceh, Indonesia and the computer systems crash from the onslaught of 1039 1040 donations in the CRS offices in Baltimore. The Vatican based Caritas Internationalis serves the 1041 charitable needs of developing countries worldwide, a Sri Lankan is one of the eight key representatives at the table. This embedding, both vertically and horizontally, helps humanitarian 1042 actors achieve that tricky, yet essential, balance between deeply embedded ties to cultural, 1043 1044 ethnic, and national identities that gives religion its influence in a particular conflict situation, and the larger, cosmopolitan or universal elements that gives religion its moral credibility and 1045 transnational reach. Powers puts it still another way: religious individuals and institutions are 1046 1047 especially effective peace builders because they are acculturated, that is, deeply rooted in their own communities. Maybe all we're saying, really, is use what is there already. If I had to look at 1048 a research area that gives me some encouragement, it's a new Catholic-Islamic détente, captured 1049 in a new project called *Contending Modernities*, where both Islam and Catholicism look together 1050 at modernities and a policy effort from the Chicago council in its engaging religious 1051 communities abroad where it addresses, rather concretely, the US government and society and 1052 1053 how it might approach religions in other countries. Thank you

1054 1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

Eve Darian Smith: Our third speaker is Caroline Mayer White

Caroline Meyer White: First I want to tell you that I will be talking on my experience, which is from the northern part of Pakistan. I have solely worked in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, which was known as the North-West Frontier Province, and it's from here that I'll give this presentation. My very first experience in Pakistan was as a young woman. I came after the "Muhammad

Cartoons" had been at their heights, and I had decided to go and introduce straw bale construction as a response to the 2005 Kashmir earthquake. I came to teach two workshops, with a total of 53 male students between the ages of 18 and 55 years over four weeks in the summer of 2006, in the towns of Mansehra and Balakot. I was the only non-Pakistani working in the organization Dosti Development Foundation at the time. The background of the students in my workshops was anything from unskilled to university degrees. I wanted to dress in a manner that would not seem offensive, but at the same time I was not used to the Pakistani clothing. When I started my first workshop I needed to feel a little bit of authority to be on a building site. I always need that because I am just a very small woman, so I chose to wear my jeans and my shirt from home. There was absolutely no problem, I was fully accepted like that. The experience became one of great curiosity from mine, as well as my students, side. At lunch I would sit with the ten teachers and chat about anything that had our interest: we discussed politics, religion, culture, development, birds, songs and what not. Amongst my students in the second workshop, were a handful of young men from a village named Banna in Allai Valley, a very remote area around 12 hours drive north-west of Islamabad, where the culture has not changed much in a very long time. That is my experience - to travel in Pakistan is like traveling in time. In the large cities you can find the elements of modern urban life, in the villages and the mountains of the Himalayas, for example Banna in Allai Valley, most residents will not travel further than where their donkey can take them. Interaction with other groups is not very common. The culture changes extremely slowly and life can, in many ways, be compared to life in Europe 700 or 800 years ago. Above 30% of the population are still tenants to landlords who own the land that they work. These people are not free to move, not free to choose whether their children should be put in school or not, not free to build up money to buy their own land or house. The landlords are the powerful politicians at the national, regional, and district levels. There is a Tenant's Act, which should provide basic rights for tenants, but as there is no one to enforce it, it is not necessarily followed. I have found that this is very important to understand, because we were able to interact with these people and land rights are very crucial.

10871088

1089

1090

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

A man from this community will typically never keep company with any women other than his mother and sister, not even female cousins. This doesn't apply to every part of Pakistan but it does to Allai and it did to my students. So I experienced that we had a mutual situation: How do

I interact with this person in front of me? They were very polite, very kind and mostly just very shy. At the same time I was being polite and keeping my codes of conduct which I thought were appropriate. I would look them straight in their eyes when I talked to them as I normally do, which is not considered appropriate for a Pakistani woman, but they understood that this was natural to me and they found it fine. There was one man from the organization, before I understood all this, who would never look me in the eye. In the beginning, I just thought he was very arrogant and as time passed I thought he was really very unpleasant until I learned that he was performing the greatest way of respect for me because he was unmarried and I was unmarried and to look me in the eye would have been very disrespectful. I'm often asked about my experiences of working in Pakistan, if it's not difficult being a woman. My answer is no. I know that I am culturally sensitive and that I do adapt myself, but the different cultural and religious rules that are put on women do not apply to a foreigner who is coming to do a job. I experienced that people understand that I have another set of rules with me. Most of the rules have to do with the honor of the family. So as long as I'm not connected with a Pakistani family, none of these rules are forced on me.

Now, having said that, *living* in Pakistan is extremely difficult. There are lots of things to deal with, but I can't point my finger and say that they are based on religion. They are more based on our education being different. When I say we meet at 8 o clock, we don't meet at 8 o clock etc. It's difficult for me to distinguish whether a behavior should be categorized as being based on religion, culture, tradition, or security issues. For example, regarding restrictions put on women, are they interpretations of religion by illiterate priests based on thousand years old traditions, not relating to any particular religion - the way for simple people to try to protect themselves, their wives, and their daughters in a lawless society? Or is it social control, as much implemented by women themselves, as by their husbands and fathers? Or a mix? The few times I went up to this village, Bana in Allai, to see how the project was coming I wore a scarf as I had taught myself to wear it, where only your eyes look out. You can see everything but you cannot be looked at-that was due to a security issue. I found that that way you can't be seen, because foreigners are not welcomed by everybody. Also I knew that I wouldn't be insulting any of our beneficiaries who I didn't have any contact with otherwise.

When we talk about religious institutions, there is the example of the *madrasahs*. When I hear

the term *madrasah* I still, today, have to remember how infected my mind is with negativity related to certain terms because of the influence of the mainstream media where I have gotten my information so far. When understood by a Pakistani, these terms are not negative. An example is when I asked my friend, a straw bale colleague in Pakistan, Saleem, to hear his understanding of the Taliban in Pakistan. He said to me, "Caroline, you and me are Taliban. We both believe that we can create a better world, to have such idealistic beliefs and to study how to reach it, is to be a Taliban." Saleem is fully aware that there are terrorist groups calling themselves Taliban and that they are bombing away his fellow countrymen, even in mosques on Fridays, but he wanted to make the point clear to me that in Pakistan this term does not only apply to these criminal organizations. It's a term that also applies to non-criminal people and it means to be an idealistic student. Similarly, madrasah, in my mind, had become only associated with extremists. brainwashing children into hating Westerners through their teachings, which they hide behind calling it Islam. But a madrasah, by a Pakistani, is mostly conceived as a positive thing – as a way for poor children to actually get some kind of schooling. Besides this, I could have added all what Mohammed Ali gave in his presentation to my presentation: my experience in Pakistan is that peoples understanding of their belief is leading them to be very helpful, to always be welcoming, always give food to a needy person etc,. That's how I feel the public of this part of Pakistan, at least, identifies as Muslim. Thank you. Mary Zurbuchen: Thank you. I'd like to also add my comments to this question of whether religious institutions play a positive role in supporting humanitarian activities. I think that its already been suggested by earlier speakers this morning that we need to question what we're talking about when we say "religious institutions." I'd also like to question what we're talking about when we say "humanitarian activities," because this is also a very large and diverse sector of engagement involving everything from providing emergency relief, basic social services, such as health and education, community development with medium-term or long-term objectives, or agendas of advocacy, such as around topics of social justice and what constitutes a good and fair society. I'd like to problematize both ends of the question that we're talking about, and I want to speak about Indonesia specifically. While there are many philanthropic or communitarian traditions among all religious groups in Indonesia, whether those are Protestant, Catholic, Buddhist, Hindu, Balinese, Animist or whatever, what I'd like to focus on are the Islamic

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

organizations here because 88% of Indonesia's 240 million people are, in fact, Muslim. It was already mentioned by Mark Woodward this morning how important the watershed of 1998 was in Indonesia in enabling a whole host of civic organizational energies to be mobilized in new ways as citizens of all kinds were renegotiating their relationship with the state in a new political environment. I'd also like to say that new philanthropic energies were released in the time since 1998. Philanthropy is a very interesting topic in Indonesia, both for cultural, religious, legalistic and all kinds of other reasons. Two points are that, of course, Muslims, by virtue of their faith, are obliged to give *zakaat* and *sadaqah* and other terms that refer to providing alms or *tithing* as it's referred to in Christian tradition. In the realm of organizing, president Suharto himself was once the largest individual practitioner of philanthropy in all of Indonesia. He and his family had at least seven private organizations under their control with vast resources, which came from different sources.

Looking at the Islamic religious institutional landscape today, I'd like to just very briefly mention three rough categories of humanitarian or social engagement as follows: one has already been mentioned by Elizabeth and others as the traditional mass organizations, Mohammadiya and Nahdlatul Ulama, who have literally tens of millions of members across the country. These are very well established traditional religious organizations that have been, for more than a century, involved in civic engagement and social services of different kinds. Mohammadiya first responded to a volcano disaster with relief in 1910, so this legacy of humanitarianism in these two organizations is well established and has built their credibility on the ground for decades. Particularly in the case of Mohammadiya there has been a more recent professionalization and commercialization of their activities in the health and education sectors to generate resources and run for-profit. Mohammadiya now runs 345 clinics and hospitals around the country as well as nursing and medical schools. It has a robust women's auxiliary called Aisha, which is very, very active in towns and villages promoting women's livelihoods, health, education and reproductive health and rights. So these organizations are certainly there looming on the landscape, but they are sometimes perceived to have very well established hierarchies and perhaps not to be as flexible and creative in responding to changes in cultural and market forces that are so evident in Indonesia.

In this context, there is a whole set of newer organizations established under the rubric of Lembaga Amil Zakaat, or professional zakaat agencies. Elizabeth refers to these as well on the government side, but there is also a non-government side of these zakaat agencies, which provide low cost and free medical care to the poor, although they also are active in education. Some of them emerged partly in response to the perceived commercialization of the Mohammadiya hospitals and also to the lack of adequate service provision on the part of the government health care system. The Lembaga Amil Zakaat are established by private interests, they can be accredited by either the government or religious institutions. They draw their patients from urban slum areas, providing services the poor cannot obtain. They provide free services in many cases, which are not provided by the Mohammadiya hospitals, and they are extremely creative and cutting edge in terms of their advertising strategies and fundraising techniques. They have trained solicitors in malls throughout the country, and they use social networking tools. You can make your zakaat donation via Pay Pal on their website and you can check your donation on your cell phone. In this connection I should say that Indonesia is the second largest market for Facebook in the world with more than 30 million users and the third largest market for Twitter. So social media, new ways of communicating, new ways of participating as part of groups who are motivated by religion and driven to have civic engagement, is very interesting in the context of these highly successful and professional groups. There needs to be more research on what the proportion of zakaat funds that they actual collect and distribute, is in the larger national setting, but it is a trend that is extremely fascinating to look at from the standpoint of what is happening in the realm of philanthropy.

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

Finally, there's also a trend where more overtly doctrinaire, perhaps more rigid Islamic groups, some of which are influenced by *salafi* and *jihadi* currents of thought, are active in the social service realm as well. Some of these groups are vociferously intolerant of other faiths and even within Islam promote an anti-pluralist agenda. They are able to take advantage of a growing number of conflicts around perceived apostasy on the part of Christian evangelicals, which has also been mentioned. This enables groups that are actually quite extreme and hardline to recruit new members who are, perhaps, from a less hardline background. But the issue of *murtad*, of apostasy, the fact that for some Muslims the idea of prolonged exposure to Christians could be dangerous, is leading these groups to have a certain kind of authority and pull and there have

even been violent confrontations. Recently in Bekasi, on the outskirts of Jakarta, there was a case where a Christian congregation was violently attacked. Humanitarian activities through these Christian associations are also protested by these groups. In the recent eruption of Gunung Merapi in Yogyakarta, a group called Forum Jihad Indonesia pressured evacuees from the eruption area who had lost everything and were completely destitute and had been given shelter in a churchyard. The Forum Jihad Indonesia pressured the local authorities to actually physically remove these people and put them in a local government officials' house because they said that this was a dangerous example of potential conversion on the part of evangelical Christians. The Forum, which has its own website, later posted its success in preventing apostasy with appeals for funding for its community relief activities. So you can contribute to community relief and prevent Christianization at the same time via this one website.

A few observations: for Indonesian Muslims, zakaat giving is not just a form of Islamic worship, which is sometimes the traditional definition. Rather, the obligation of the devout to share their wealth is a way of sharing in a particular kind of socio-economic or political system. There's a variety of these on the Indonesian landscape. It's a channel for forming and expressing a particular social identity within the larger *ummah*. Zakaat fundraising is an arena where, as I said, different kinds of organizations operate and where innovations in mobilizing resources and creativity in branding are clearly important. The dominant mass organizations, Mohammadiya etc., face new competition from these groups in an era that demands more professional, accountable, and philanthropic practice. It remains to be seen, however, whether these newer forums such as the Lembaga Amil Zakaat, which I just mentioned, and their distribution of resources, will go beyond charity. Will they go beyond just direct provision of services to defining longer term goals of community development? It's one thing to help women have free childbirth, it's another thing to look at a poor community and say, for example, "How can there be an insurance scheme for people's health care?" Or whether or not you're promoting a social justice advocacy agenda, which other religious groups such as Aisha, as I mentioned earlier, are good in doing.

I focused on direct charitable activities in these comments, but political changes ongoing in Indonesia have also led young Muslims from student groups or Qur'an reading associations to transform their organizations into groups such as voter education, NGOs, anti-corruption

movements, and other kinds of advocacy. More research is needed on what's happening in places like Aceh and central Java and others, where there is a lot of activity. A lot of research is also needed to understand how ordinary Indonesians perceive the various Muslim philanthropic groups. Preliminary studies indicate that many Indonesians continue to favor giving their *zakaat* to religious intuitions closely associated with the local mosque, for example. As I mentioned, some of these disturbing trends within the hardline NGOs, linking social service provision with anti-pluralist activities, is worrisome to many Indonesians. There is a concern that disaster relief can be used as an entry point for promoting political Islam. Some groups maintain that there is an erosion of tolerance in Indonesia because of the activities of such groups. I cite all this as part of the overall landscape of what's going on in philanthropic activities in Indonesia today.

Eve Darian Smith: I am now going to open it up, and I think we might take a range of questions, but before I do I just wanted to say both from this panel and the previous panel, as a trained cultural anthropologist the detail and the sensitivity to local sites, and the complexities and long histories that inform everyone's work, is extremely appreciated because we are asking big, generalized, questions. But, of course, we always have to bring it down to the specifics, and then hopefully from the specifics and the case studies that all of us obviously are in involved in. How then can we answer these big generalized questions with some consensus or at least thinking through these questions in some more generalized way? However, I greatly appreciate the detail and the grounded work from which your responses are emerging. Perhaps now we will open it up and I'll take a first swath of questions and then give the panelists a chance to respond or to perhaps add to their points amongst themselves.

Thomas Uthup: Thank you very much - fascinating comments. I just had two very specific questions/comments addressed to Bill and Mary. To Bill – when you talked about the advantage of the Catholic model, in the sense of having this vertical and horizontal relationship, and this issue of proselytization, one thing that I have found growing up in India was that even though at the hierarchical level, at the level of the Vatican, and perhaps even at the level of the bishops, there is a lot of stress on evangelization and making yourself out to be [indistinct] through a push towards conversion. At the grass roots level, when the priests are working, there actually is not that much of an emphasis - so you have Jesuits priests who are out working with the fisherman in Kerala, or with landless peasants in Bihar, and they are trying to organize them into trade unions

or to others, but they are not trying to convert them. In fact, one of the issues has been that a lot 1275 of people conflate Catholics with Christianity, so they see Christians who are converting people 1276 and it becomes a point of attack against local Catholics. I wonder if that is an advantage from the 1277 Catholic Church's perspective or whether it's just the way things happen? We talked earlier 1278 1279 about World Vision, where the hierarchy wants to do something and doesn't want to just 1280 emphasize proselytization, but sometimes the local chapters do. 1281 To the comment about the exposure to Christianity and the fear that, to Mary, would lead to the abandonment of Islam because of the fear: one of the projects that we have is a project on 1282 education about religion and beliefs. What occurred to me was that in this very liberal enclave of 1283 Wellesley, Massachusetts, a teacher decided to take the students to a Muslim mosque. There was 1284 1285 huge controversy because the parents immediately thought that the children were going to become Muslims just by going to a mosque. This was unbelievable to me, but the same thing has 1286 happened in England where there's a very comprehensive program of education about religions, 1287 which is called Religious Education. When there was a group of students who were asked to pray 1288 1289 like Muslims in the classroom, just as an educational tool, I think the teacher was actually fired 1290 from that. So, it works both ways and I think one of the things which would be very useful would be to see, particularly in South Asia and in Southeast Asia, which are areas that have a 1291 1292 multiplicity of religions and where NGO's or schools are teaching about different religions; does that then lead people, to the students, abandoning their religion or being more tolerant or 1293 accepting of religions? 1294 1295 **Lamia Karim:** This is a question to Mary, perhaps you all actually, in the context that I know and have studied, which is Bangladesh: developmental NGO's and religious groups often come 1296 1297 into contestation over rural adherence, right? And often NGO's and religious groups manufacture conflict because they want to bring attention to certain issues. So I would like you 1298 1299 to address if you find these kinds of contestations going on between NGO's that work primarily in development and religious institutions. The second question, to Mary, is: what are the 1300 1301 transnational flows of capital to these religious institutions such as Mohammadiya? Is it primarily from within Indonesia or do they have other networks? 1302 **Philip Oldenburg:** I have a question on my mind about the proselytization issue: as I look at 1303 India in particular, one of the things that strikes me as I look back 150 years or so, is how 1304

unsuccessful all these incredible efforts at proselytization have been. They just don't get anywhere! Under the colonial regimes, Christians came in and said "Look at these heathens! They're heathens! There's multiple idols everywhere, they don't convert!" Then come the institutions of learning, where there's supposed to be dedicated religious teachers and so forth again very few conversions. The question, it seems to me...but at the same time the symbolism of conversion has such great leverage that it is a very tempting thing to talk about. Yet there doesn't seem to me to be the smoke of this, and the fire is tiny. Ironically, what slips under the radar is what Barbara was mentioning - that the RSS, the Hindus going around and converting tribals without making any waves whatsoever. **Katherine Marshall:** First, the question of definitions comes up again, on what is humanitarian? I was struck that you all had implicitly very different definitions. Within the international world, it's pretty clear - humanitarian is emergencies and disasters. It's WFP, UNHCR, and there's a line there. But several of you were including education and health. From an institutional perspective, I think historically it's interesting that so many NGOs started with emergencies, whether that is care, which was for CRS I think, and others started with actual famine relief. And then people say, "We don't just want to be band-aids, we want to get into transformation." It's interesting that for some people they are very clear definitions and for others they are not. It is an evolutionary process. To me, education and basic health care are not in the humanitarian basket, but it's clear that it is fuzzy. It is interesting for the humanitarian that, at least in theory, most people would agree that any kind of proselytization, or linking, or conditionality, in humanitarian relief situations is both illegal and unethical. Red Cross has all these provisions, whereas when you get into development it's much fuzzier, much more subject to national law and national customs. So, I'm curious as to whether distinguishing humanitarian from development, or something else, is something that you all focused on. **Barbara Metcalf:** A thread that ran through the earlier panel, and also this one, is I think you used this expression "unintended consequences" in all kinds of activities, that include inter-faith activities and a number of others that have come up this morning. I am struck by how many of these unintended consequences, maybe that's not the right word here, in fact entail the state and nationalism. Even while we're interested in global issues, so much comes back to the state. In a sense, one of the major services that Christian activities have provided in India - though they

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331

1332

1333

pale in comparison to the Muslims, but I'll say it just because of the comment about the Jesuits working with the lower orders or Mary's examples of opposition to Christians - is the service that these groups have provided is an opportunity to give people grounds for making claims to speak for majoritarian nationalism or for solidarity against uppity lower class people. Both of those, I think, work together. So, a lot of what passes as anti-Christian or anti-Muslim activity is a way of building Hindu solidarity or it is a way of competing among Hindus or Muslims, in the case of Indonesia, by being more ferocious against the enemies or more ferocious against the Christians or more ferocious to claim to be able to speak as the voice of the nation or something like that. There have been a lot of other things about unintended consequences, one of which turns this one upside down, and they came out in Mark's comments earlier, and that is to the extent to which development, especially international funds going to development organizations fundamentally weakens the state, a big issue in Pakistan, where money pours in and goes...Who would want to deal with that state? Who would want to deal with the Indonesian state? **James Donahue:** This is an observation, I will raise the issue you raised earlier – I think it's a little bit imprecise to talk about a Christian understanding of humanitarian efforts or whatever. There is a lot of different cuts and parses on religion in general. I'll put my theologians hat on here, and Bill, you got me thinking about this. In the Catholic tradition you have a very clear sense of how analogy works, a thing from David Tracy's book, *The Analogical Imagination*. The Catholic tradition, and it might seem counter-intuitive, has an easier way of thinking about "similar to," "as if," "like this," so it can jump into an ethical mode easier than say evangelical Protestantism, like World Vision, which has a very difficult time of getting into religious pluralism and ethics. You can see why proselytization and conversion would be more central to some theological traditions than others. Islam and Judaism has many different cuts as well. Some of them, it seems to me, are more inclined to a kind of proselytization, while others, and I think the Catholics are a good example of this, have a much easier time, theologically, of engaging in efforts that engage all sorts of other non-religious opportunities. Thomas your point of the Jesuits is a great example, the Jesuits have no problem, as a Roman Catholic institution. So moving into areas which are non-theological, in a sense, because there is an analogous mentality that is internal to the tradition. So I would not parse Christianity in one way, nor would I assume certain things about Islam or Judaism or different types of Protestants...[audio cuts off]

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

Surichai Wun'gaeo: I have just one simple observation on the definition of humanitarian activities: in the context of this way of posing questions, it seems it is so complicated and dynamic. I'm thinking about the case of Burma, where the spaces of engagement are very limited and there it seems the only entry point would be humanitarian activities engagement. In that sense, it can be the dynamicity of the situation, but in a limited space of engagement, so many kinds of activities are put under that type. It would be dangerous, I would say, to see the direction of this question into just one direction. My sense is that, while the question is relevant, with the positive and negative, but in such a situation it seems that we only have to try and understand how different actors and engagement, intent, and whatever other unintended consequences there may be. But still we need to be grounded in the context. For example, Buddhist monks...all humanitarian emergency issues are more related to religious circles. In some, quite related to democratization efforts in different directions. I just wanted to make an observation on that.

Eve Darian Smith: So perhaps I'll let the panelists respond to a range of extremely interesting questions, and then we can open it up for more conversation once again.

William Headley: Thank you. I'd like to address Tom's question to me directly, but I hope on the way to bump into Phil's question about proselytization, and also respond a little bit to Barbara. The question to us was about evangelization: it seems that the bishops are stressing it, but when you look on the ground...while the priest might be doing the liturgical and sacramental life, what you see often times is that he is doing development efforts, schools and others. That's what I thought you were saying. Rather interesting, there has been developments in the Catholic system, and it has in fact come from Asia and has affected the rest of the church. It now speaks of inter-religious dialogue and speaks of it in four types: one is theological, and that's connected with the evangelical, and that was stressed much before. But the others are spirituality - where people like monks like Thomas Merton will go from Gethsemani Monastery over to Asia and die in the process of that - assistance in the development area, and daily living. And if I think anything, we've moved down the scale of those, away from that at the top, and much more at the other three types. I think that offers some real hope for inter-religious dialogue, particularly coming from the Catholic system, and the inspiration has come from the Asian bishops.

1396 1397 I'd like to touch also on Phil's thought about proselytization: is it ever successful? I'm not too familiar enough totally, but I might say that I would be a little careful, a little more nuanced 1398 about that. I've been told recently, within a relatively short time there will be a very strong 1399 1400 Islamic effort in China - who would have thought 10 years ago? Christianity in Africa right now, the Western experience of Christianity has turned on its head, so that we were originally taking 1401 1402 the Gospel, if you will, to Africa. Now it's completely reversed, there will be far more in the 1403 south. So the southernization of Christianity in North America and the West is upon us. Yet you 1404 could question it in Asia - the Catholic system has been very unsuccessful there, as you said. 1405 To touch finally on Barbara's anti-Christian thing...you got me thinking Barbara, just the way 1406 1407 that you phrased that, about two interesting situations, one in Myanmar and the other in Pakistan. I remember being in a Catholic church in Pakistan and asking people what they were doing. One 1408 1409 after another I learned that they were street cleaners. It was very interesting to ask this, and what you got here is that the dominant religion decides to cast off certain segments of people. So it's 1410 1411 not so much your going in there at night and stealing people from them, but your picking up the people a society dominated by a certain religion will not accept. Switch to Myanmar – I was 1412 1413 amazed when I went there this time last year, and found out how the Catholic church has been 1414 successful not among the Brahmins, but among the tribal groups on the outskirts that they [the 1415 dominant society] didn't want somehow. This is a very nuanced discussion I think, I'm sorry but I hope I would pick up on three different areas. Thank you. 1416 1417 Mary Zurbuchen: I'm not sure I kept good track of all the questions, but I think it's interesting just on the issue of essentializing Christian responses. In Indonesia Protestantism and 1418 1419 Catholicism are two different religions officially. There's very much some contestations and differentiation between those communities. In fact, some observers have said that a lot of the so 1420 1421 called conversion that people are worrying about is actually people switching denominations within the larger Protestant or Catholic communities rather that people being converted from 1422 1423 Islam. There is also, depending on where you are in Indonesia – as always, what you see depends

on where you sit – there are places in Indonesia where there are Christian majorities, in Eastern

Indonesia particularly. In these communities, aggressive moves to build mosques and privileging

1424

of Islamic groups is seen as a danger in the opposite way, the opposite conversion flow. In terms of flow of money, Mohammadiya and NU are not the groups that are absorbing the donations from the Saudi's and other advocates of more Salafi oriented perspectives in Indonesia. Those tend to be smaller groups, the groups that are using para-military types of organization and training often for their members that are getting trans-national flows of money. But the money isn't even as important as the information flows I think. The amount of publishing of translations of works from the Middle East and the new expression of hardline perspectives in Indonesia through this vast publishing industry is much more important and widespread I think.

In terms of definitions, humanitarianism versus other kind of social activities, I mean my response to the question took as a starting point that if you want to know what religious institutions are doing you have to understand how they see themselves. I think all of these groups, across South and Southeast Asia, are shaped by the injunctions within their own faiths to share resources and alleviate poverty and suffering, and that takes different shapes and forms at different points in time. So I don't think there is a clear line for them, between the international NGO agenda of humanitarian relief and other kinds of engagement.

Caroline Meyer White: I'd like to make a comment, and it's really just out of line maybe, but it's to take things out of this context because we all know my example Pakistan, how it's very much going towards extremists at the moment and how the political situation is very unstable. When I sit here and listen to all of this knowing all of that - but when you are there and you meet people one to one, then the experience is really depending on the personal interaction and it really depends on how you are perceived and your motivation. I've very often been met with the comment that "you're Muslim because of how you act." I'm not wearing a head scarf, I'm not trying in any way to act as if I am a Muslim, but...so what I'm trying to say is that even though there are all these tendencies in Pakistan, and in a pool it will come out like that, but when you meet a person he will act differently given the situation. I mean it's very obvious, but I just felt I had to bring it up.

Elizabeth Collins: I've also been told I'm Muslim in Indonesia because of the way I act, it is a common experience, I think, for those of us who've lived there and worked with people who are

more thoughtful about their Islam and what the values represent. I just wanted to respond to the one thing that got lost, and I wonder what Mary would say as well, about Lamia's question on manufactured incidence. I wanted to emphasize that a lot of the conflict over proselytization...it's a confused issue because there are certain groups that aggressively evangelize, but often the political conflicts occur around *perceived* proselytization which isn't real at all - they are manufactured incidence. Groups expect to benefit by recruiting new members. I think the biggest concern is that the state is taking no position on these kind of manufactured images, it allows the rioting, it allows the attacks, and then doesn't prosecute the perpetrators. This enhances the problem and perpetuates it, and I think that's a major issue right now in Indonesia.

Ria Shibata: This is pertaining to the proselytization issue from the stand point of the religious institution: in the case of the organization that I was studying, the Soka Gakkai, tremendous efforts were made over the past 40 years to educate the local actors and the leaders to work with the non-religious NGO's and UN agencies. Part of the reason is Soka Gakkai is well known for its culture of aggressive proselytization. First of all, the members have to be really educated about what is the connection between these outreach activities and their own faith. Years of educational efforts, training efforts, were made especially when these leaders visited Japan. Various successful case studies are introduced to the local leaders, and the "do's and don'ts" are also very much emphasized in these training courses for local leaders, because the biggest hurdle that they had to overcome in the initial stage was the skepticism and suspicion that the non-religious NGO's have when working with religious groups like the Soka Gakkai. I think they've really learned through trials and errors, for example, there was this one time they worked with and NGO called the Earth... [Recording ends]

Katherine Marshall: Just to mention three specific things, there is, of course, the Red Cross code of conduct, which people refer to...I mean the two issues are how much you link distributing Bibles or Qur'ans with delivering assistance. It's against that you make it any way conditional - that's part of Red Cross. The other issue is - are you exclusive? In other words, do you only deal with your own community or do you deal with anyone? Those are to Red Cross

what is defined as humanitarian situations. The second thing is that The World Council of 1487 Churches is putting huge efforts into looking at the proselytizing issue with Hans Ucko who 1488 coordinated that, and there are some websites. They got stuck at a certain point on definitions, 1489 but there are... 1490 1491 unidentified speaker: Ongoing dialogues? 1492 1493 **Katherine Marshall:** I don't think its ongoing from what I hear, but certainly they put a lot of 1494 effort into it and got a long way. I've also heard, that in the early stages of the tsunami there were 1495 a few kerfuffles around orphans, as is often the case, and on the ground the groups that were 1496 working worked out a code of conduct, but I've never been able to get my hands on it. 1497 Supposedly it was an actual "do's and don'ts" around the specific Indonesian tsunami relief. I 1498 don't think it came up as much in Sri Lanka and some of the other places, but those are at least 1499 three places to start. 1500 Mark Juergensmeyer: But Katherine, the Red Cross has a cross in its logo! 1501 1502 Katherine Marshall: Yes but that's Switzerland, its not a cross. Red Cross is not religious, 1503 that's one of their things. 1504 1505 Mark Juergensmeyer: I know but isn't that the whole issue with the Red Crescent trying to 1506 1507 create... 1508 **Katherine Marshall:** They are trying to have a red crystal now so that it's not religious. 1509 1510 unidentified speaker: In many Muslims countries it is a red crescent... 1511

1512 1513 **Katherine Marshall:** Well they established the crescent in Turkey, but the history of it - it ain't 1514 got nothing to do with religion. 1515 1516 [Cross Talk] 1517 Mary Zurbuchen: I think we are running out of time, but I just wanted to add the footnote on 1518 Aceh and the orphanages - it was highly publicized across Indonesia right after the tsunami that 1519 international aid groups were coming to establish orphanages so that children could be adopted 1520 by Christians outside of Indonesia, thus losing their Islamic heritage or souls or whatever. I think 1521 that is something that perhaps the groups themselves were very properly attentive to and that 1522 would underlie why they asked you to carry your activities that way. 1523 1524 Mark Woodward: I would just like any of you to comment on this because no one here has yet. 1525 As far as this proselytization issue is concerned, there is an 800 pound gorilla about right there 1526 [points to the middle of room], and that's Pentecostalism, and it's certainly a very big issue right 1527 now in Indonesia and I think it's a very big issue globally. The Catholics in Indonesia now say, 1528 "You know what, we don't have any problems with the Muslims, but these Pentecostals are 1529 driving us crazy!" They also don't conform to any ethical code of conduct that the World 1530 Council of Churches would come up with. 1531 1532 1533 **Panel Three: Luce Conference** 1534 Hilal Elver: Ok, good afternoon ladies and gentlemen! We are starting this afternoon session, 1535 the third session of our meeting. Here, we have this question: How does the changing political 1536 climate influence the work of faith-based organizations? You can, if you want, be more specific 1537 about this question, because more specific is better because specific examples will be helpful to 1538 go into more details about this subject matter. From this list, I am starting with Lamia Karim; she 1539 is an Associate Professor in the Department of Anthropology in the University of Oregon. Please 1540

1541 Lamia Karim: Thank you. Okay, I am going to be as specific as I can. As you know I am an 1542 anthropologist, so I am going to comment on three institutions that we have discussed about and that are active at the national level. I am going to talk from my own ethnographic experiences in 1543 1544 Bangladesh, which is about 15 years. 1545 First, I want to look at state policies regarding Islamization. Second, the institutions I want to look at are non-governmental organizations, or NGOs, and the third institutions will be 1546 1547 madrasah-based religious movements. Now at the national level let us examine some of the policies of the Bangladeshi state. Bangladesh became independent from Pakistan in December 1548 1971. Between 1972 and '75, it was a secular state under the quasi-nationalist party called the 1549 Awami League, *however*, the term 'secularism' and how it translated at the level of the public, 1550 1551 was never really addressed. What it meant for the citizens of Bangladesh to call themselves secular subjects, or subjects of a secular state, remained unanswered. Between 1975 and 1990 we 1552 had a military takeover and we had 15 years of military rule. During that time policies and 1553 practices with regard to Islamization were intensified. So I will give you a list of about 9 or 10 1554 1555 points that I think were critical in changing the landscape in which NGOs, religious groups, and ordinary citizens were all participating. 1556 1557 First, was the removal of secularism from the Constitution and its replacement by Bismillahi-1558 rahmani-rahim. Second, in 1988, almost 13 years after the military takeover, the second military 1559 dictator made Islam the state religion. However, all other religions were given their right to 1560 practice their religion. So, again, there is ambiguity at the level of the Constitution: What does it 1561 mean to call the state a religious state? A lot of answers remain unaddressed. The military 1562 government also created the Islamic Foundation that, among many other things, did a very 1563 1564 critical thing- the Qur'an was translated into Bangla from Arabic in the early 1970s and was made available to the masses. Ordinary people could now buy a Bangla translation of the Qur'an 1565 1566 and read the interpretation for themselves. They didn't necessarily have to depend on the clergy to translate. The Zakaat Board was also established at the national level. You had the 1567 1568 establishment of an Islamic university that was open to students from all Islamic, or Muslim, majority countries. Friday was made the national holiday instead of Sunday, which we had from 1569 1570 colonial times. Formerly banned Islamic political parties were allowed to participate in national 1571 level politics. Between 1972 and 1975, the Islamic political parties had been banned. Now, they

could publicly recruit people, have their meetings, and also try for public office. Madrasahs and 1572 1573 mosques were made tax exempt and *madrasah* education, especially what we call in the private madrasah, or qawmi madrasahs, were allowed to grow unsupervised. There was a massive 1574 growth of *qawmi*, or private *madrasahs* that were funded by money from the Middle East, 1575 primarily from Saudi Arabia. There are unofficial figures saying something between 30 to 1576 40,000 *gawmi madrasahs* are in existence in Bangladesh, but even if that is an exaggerated 1577 1578 number, the number is very high. You also have an unregulated flow of funds from the Middle 1579 East to the Islamic NGOs as well as the Islamic political parties and the madrasahs, and then you have subsidies to pilgrims, Bangladeshi pilgrims, to go for *Hajj* to Mecca. So these are some of 1580 1581 the changes that happened at the national level. 1582 1583 At the regional level, this is also very important, whatever happens in Bangladesh is contingent 1584 upon what happens in India, especially in West Bengal, our neighboring state. If the BJP comes 1585 to power in West Bengal, you are going to have a rise in support for Islamic political parties in Bangladesh, and I can talk a little bit about the history later on in the Q and A if you have 1586 1587 questions. 1588 1589 At the transnational level, global contact with the Middle Eastern countries grew after the independence of Bangladesh in 1971. In 1972, Bangladeshi migrant labor began to go to the 1590 Middle East to work and they came in contact with the practices attached to Islam in the Middle 1591 1592 East. They found a new way of being Muslim and they brought them back when they came home. Another thing is about NGOs and the state - the NGOs started relief and rehabilitation 1593 work in 1972, but very slowly moved into development work. There are over 2,000 NGOs at 1594 1595 work that are directly foreign funded and they work exclusively with women and primarily 1596 microfinance. If you look at this relationship between NGOs and the state, it is very important to 1597 examine the role of the state under neo-liberalism. I say that the NGOs have privatized the state and many of the state functions such as education, health care, etc. have been outsourced to the 1598 NGOs. I can talk about this more if you have questions. 1599 Another important area that I think we haven't addressed, is that the NGOs were supported by 1600 1601 the state, the military state primarily, because they wanted to break up the left political parties in 1602 Bangladesh. In the early '70s, as you know, India had a strong movement in left political parties

and there was support for it on the Bangladeshi side. By bringing in a resource-rich institution to work with the rural poor, you are sort of bifurcating the ability of the left to get the rural poor as a constituency.

Now, a couple of things to think about at the level of the public: oxne sees a large number of women, who are educated and well-off, who have joined the Tablighi Jamaat movement in Bangladesh. You also see a rise among educated young men and women, many of them educated in the West, who have joined Hizb ut-Tahrir, which is now a banned Islamic group in the country. And then third, you look at the leading feminists, many of them have NGOs and who are extremely secular minded, and they are really at a great distance from the rural and urban women. So I think these are some of the things that we can address. Lastly, the question I wanted to ask you to address, perhaps as a group, is to think about not religious institutions primarily based in scriptures and piety, but to think about how power operates within these groups. Thank you.

Hilal Elver: Thank you very much for Lamia Karim and our second speaker is Philip
 Oldenburg. He is from South Asia Institute, Columbia University.

Philip Oldenburg: Take the proposition of political change in the recent generation, say 30 years, and I stood back and looked at it and it occurred to me, as I mentioned earlier, that the other side of the equation - now this is the impact of this on faith-based organizations - has to be re-translated because the faith-*inspired* organizations of most significance in South Asia seems to me to belong on the "political change" part. That has been the marker of political change in South Asia. Perhaps the most important marker along with, of course, the market opening and so forth in India. Maybe not the most important, but it's a very significant change that you have organizations such as the RSS and other Hindu nationalist organizations, or Hindu nationalists more generally, in the Sangh Parivar emerging in India in a big way in the 1980s and 90s - now in decline. I 'm not sure in terminal decline, but certainly in decline. You have the rise of *jihadisalafi* parties and movements and so forth in Pakistan. You've had the emergence or the remergence of the *Jamaat* now trying to be re-bottled in Bangladesh. You have a Buddhist political party in Sri Lanka. Nepal is actually the one place I will probably leave out because it doesn't seem to follow all these things. It's very hard to disentangle these two sides of the

equation, this question that this panel is supposed to be addressing, at least for me.

1634

1635

1636

1637

1638

1639

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

1647

1648

1649

1650

1651

1652

1633

That said though, if we just stand back and look at the issue of changing political systems, they clearly differ, the countries of South Asia are not all changing in the same sorts of ways. And, in fact, all of these countries are very large and they have discreet changing political systems within each country, that may be in the provincial level, that may be regional, it may be right down to parts of states, district-level. So to summarize those changes which are going on on average, who knows what's happening? On average, over here it's becoming more violent, over there it's becoming calmer. What is on average that stayed the same? Well, this is problematic. I'm going to say that, in fact, it's much the same, but with that footnote, that, in fact, in various parts of the region, and in each country and within each country, each country's region, there is back and forth change of various kinds. In general, I think the one thing that does unite them is that there is a relatively strong and autonomous...that is to say not affected by classes or outside forces very much, the state, the bureaucracy, the military, and popular institutions that govern. They may govern inefficiently, they may govern corruptly, but they are not without effect. There is no country that is collapsing, there is no country that is even close to collapse, and I would argue even with any statement saying that a country is failing. Yes, there are certain parts of countries that are out of the control of the state, but that's been true throughout South Asian independent history and those formerly disrupted areas have become re-integrated into the state authority in the past, and I suspect that they will be back and forth with that, with some exceptions that have continued forever. For example, the FATA areas of Pakistan are not so simple to integrate.

16531654

1655

1656

1657

1658

1659

1660

1661

All of the societies, I think, and important for the political activity, are relatively open in a global context. That is to say, it has a place even in military-ruled Pakistan. There was an openness, a willingness for people to talk and to behave in certain ways, which is, I think, unusual. Of course, by the time you get to the fully democratic places within India, for example, it is totally an open society, which I think, in a very important way continues to be true. The only country that I am worrying about at the moment is what's happening in Sri Lanka as the political system seems to be moving towards capture by a family - talk about dynasty politics. You know, four major political leaders are brothers. I don't know if there was anything quite like that before.

The impact of religious organizations: the alternatives to the extremists locally are weak, in 1664 1665 terms of religious institutions throughout South Asia. As far as I know all these religions are very local, there is no global, no nation-wide church - the priests, the *mullahs*, are not objects of 1666 1667 great respect on the whole. It's not at all clear to me that the grassroots, religion-led, religious figure-led kinds of things are going to happen very much in most of South Asia. I hope to be 1668 converted to a different view, but I don't think so. 1669 1670 A religion as ethical system, it seems to me, has not been a break with what I think of as very unethical actions. Here we have a Buddhist country, an explicitly Buddhist country and a 1671 Buddhist government in Sri Lanka, doing things to the LTTE, which perhaps they richly 1672 deserved, but nonetheless does not strike me as an example of compassion that the Buddha 1673 1674 would have approved of. I think we can talk about similar things in the other countries. There is 1675 no emergence of a nation-wide faith-based organization of significance, as far as I can tell. Now this is a problem because South Asia is so gigantic, that you have organizations that are hundreds 1676 of thousands of people strong, perhaps even millions of people, but that is just a drop in the India 1677 bucket, in particular, which has 1.2 billion people. Speaking as a political scientist trying to deal 1678 with political change in India, I don't see the faith-based organizations, on the civil society side, 1679 having the kind of impact that even their great numbers would suggest. As I said, just to return to 1680 my original point, on the other side, on the political movement side, that is to say, religious faith-1681 based organizations, or faith-inspired organizations, have turned themselves into political parties 1682 1683 and movements, which have had an enormous impact. Thank you. 1684 Hilal Elver: Thank you very much. Our third speaker is Victoria Riskin. She is a board member of the Human Rights Watch. Thank you. 1685 1686 **Victoria Riskin**: Sorry, I have a little bit of a cold so I apologize for my deep and lovely 1687 sonorous voice this afternoon. It's nice to be here again and to focus on this topic. I realized that 1688 in thinking about it, as Human Rights Watch does its work in the region, very often our focus is on what is happening to religious minorities. The human rights abuses that take place often play 1689 themselves out around issues of power and control so that you find yourself looking at specific 1690 cases where religious groups such as Buddhist monks or Muslim minorities or Christian groups 1691 are – or even groups that are imposing severe and extreme interpretations of Shari'a law- are the 1692 1693 focus of a human rights investigation, of a Human Rights Watch investigation. The changing

political climate has everything to do with the establishment of freedom or *lack* of freedom and religion.

Everyone knows article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights is that everyone has the right to the freedom of thought, conscience, and religion and that includes freedom to change his or her religion or belief and freedom, either alone or in community with others in public or private, to manifest his or her religious beliefs in teachings, practices in worship, and observance. I just stated that because I think it's so core and that we are at a very powerful crossroads where everyone looks to the East and sees this giant of China taking an important role on the world stage. China maybe a good place to start the conversation because China has in its Constitution, like many of these other countries, very strong and clear language about freedom of religion, and freedom of assembly, and speech and so on, on the one hand - there seems to be an effort to put the right language into the Constitutional documents. But, on the other hand, religious groups represent a very clear threat to the power and authority of many states such as China. You have in China 70 million Falun Gong who are banned, who simply cannot gather together without being at risk of arrest and torture- or even someone who might be a lawyer who wants to represent the Falun Gong.

You also have the whole issue of Tibet. While there aren't a lot of Tibetans, there's world sympathy for the Tibetans and the way they're treated by the Chinese has not broken their attachment to their Buddhist past. In the run up to the Olympics, there were demonstrations and the crackdown was very severe. In a community that has a large number of monks or nuns, gatherings in Tibet are strictly controlled and spies often placed inside the monasteries. You have the Uyghurs in the western part of China - there was an uprising that Human Rights Watch detailed, in which several hundreds of people were injured and many killed, and there was a vilification of Rebiya Kadeer who is sort of like the Dalai Lama figure for the Uyghurs, but is now outside the country. From the point of view of the Chinese state, when there are uprisings around the country based on economic injustice and then you have a coalition of people built around a religious tradition, religion represents a very serious threat to their ongoing power and control. From what I understand, there are many what they call "house-churches" cropping up in China, where people have religious gatherings in their living rooms and choose not to register. I

think as the Chinese become more educated and more affluent, the ability for the government to 1725 control religious activity is going to begin to breakdown. The same thing occurs in our findings 1726 1727 in Vietnam where groups of monks who have been critical of the government, have been arrested and tortured. Thich Nhat Hanh was a very popular Western Buddhist leader who was invited to 1728 1729 come back and all that went well at the time, and I'm going to underscore this, when Vietnam wanted to have better trade relations with the United States. He was invited back and started a 1730 1731 temple again, and then when he left, over time members of his community began to be arrested. 1732 So whether it's in Burma, where the monks were cracked down on, I think the question that we 1733 have to ask ourselves is, do we have a responsibility to do something about that? Does it matter? 1734 Does the rest of the sort of Western-oriented, democratic free-speech countries have a role to play and, if so, what leverage is there? And is this a turning point and do we need to be thinking 1735 1736 about that more deeply?" Thank you. 1737 1738 Hilal Elver: Thank you very much. Our last speaker is Surichai Wun'gaeo. He is the Director of Peace and Conflict Studies, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok. 1739 Surichai Wun'gaeo: I am going to follow up on the last question of my colleague to get started. 1740 Maybe I will bring in the question, what is happening in certain place like in the case of Burma? 1741 What kind of responsibility do we have? It is a question not only for Westerners. I would say the 1742 issue that put me here is how the political climate makes an impact on faith-based organizations. 1743 1744 I have five points to relate to this. 1745 First one is quite ambiguous when you talk about political climate- it is ambiguous and becomes so dependent upon how people interpret it. Talking about faith-based organizations, some 1746 1747 Buddhists organizations in Thailand are so ritualistic that I'm not sure about faith, you see. Religious values are not quite expressed in the practice and in the rituals. This is my first point. 1748 1749 1750 My second point is that I cannot go apart from relating myself to my great experience. In the 1751 '70s, my generation had gone through military coups and a student massacre. In that period, of the late '70s, we witnessed a very small group of people among four religions: Catholic, 1752 Buddhists, Islam and also Christians joined hands to start a group called CGRS – the 1753 Coordinating Group for Religion and Society. At that time, they were very instrumental in 1754

highlighting the details of suppression in the context of politics during and after the coup in the late '70s to the international public. I think that how a network of faith-based organizations interpret the political climate in a way that can be meaningful. In that sense, I think I cannot say in general, but how the nature of engagement is being seen in this context.

From here I go to my next point, that it is much more dependent on how faith-based organizations situate themselves in the global and political and also, more concretely, how they see consumeristic and market oriented globalization. My point here is to relate to my country, a country where maybe 90% are Buddhists. The Buddhist circles may be differentiated: at least one in the mainstream, very traditional and very centralized bureaucracy, where they are much related to the state. Second, there are also other types who are more adaptive to the market. They started their own television programs and make a lot of efforts in 'provocation', but not linking to any social issues, they do not identify themselves at all [with those issues], but they are more responsive to pacifying the people who have been busy with making money, in the sense that giving some meditation courses. There are others who are more anti-systemic, in the sense that they are alternative, looking beyond the consumeristic and also critical of power in the context of what is happening in the development of Thailand as well as its relationship with the neighboring countries. So there are these types of trends, even among the Buddhist circles themselves, but the latter ones are rather marginalized.

My point before the last is that I cannot avoid saying that the recent developments in Thailand and polarization politics. This recent period from zero sum politics, winner takes all politics, state capture politics, has a real serious impact on faith-based organizations. Although there was a struggle to put Buddhism as the national religion, written into the Constitution, but it was not successful. Still the movement in this context is related to some nationalistic movements, linking to the border issues with Cambodia for example. In that context also we see the strengthening or hardening of religious positions in terms of political lines, within Buddhist circles, vis-a-vis Muslim circles in particular after the violence in the South in the last six years or so. In that context, polarization politics really have shrunk the spaces of civil society engagement but there are different efforts to overcome this beyond those who are very internally engaged, but rather to reach out – we see more promising efforts among the interfaith development groups, and also

monks, as well as responding to the issues of stateless children with migrant workers on the 1786 border with Burma, for example. Thank you very much. 1787 1788 Hilal Elver: Thank you very much for all speakers. The first speaker, Dr. Karim, spoke about Bangladesh and the relationship between religion and politics and secularism and how military 1789 1790 coup and religious resurgence right after, came to the political environment. The second speaker, Dr. Oldenburg, dealt with the question of the changing political climate in the South Asian 1791 1792 perspective in specific countries. Dr. Riskin talked from the human rights perspective and the freedom of religion and the protection of the minorities in South Asia, specifically China. Our 1793 last speaker spoke about his experience in Thailand, predominantly in Buddhist societies, and the 1794 role of politics in the context of Thailand. We have half an hour, be as specific as possible, in 1795 1796 your questions and comments. Be as short as possible so that we can talk more. **Barbara Metcalf:** Is it possible between Phil and Lamia to talk a little bit about the issue of how 1797 much has been 'top-down' in terms of creating a type of political culture in which it is necessary 1798 to invoke religious symbols? Because from Lamia's talk, that's what I really got, that it was 1799 really the military doing that. I am also interested in just the facts of, whether or not Bangladesh, 1800 for example, still has the kind of principles in its Constitution that Victoria was talking about. 1801 Obviously India does, but I was just wondering if there was some sort of comparison there that 1802 you two might make about the state structure. 1803 1804 Mark Juergensmeyer: While we are fishing for information, I would like to ask Phil and 1805 Lamia, but also Barbara and Caroline, about Pakistan. Coming in by car this morning, there was this guy on NPR from Brookings who said Pakistan is falling apart, it's in crisis, it is deeply 1806 1807 divided. He gave the example of the killing of the governor of Punjab. He said "Look at this! It's an extremist political branch and rhetoric fueled by religion, he got shot down just in a middle 1808

class mall." I was like, "Wait a minute, are you talking about the Congresswoman in Tucson

or..." [Laughter] But then his whole thing was that this was a state where, I thought, only 7 % of

1809

1810

1811

1812

1813

Barbara Metcalf: That was a fluke. It's never been that high.

the electorate voted for religious parties in the last election-

1814 Mark Juergesmever: Right. Never been that high? So help me out. What's really going on? 1815 1816 Barbara Metcalf: Can I just say one thing? My first comment to Phil when we met was "I just 1817 wish we could just spend the whole weekend talking about Pakistan." 1818 1819 **Hilal Elver:** We have one more question. 1820 **Thomas Uthup:** Yes, my first question is very specific to Phil. I wonder if Rajapaksa are 1821 becoming much more nationalistic and if having this militaristic operation against the LTTE has 1822 1823 enabled him to marginalize the JDP, the militant Buddhist group. 1824 **Philip Oldenburg:** Yes, that's a different set of issues. I've forgotten... 1825 1826 **Thomas Uthup:** Yes, it enabled him to marginalize that group in Sri Lankan society. My 1827 question to Victoria: I've been seeing some debate because our organization is somewhat 1828 concerned about some of the reactions from the countries in the South – which are often 1829 castigated by the International Association of Religious Freedom, the US Commission report on 1830 religious freedom – that while they may have less of a problem with freedom of worship, giving 1831 people the opportunity to build [indistinct], the big problem they have is with the freedom to 1832 proselytize, the freedom to convert, because this creates for the regimes themselves problems 1833 1834 with faith-based organizations who are pushing for laws against conversion- whether it's in India, the Hindu groups, you know, keep pushing for laws against conversion. In many African 1835 1836 countries, of course, there is also this tension and you go back to the Article 18, which was written at a time when the West was in power so that the assertion, which I don't necessarily 1837 1838 agree with, is that this is just a Western conception of freedom of religion and why should we go

with it?

1839

Jan Pieterse: A question on the hypothesis related to Pakistan: to what extent are current dynamics that have been unfolding over the past year been a consequence of the American war in Afghanistan, the NATO war in Afghanistan, whereby the reasoning is, if you take the war into Waziristan, into the tribal areas, the border areas, it is alright. Then we will we will retaliate in your centers. We will retaliate in Islamabad and in Lahore because as you have breached, with the complicity of the Pakistan government, surely, you have breached the social and political contract that has existed since the beginning of Pakistan, with these are tribal areas, communities etc. If you breach that, then we will breach your alignment. This is not simply Pakistan, this is geopolitics and geo-culture.

Mark Juergensmeyer: Yes, because the Brookings guy was saying this is the reason for a particular American presence. If you are right, then it is the American presence that has created the problem in the first place.

Barbara Metcalf: It's like you're from Arizona therefore you need more guns...

Mark Juergensmeyer: Yeah, exactly.

Jan Pieterse: The American presence can be described as the arsonist-fireman.

Richard Falk: It's tempting to continue Jan's line of conjecture, but I wanted to comment on Vicki Riskin's important question: What sort of responsibility do we have as a consequence of religious persecution going on in these various countries? It seems to me, that the way to formulate that issue is to ask one further question, "What forms of responsibility *can be* effective in helping the situation?" Because, in some sense, part of what the Western world, particularly the United States, has been doing, is to pretend that there is a coercive mode of liberating societies that are subject to this religious persecution. My view is that this almost invariably makes the situation worse. I think the real question to focus on is how do you translate empathy for those who are being victimized by religious repression of various forms without engaging in

interventionary behavior that is productive of sustained violence and having many negative consequences for the target societies?

William Headley: There have been several oblique references to Buddhism, I'd like somebody to raise it up specifically as an informal or formal actor in this whole situation, in two respects: one is the whole question of resistance. We saw it in Tibet, we saw it in Myanmar, where American eyebrows were raised when this happened. We were shocked. We didn't associate this with the peaceful, sacred haze that the Dalai Lama or Thich Nhat Hanh had put to us – the meditation and the saffron robes – it just didn't match that. When you put that in some perspective...what's it going to take to get our attention? We became suddenly aware of Islam when we had an experience of aggression. What's it going to take to make us aware of Buddhism? Two questions.

Lamia Karim: In response to Barbara's question, and something that Mark and others raised, both in the case of Bangladesh and in Pakistan what you see is very much of a top-down effort from the state level. If you look at Pakistan in the '60s under Ayub Khan, it was a military dictatorship and it was a far more secular state. Ayub Khan in 1961 or 1963 passed the Muslim Family Law, which made having more than one wife without her permission illegal, and there are a lot of other provisions included in that. However, in 1972 when Bhutto, who was a highly secular person, comes to power in Pakistan one of the first things he did was to make the Ahmadis non-Muslims in Pakistan. And in '78, under Zia-ul-Haqq, who was extremely Islamic or extremely conservative, he makes the Pakistani state an Islamic state and then you have the Hudood ordinance. These have been top-down efforts, however, these efforts are very much linked to politics and democratization, because when you need vote banks, when you need to curry favor with different political parties, that's when you are forming alliances behind closed doors and you are making certain, what I would say, "unholy agreements." This has been the landscape of politics both in Bangladesh, Pakistan, and to a lesser degree, the other South Asian countries.

Philip Oldenburg: Yes, maybe just to continue that - the fact is that a vote for the Islamic parties in Pakistan at the time of military dictatorship in a somewhat rigged election, in particular

1899 in terms of the requirements, because they somehow managed to build a coalition and get 11% of 1900 the vote. That's their high point and absolutely their highest point. Nonetheless, it is perfectly 1901 true that there is a lot of alliance work going on in Pakistan from the top-down. One of the interesting things to me, the Family Laws Ordinance, is it's a very liberal ordinance, in its time 1902 1903 certainly, and it's still there- even though you have an Islamic and *jihadi* influence allegedly in Pakistan - it's still there. That suggests to me that while it is a top-down thing, once you 1904 implement something Islamic it's very hard to remove it out of the political practice and the law. 1905 1906 Let me talk about the Buddhist party, it's the easiest one. I have been doing my best to follow what's been going on in Sri Lanka, I've seen no mention of the Buddhist party even raising its 1907 head at this point. It's very much this patriotic nationalist business that is there. In terms of when 1908 1909 are we going to pay attention: Well, the monks in Burma were not attacking the army violently. It seems to me that Buddhism's nonviolence is something that ought to make them feel guilty, 1910 and I suspect that there are plenty of monks who feel badly with how the Tamils were treated in 1911 Sri Lanka. It's not that Buddhist countries can't be violent, obviously not, but I don't know 1912 1913 whether we can see it in quite the same way as others- I don't think the examples are as strong. 1914 But that's fine, I'm an outsider and somewhat sympathetic to them. 1915 1916 Pakistan falling apart and the government of Punjab: this is a point I wanted to make earlier. The governor of Punjab who was assassinated, was assassinated because he defended the Blasphemy 1917 Law. And he did it by seeking pardon for this woman who was accused of blasphemy. The 1918 woman is a Christian, and as Bill pointed out, the Christians in North Pakistan are 1919 overwhelmingly ex-untouchables. The original complaint that the people made about her was 1920 that she touched their water vessels. In other words, this was not an issue of blasphemy, it was an 1921 1922 issue of untouchability that occurred. I think this is a nice footnote to underscore the point I made earlier about religion in South Asia being practice and not necessarily belief in some of its most 1923 1924 important things. 1925 I have been hearing that Pakistan was falling – I was hoping, of course, that Pakistan would fall 1926 apart in 1971, I worked for that. But since then, I have been hearing that Pakistan is falling apart and it just hasn't managed to do it. The fact is that the Punjab, on the whole – I mean there are a 1927 few suicide bombings and so forth – but it is *not* coming apart. The administration is working, 1928

maybe inefficiently and with corruption, but it *is* working. Things like population growth rate is going down, all kinds of things are happening. The economic growth rate actually hasn't been all that bad. And the Punjab is something like 60% of Pakistan and it will exercise hegemony over as much of Pakistan as it can. It may lose control over North Waziristan, or leave it open, but it's not falling apart and it won't fall apart in the near future. I don't know. Caroline perhaps has something else to say. I haven't been there recently, but I don't think so.

I am in absolute sympathy that US-NATO actions in Afghanistan have provoked certain groups in certain ways; but I am also very cynical about Pakistan. The Pakistan military, which *is* in control of the Pakistan government, has milked the US government once we got ourselves in there, so that many of these attacks are against the military. At least until they succeeded, after which the military woke up and said "Hey, they're attacking us, we better..." and that is why the military obviously, to my mind, is collaborating with the drone attacks – they can't happen without the Pakistan military's active involvement. The interesting thing about these things is that there is a great deal that is not an attack...it is not retaliating on the Pakistani people, they targeted people and they are trying to mobilize people against the military and the military's alliance. And the military is afraid of it.

Mark Juergensmeyer: The scenario of Pakistan falling apart which you hear all over the American media, is that something being promoted by the Pakistan military to encourage the American military?

Philip Oldenburg: I don't know...

Philip Oldenburg: The military is playing a very important game, and it has to do with India – they want to retain India as their enemy. They want to retain their position along with the huge chunk of resources of the Pakistan government. Their position as controllers of a national security policy, they want to have this so-called 'depth' in Afghanistan, they're waiting for the US to get out eventually, and that's the long-term game that they're playing. The Taliban - the so-called Pakistan Taliban, which is a bad name- recognize that and feel they can outmaneuver

them. And yes, the point about Pakistan falling apart, is what [indistinct] once said about 1959 Bangladesh, but I think it's true about Pakistan: "Everybody expects Pakistan to go down the 1960 1961 drain, they don't realize that there's no drain big enough to accommodate Pakistan. It is too huge...what I'm talking about when I'm talking about internal differentiation, you have to look 1962 at the whole country. Most of the country is at relative peace and relative working order. A few 1963 bombers does not make for a country falling apart. I just don't see it, I'm sorry. If the person 1964 from Brookings was Steve Cohen, I might, but – 1965 1966 1967 Mark Juergensmeyer: The thing that puzzles me is where does that [idea] come from? 1968 **Philip Oldenburg:** I don't know. 1969 1970 Caroline Meyer White: What I experienced on the ground in Pakistan is that people's 1971 awareness has completely risen in the last few years regarding all these terrorist groups. Four 1972 years ago, if I came saying to the people, "What about all these guys who are being recruited 1973 1974 here and there?" They would have said, "No no no. This is not in Pakistan. This is somewhere else." But today, everybody will agree. "Oh yea, it's going on, it's going on big time." All the 1975 parentless children are being picked up by these guys as well. 1976 **Victoria Riskin:** But is that a good shift in awareness? Not a shift in reality but in awareness? 1977 1978 Caroline Meyer White: Yes, I think so. 1979 1980 Mark Juergensmeyer: The fear level has risen. 1981 1982 Caroline Meyer White: I don't think the reality has changed a lot, but yes they've come out 1983 more. Now there are bombs in Islamabad and bombs in Lahore. Maybe there wasn't so much 1984 five years ago. It's mostly that the general Pakistani public have become aware of it and I think 1985 1986 maybe that's why we see it differently.

1987 1988

Mark Juergensmeyer: Clearly the fear level is so high that no *mullah* would officiate at the funeral [of Salmaan Taseer].

1990

1991

1992

1993

1994

1995

1996

1997

1998

1999

2000

2001

2002

2003

2004

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

1989

Barbara Metcalf: No one wanted to be associated with him. I think, in a sense, it would be very easy to see something about religion as core to what happened in this case – Muslims must care so passionately about Islam that they're anti-Christian, or something like that. But you have to look at Salmaan Taseer as a kind of symbol of what he was, and as I looked around at the various reporting- you all kind of know the story, right? He is shot, 24 bullets, the bodyguard does not protect him. It's from within and there is this total distancing. Is there any civil society in Pakistan? The lawyers were the big hope, but it was the lawyers who were showering the assassin with rose petals. That's basically the situation that we're talking about, right? So, what is this about? It seems to me it's very hard not to say that what Salmaan Taseer represented, in a fundamental way, and it's glossed as secular – to say that someone is secular is like saying they are *Dajjal* or the Anti-Christ. They're evil, they're satanic, all of the above. In fact, what Taseer represents is that fabulously rich layer of Pakistani society in which everybody in the army, everybody in politics, led by a totally corrupt prime minister who has no moral authority whatsoever, has their house in Doha, their house in Qatar, their house in Switzerland, their money. One of the links I clicked on was to an expatriate Canadian paper that showed Taseer next to a woman with dyed hair and her sari falling off. And that kind of sums it up. We live in a society where, as Caroline tells us, in ex-WNFP, 30% of the population lives as serfs, but still, where you have this incredible divergence. So maybe, to come back to American policy, what Biden should be out there is not saying, 'You've got to bomb North Waziristian even more." Until you start taxing, until you start reorganizing your society internally, you're going to have this kind of thing that you're describing, people saying, "We have to find the moral order somewhere." And you know, I bet when that guy said that we are all Taliban, he probably thinks the Taliban stand for order and justice

20142015

Mark Juergensmeyer: ...and equality.

2016 Barbara Metcalf: ...and equality. 2017 2018 2019 Caroline Meyer White: It's not a criminal organization-2020 2021 Barbara Metcalf: Weeeeelll, you know.... 2022 **Hilal Elver:** It's three o'clock. What are we going to do? 2023 2024 Mark Juergensmeyer: Let's keep going for a few more minutes. 2025 2026 2027 Victoria Riskin: I was going to try to respond to what Richard said, because, of course, he asked 2028 the \$64,000 question to which I'll give a \$2.95 cent answer. But to answer your question, is freedom of religion, or assembly, or speech a Western concept? And are we in the business of 2029 trying to shove that down the throats of people around the world? Which is a little bit going to 2030 2031 your question, right? So what I discovered in doing human rights work around the world, is that it is usually not the victims who question the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, it's usually 2032 2033 the people in power. So, the question then, is Richard's question: how do we move countries – 2034 wherever they are in the world, in Asia, Southeast Asia – towards respect for religious groups, or 2035 respect for human rights? Unfortunately we often do that in Western countries, maybe particularly the United States, in a hypocritical way. We have such a long history of doing that in 2036 2037 a hypocritical way, of supporting governments if we like them and want to do business with them. The answer, at least in the big picture, is we always have to start at home, and ensure 2038 2039 human rights inside our own tent. Not only the United States, but all countries who would adhere to these principles and set a good example. Secondly, be part of a family of countries who say 2040 this is how we see the world and it matters and then state that clearly. Sanctions have not been 2041 terribly successful. We've put all the sanctions we can on Burma, and it makes it no difference. 2042

So we have to start to massage the neighborhood. India now is a rising power, half-democratic,

foundation in the rule of law and wanting to be an important world citizen with a sense of pride. 2045 2046 And I think that's where you work on – I'll use a lousy expression - the coalition of the willing. When we have those conversations of how Burma is conducting itself, the neighbors who want 2047 2048 something from Burma say, "Well, let's give them some more time. It's okay. It's a little 2049 corrupt." But we have to say it clearly, without, perhaps, sounding arrogant, just clearly. I don't 2050 know, other views? 2051 Jan Pieterse: We've got two minutes for quick point. One is WikiLeaks. In Pakistan, it is a 2052 public secret that, while the government officially condemns the American drone attacks in tribal 2053 2054 areas, the government is colluding. What is WikiLeaks? It confirms through classified 2055 documents that yes, this is indeed the case. Here the media is pooh-poohing that, "Ohhhh, WikiLeaks is not important, nothing special is in there." Well, there is. Also in relation to 2056 Tunisia. Second point. I totally agree with you that there is inequality; structural, profound 2057 2058 inequality with the Punjabi landlords, and landlords everywhere, and an illiteracy rate that is over 2059 70%. It is the key problem. When geopolitics is at stake, who cares about killing the peasants? 2060 2061 **Hilal Elver:** It's very unsettling to finish with your comment. That's why I am going to allow 2062 one last comment. [Laughter and crosstalk] 2063 2064 Mark Woodward: This may be only slightly less depressing, but this is an observation: when 2065 we are looking at Pakistan and Bangladesh, and Malaysia, what we're really seeing with these 2066 state-sponsored "Islams" is that they seem to be pretty uniform across the board. They really emphasize "symbols of Islamists" and because it is the only thing that they can institutionalize, 2067 you get stuff like Hudood. You get a real-Shari'a centered type of Islam because that's the thing 2068 2069 the state can institutionalize. It's kind of hard to institutionalize Qawwali, or something like that or the other forms of Islam. But Shari'a is pretty easy. The state can get its hands around Shari'a 2070 2071 pretty easily and make that a symbol. I find a comparison of these three countries to be extremely

intriguing and for some of you who know this much better than I do, it's kind of interesting that

they're all former British colonies, and what the nature of British colonialism might have to do

half with a whole slew of human rights problems that are domestic problems, but still with a

2044

2072

with that... 2074 2075 [crosstalk] 2076 Mark Juergensmeyer: But if you could just complete that thought, do you have even more 2077 radical groups within each one of these countries that try to subvert the Islamic authority, 2078 because now you have state Islam- Islam is the state, the state is Islam- therefore if you want to be an authoritarian, you can't be secular because that's Westernizing. Instead, you become 2079 jihadi, you become super-Muslim. 2080 2081 Mark Woodward: That might be the argument, I don't know. We haven't seen that in Malaysia, 2082 but Malaysia's a funny case. But there would be an argument that if you want to be anti-2083 2084 establishment, that becoming super-Islamic, like *jihadi*, would be one choice. 2085 Elizabeth Collins: One is the issue of state control, but the other is the issue of inequality, and 2086 inequality is not as bad in Malaysia as in the other two countries. What worries me is growing 2087 2088 inequality. Not just the 30% who have no land in Pakistan, but policies, and I'm thinking of World Bank policies now, like in Cambodia, with the generals taking over the land and 2089 concentrating control of the land. I mean throughout the world, there is a growing concentration 2090 2091 of power over land in gigantic plantations. All throughout mainland Southeast Asia, the Chinese 2092 and Saudis are buying up land and putting it in plantations and moving small landowners off the land and turning them into essentially serfs so that the fundamental inequality is growing. That's 2093 2094 what worries me. 2095 Mark Juergensmeyer: Look at China and the US. Whereas 50 years ago, the world is way more 2096 unequal now... 2097 2098 **Philip Oldenburg:** Perhaps a note of hope. In Bangladesh in December of 2008, they had an 2099 election in which the more moderate Islamic party won overwhelmingly against the party which was linked to the *Jamaat*, which is linked to an Islamic agenda. The *Jamaat* has now been, as I 2100 2101 say, returned to its restraints. The leaders are being prosecuted for war crimes, which they deserve as far as I'm concerned. I believe that secularism has re-emerged into Bangladeshi 2102 2103 discourse in a way, so there is a possibility of reversal, a possibility of change, it seems to me, at

least in Bangladesh. I'm not giving up on it.

2106 Mark Juergensmeyer: Wait a second. Lamia was shaking her head.

Lamia Karim: Maybe just one last thing. I have to respond. I think the trend you're seeing is going to have a huge backlash. For those of us who live and study in the West, I think, it is very important that when we do- when we think about these regions that are quite far from us - that we really examine who we are talking to. Because when you hear some of the secularists speak in many of these countries, or the feminist NGOs, or the leading NGOs talk about religion, you get a very different interpretation of what's happening than if you were to go to the *mosques* and to *madrasahs* or to ordinary people like you did and hear what they are feeling. Frankly speaking, there is a silent revolution happening in Bangladesh and it's not secular.

Hilal Elver: Well, thank you very much, all of you. [clapping]

2117 Panel 4

Aashish Mehta: Ok, I think we should begin. The topic of this panel: How does the work of faith based organizations influence the political climate?

Thomas Uthup: Thank you very much, I hope my voice will keep you awake and not send you to sleep. Let me begin by saying that this morning we've had a few discussions about definitions, and it just reminded me of an article I read a couple of years ago by a lady at University in Scotland. Unfortunately I can't remember her name right now, but this particular special issue of the journal was about the issue of defining religion. She said that defining religion was like defining bald. It's very difficult to define when you're bald and I, of course, saw it as a special time because I was trying to fill out a form at the time and my wife and I were having a discussion because she maintained that I should put bald and I said I should put black and white on the hair color part of the form. So I'm not really going to get into definitions. What I want to talk about here are really two parts, and the first part is just focusing a little bit on this role of faith-based organizations and how they influence the political climate. I should point out at the outset also, that my views do not necessarily represent the views of the UN Alliance of

2134 Civilizations, I am here in my personal capacity...just in case some governments are upset. When you look at how faith-based organizations affect public policy. I like to look at how 2135 religious values affect public policy and how people interpret religious values and then it has an 2136 impact on public policy. There are offered three ways of looking at this from a very public 2137 policy oriented sense. 2138 2139 2140 The first is of that of religious values and policy formulation, and by policy formulation what I 2141 mean is, what are the goals that public policy should have? And one of these is as a foundation and social limit to such goals and I'm going to start with what sounds like a very silly example, 2142 2143 but it's the whole issue of eating beef in India. Cow slaughter was banned, but this has real implications because in the past when India was suffering from hunger, you had a lot more cattle, 2144 2145 perhaps meaning you could feed people with it, but because of this particular religious value you 2146 were not able to utilize that as food. But in today's time, with this concern about the 2147 environment, you could also look at this as a way to promote the environment, because, of course, raising cattle for slaughter is a lot more ruinous for the environment than being 2148 2149 vegetarian. Of course, things have changed in India. Last time I was in India, I was on a flight and they came through and asked you, "veg or non-veg?" And we asked for veg, but we were 2150 2151 sitting at the front of the plane and by the time they got to the front all the non-veg was out. I told my wife that it must be because people believe that when they are on the plane the rules don't 2152 2153 really apply, because you're not on Earth. 2154 The second part is also about, what is your world view and what are the goals that you have? I think that an example of this, which is not necessarily humanitarian but much more explicitly 2155 political, is what I call the transformation of foreign policy from what scholars have said is 2156 2157 "namby-pamby" Hinduism and non-alignment as the foreign policy approach of the Indian government, to muscular Hinduism and a nuclear India at that point. Religious values and policy 2158 2159 implementation, I think one could look at the issue of abortion/female infanticide issue in India where...when I came to the United States I was really puzzled by how controversial the issue of 2160 2161 abortion was because in India abortion was legalized in 1956, and from my memory in 1970s they released a requirement that you had to have a male sign for it. Of course, now it has become 2162 2163 an issue where families, when they don't want to have daughters, they are able to very easily go

for an abortion if the fetus is female, which they determine through amniocentesis. The other

aspect again of implementation is how free governments are, or how pushed they are, to institute laws against proselytization, because what I've seen in India at the state and national level, is that it's not that the government necessarily wants to have these laws against proselytization and against conversion, but it's the push from these faith-based organizations, particularly the RSS and the BJP and other groups, that impose them and to actually have these laws.

Third, the evaluation of religious values and evaluation of public policy: I think the example you can see is that in the reform of the Pakistani *madrasahs*, and Caroline is not here but she and I were talking about it earlier. As you know, one of the projects that we have is a project on education about religions and beliefs, so I monitor news about education about religions and beliefs, and one thing that has become apparent is that there is a lot of resistance in the Pakistani *madrasah* establishment to the reform efforts being made by the government. Conversely, in Bangladesh there have been a couple of World Bank studies that have shown that female literacy has actually increased when they have female *madrasahs* because, just like in the United States, some Catholic parents want to send their daughters to Catholic schools because there will be no boys there. The same thing is true in Bangladesh, parents are more willing to send their daughters to a *madrasah* which is a female *madrasah* because they think there will be no boys and therefore there won't be any problems there.

I want to talk in the second part, very briefly, at the UN level, at how the work of faith-based organizations has affected the United Nations policy. One is that many of the UN groups do recognize that faith-based organizations play an extremely important role from WHO to UNDP to UNICEF, UNFDA, and of course, to the UN Alliance of Civilizations — we do recognize that faith-based organizations play a very important role, particularly in the peace building and development arena. There's been a lot of push from religious organizations to have more formal kinds of roles to play, but the challenge often is of recognizing who the right representatives are, who the appropriate representatives are. I'll just give you a small example, the UN has many NGOs which are accredited to the UN, but sometimes these organizations might be regarded by people as being completely legitimate, but in the mainstream these religious movements might not be regarded as being really acceptable. The Hare Krishna movement, for instance, they would not really be regarded as being part of mainstream Hinduism by a lot of Hindus, and

there's another group called the Brahma Kumaris – they are all recognized by the UN. So this can be problematic.

Finally, I think that one thing that we at the Alliance recognize is that if you want to have this kind of change, sometimes working at the political level is not necessarily very useful. It's much more important and much more practical to work with local, grassroots organizations, so one of the things that we're trying to do is to recognize innovative local grassroots organizations that are working on bringing groups from different religions together. For example, I'll mention a South Asian case: we have a project called the Youth Solidarity Fund which gives small grants to youth groups that are working to bring young people together on a project. This year one of the winners was a group from Bangladesh who was bringing together Muslims and Dalits. Young Muslims and young Dalits were getting together to go and live in each others villages and actually do things that would serve the entire village, like digging a well, and we thought this would be a very good example for young people and for children to see that, despite their differences, one being Dalit and one being Muslim, that they were actually working together. I'll stop there.

Aashish Mehta: Thank you. We'll now hear from Professor Barbara Metcalf from UC Davis.

Barbara Metcalf: Thanks, there was so much in that. I feel as if I could just take up all of these wonderful things that Thomas just introduced, but let me just use one of his many interesting comments, which had to do with beef eating, because it really is a reminder of how little we can extrapolate from first principles, about what might be loosely called "core values" of a religion. One might say it is well known that Hindu's regard eating beef as immoral, or something like that, but that gives us no clue at all of how that actually has worked out historically, in terms of mobilizing various movements, in terms of identity formation and so forth. For example, there is extremely rich work done by an Iranian anthropologist on the discourses surrounding the anti-Muslim *pogrom* in Gujarat in 2002, in which a core issue of the rhetoric that was used to train untouchables in killing, because you have to learn how to kill actually, was that these Muslims all eat beef, and if they can kill animals they are capable of killing humans and so forth. That was a core rhetoric that they used, to in a sense, socialize these people into feeling that they finally had some status and they could be a part of the larger Hindu community. So what looks like an

enlightened value, for environmentalists, for animal rights, for all kinds of reasons, can also have this other kind of meaning. I know an Italian anthropologist who now, out of solidarity, tends to eat at least small amounts of meat.

22302231

2232

2233

2234

2235

2236

2237

2238

2239

2240

2241

2242

2243

2244

2245

2246

2247

2248

2249

2250

2251

2252

2253

2254

2255

2256

2257

2227

2228

2229

Since I thought I was going to be last on this, I was really going to bring it around to Muhamad Ali's initial elegant presentation, all of which I think on some level we all agree with. But I wanted to really step back and urge the importance of never making any assumptions that you know what core values a Muslim, a voluntary organization, an NGO, or whatever it is, might have that's motivating it. I want to use the example of two very significant organizations in the Indian context – in the Indian political context and the secular state. Those organizations are the Jamaat-e-Islami, which you've already heard referred to in Pakistan as a key *jihadi* organization, and in Bangladesh as the organization that sided with Pakistan against fellow Bengali's at the time of the Liberation War, that was outlawed and that was now part of the alliance that was defeated by more moderates in 2008. The Jamaat-e-Islami originated in Hyderabad, in the Deccan of India, in the 1930s. Its ideologue is one of the most influential Muslims of the entire twentieth century. If you go into any MSO, Muslim Students Organization, on any of your college campuses in this country you'll have Maududi's writings available in English and in Arabic. He is a key influence on Sayyid Qutb, who is the hero of Al Qaeda, just to give you an idea of who Maududi is. So what is the Jamaat-e-Islami in India? Oh, I should say that the Jamaat has completely separate organizations from 1947, there is no institutional affiliation between Jamaat-e-Islami Hind and Jamaat-e-Islami Pakistan, Kashmir, or Sri Lanka. So, what is it in India? Well, in India it is a social service organization and an educational organization. At their annual meeting they provide vegetarian food only so their Hindu brothers will feel welcome. And I can't really tell you enough about the Jamaat, but here's a really crude shorthand - they were very much influenced by fascism and communism in terms of institutional vision of a vanguard of a small group of committed people, absolute purists. So you would never participate, let's say, in the movement for Pakistan because the people who led that, the Muslim League, did not adhere to the proper vision of the Islamic state. Jamaat is a core Islamist organization. What is so interesting about that is either if you went from principles of Islam, or if you went from principles of Maududi, you would never get to the point of understanding how the Jamaat-e-Islami operates in India today. Phil made the generalization that the faith-inspired

movements...isn't there something about faith being known to God alone? I don't know. I call 2258 2259 them communitarian in the Indian context. The communitarian movements, that is to say, movements that are fundamentally geared to the interests of particular religious communities, 2260 which are often Victorian, defined in terms of minority cultural rights and minority human rights. 2261 2262 The Jamaat-e-Islami, like the Jamiat-Ulama-i-Hind, never turned into political parties. So that's my one example and the other is the Jamiat-Ulama-i-Hind. They go all the way back to 1919, an 2263 2264 old, old movement. The reason I mention them is that the Jamaat undergoes a huge 2265 transformation in the democratic context of India and is a fascinating example of showing how 2266 what you might take as core values are, in fact, produced in very precise political contexts. 2267 I just published a biography of their foremost leader, Husain Ahmad Madani, during the 2268 2269 independence movement. These people, the Islamic scholars, are the people who were wholy committed to the Gandhian movement. Madani is in jail with the Karachi Six in the twenties and 2270 so on and so forth. If you read his stuff there are all of these neologisms like human rights – 2271 minority cultural rights is only a meaningful concept after World War I, that's when it comes into 2272 2273 discussion. He's talking about the Haqooq-e-Insaniyat that early, that kind of notion. So it's more understandable, in a sense, that the JUI continues in a pattern which is fundamentally the same as 2274 2275 the one I described for the Jamaat-e-Islami. There they are at the Gujarat earthquake, feeding 2276 people and creating orphanages, which is controversial on its own, and so and so forth. Just let 2277 me make one more final comment about secularism. Any of you who follow India, at all, know 2278 about the Babri Masjid in Ayodhya, December 6, 1992. When, with government blinders/assistance, the kind of movement that Phil was referring to, these Hindu communitarian-2279 types tear down a sixteenth century mosque on the grounds that the mosque was built on the site 2280 2281 of a Hindu temple and that temple was where the God Rama was born. Finally, almost nearly 2282 twenty years later, a judgment came down adjudicating what would happen to this place, which saw not only that criminal activity, but in 1948 an image of the God was criminally placed inside 2283 the mosque as a lay to claim. What is fascinating is that in this shocking judgment, the decision 2284 2285 was to apportion the mosque into three parts, two of them to Hindu organizations, turning a complete blind eye, in this society ruled by law, to issues of criminality. Now many people, 2286 Muslims and Hindus alike, say, "Fine, who cares, let's just get this over with." On the other 2287 hand, the most indignant voice...oh, what I'm not telling you is why – on the grounds of a long 2288

cherished Hindu belief that the God Ram was born there. A matter of property, criminality and law, has, if you will, been adjudicated on the grounds of religion/myth. The reason I think it's really worth looking at this, is that if that is happening in, what are meant to be, the institutions that uphold the rules of a secular state, the loudest and most articulate voices in favor of secularism right now are the Muslim voices in India. Again confirming Victoria's point, that it is often from minority groups that you get this.

Aashish Mehta: We'll now hear from James Donahue, the President of the Graduate Theological Unit at Berkeley.

James Donahue: Thank you very much. I want to apologize in advance for not addressing the question that is on the docket, in terms of this session. As I indicated this morning, I come to this conversation not as an expert in South and South East Asia with a lot of experience, but as a professor of social ethics and religion and culture and politics. I'm very engaged in these issues. But I wear the hat, as I said, of an educator, of someone who over the last number of years has been putting together a graduate program in religion and theology and culture, and what I'd like to do is appeal to the roles that each of us around this table play as educators and try to articulate or indicate what I see as some of the skills or qualities we would hope to cultivate in the graduates of our programs. If our graduates, from your universities and the GTU, if they're going into the world of religion, global civil society, working for NGOs, working in the academy, working for the churches denominations, what are the skills and what are the qualities that you would hope they would have to be able to do the work that we are engaged in? Much of what I will say here is really picked up from the conversation today. What are the kinds of distinctions, the kinds of issues that one needs to be able to navigate the waters of these enormously complex issues?

I'm just going to name ten or eleven skills – not going to be long, not going into detail on each of them – but I'll give you an idea of what I think, gleaning from what we have been talking about, are really critical. One of the hats I wear is that I'm on the commission of the Western Association of Schools and Colleges. I do a lot of assessment and outcomes work, and that's the kind of stuff that drives us academics crazy, because the accreditors want to know about

outcomes and how are you going to measure this. Well it's not an uninteresting issue when you 2320 2321 think about, how do you measure the outcomes and the goals, if you will, of those who are going into this kind of work? There are a number of different issues at play here. Here is what I would 2322 propose as a list of what are necessary skills to do the work that is in front of us. 2323 2324 First of all, and this has become loud and clear, we need to have a precision, or our graduates 2325 need to have a precision, about terms and ideas – about religious terms, about social scientific 2326 2327 terms. You cannot make assumptions, as Barbara was just saying, that you understand something. Nor can you make sweeping judgments or generalizations about religion or Islam or 2328 2329 culture. You need to develop a precision about terms, religious terms, social scientific terms – that is absolutely essential. 2330 2331 2332 Secondly, and this might seem pretty obvious and commonplace, you need to understand the difference between theory and practice. You need to have theory, you need to have studied ideas. 2333 At the same time you cannot substitute theory for practice. Good education in this arena 2334 2335 involves both theory and practice. 2336 2337 Thirdly, you need to develop an understanding of context – religious diversity, cultural diversity, particularity – you need to understand context. At the same time context is not unrelated to 2338 2339 larger ideas, but at the same time larger ideas do not override the particularities of context. So 2340 one needs to have the skills to be able to identify, define, and understand context. 2341 Fourthly, you need to understand the nature of religious and cultural pluralism. I'll just mention 2342 2343 religious pluralism. There are issues for religious pluralism, for some denominations and 2344 traditions the notion of religious pluralism comes easily. For others it does not, and we need to understand why that is so. Why is it that some traditions have an easier time with coexistence, 2345 with understanding that there are multiple religions at play, especially in democratic societies? 2346 For some the cultural and religious reasons are significant as to why they aren't. So 2347 understanding religious pluralism is absolutely critical. 2348 2349 One needs to have skills of conflict resolution and problem solving skills. You don't have to have

2350

a PhD in conflict negotiation skills, but much of our work is bringing together people around differences and understanding how you navigate commonality and how you navigate differences. How does that work? There's a whole body and corpus of literature and a whole field that addresses this, which you folks know a whole lot more about than I do, but navigating these religious differences and commonalities requires enormous skills in conflict resolution, consensus building, etc.

You need to understand what inter-religious dialogue is about. As someone who hears that word about ten times a day, I find the imprecision that is used about that to be incredible. It's not a "Kumbaya" moment where people just talk about what they believe and what they don't believe, and I've seen a lot of groups that do this, but it's layered, it has to do with religion, it has to do with culture, with values and many of the things you were talking about, Thomas – there's different aspects to this. Actually it's very interesting – this is a little promotion for the center here Mark – on page five of the recent Delhi conference, John Chathanatt has on the bottom there, different ways of understanding what religion is: religion as cultic element, religion as creedal element, religion as normative element, religion as community development. It's all of those and depending on the context and the situation in which one is talking, there's going to be different understandings at play in the discussion.

Seventh, one needs a critical reflection of traditions and texts and holy texts and holy books —this is absolutely critical. How do religious traditions engage in the work of critical evaluation, of the tradition, of the history, of the experience and of the text? As you can well imagine, some do that a lot more easily than others. That becomes a real point of controversy frequently because for some it comes easy — you do critical reflection, you look at them in context, historical critical method, all those kinds of things — for others, not so much. It is really important to understand how those interpretive tasks, those critical skills, happen. We were talking a little bit over lunch about this issue of proselytizing and evangelization and one of the things that came up, what we were saying was, that for many, the point of proselytizing is not to convert necessarily, but it's to have the purity of intention in engaging in the process of evangelizing or trying to convert. So you measure it not necessarily in the number of converts you get, it's not an efficiency equation, but rather on the purity of intention. This you want to begin to understand by looking at

particular traditions.

23832384

2385

2386

2387

2388

2389

2390

2391

2382

Number eight: the relationship between religion and ethics. As a professor of ethics I do believe that religious truths have to pass the test of moral and ethical reference. It's not sufficient to say that I believe such and such, therefore it's right, therefore it's good, therefore it's just. There needs to be some dimension of ethical testing for this. What the measures of that are is complicated. It's a little bit the same thing as saying there has to be a rational component to religious belief. Is it sufficient to say that faith is strictly based on the internal self-justification of the claims that come from within a tradition? Or does one have to have some measure or test for judging the adequacy of those particular texts or truths?

2392

2393

2394

2395

2396

2397

2398

2399

2400

2401

2402

2403

2404

2405

2406

2407

2408

2409

2410

Ninth, one needs to understand the difference between social theory, ethics and theology – understanding the problematic nature of religion. That's probably pretty obvious to all of us, to see that religion doesn't cut one way, there is not necessarily agreed upon ways, it cuts many different ways. But there's something inherently problematic about religion, and if you don't see that, if you see it as a force of good or force of evil...when you look at some of the public conversations they are so simplistic and mundane it's mind-boggling. People will say that religion has been a force for evil in the world, therefore how can they possibly believe? The new atheists say that. Or religion has been a source for good, so how can you possibly not believe? Anyway, it cuts many different ways. The last point I want to build on is something that Bill Headly said today, that religion these days is so layered. It's not just about religious truths or religious beliefs, it's also about spiritualities, about values, ritual, worship, prayer, morality, it's about a number of these different dimensions. Don't be deluded into thinking that religion is simplistic and a one-layered reality. Anyway, I take that from our conversations today and from my own thinking about how in the context of global civil society, focused on religion, we can think about these issues. I would hope that the graduates, and those that are exposed to our programs and our work and our thinking, would come out with a set of skills that might reflect the best of what's possible, and also what is exactly necessary to navigate some very complicated waters. Thank you.

24112412

Aashish Mehta: We'll throw it open for questions now, or comments.

2413	
2414	Mark Woodward: One quick observation on cow killing, and how far this can go. In the late
2415	19 th -early 20th century, there was actually something called the All India Meat Eaters Society
2416	that was established because certain types of modernist Hindus were concerned that Hindus had
2417	become effeminate because they did not eat meat like Muslims.
2418	
2419	Unidentified speaker: Vivekananda was part of that.
2420	
2421	Lamia Karim: Also one comment on beef eating and then a couple of questions. The beef
2422	industry in Bangladesh rests on Bihari cattle, shipping cows from India to Bangladesh. Yes, if
2423	the cows are not from India
2424	
2425	[crosstalk]
2426	
2427	Lamia Karim: Now for the questions, first one to Thomas. What is the name of the NGO in
2428	Bangladesh that is doing the work with Muslim youths? And then to Barbara, I was under the
2429	impression about the Ayodhya judgment that it was in three parts, one part went to Hindus, one
2430	part to Muslims and the third was going to be an interfaith dialogue.
2431	
2432	Barbara Metcalf: No, no
2433	Philip Oldenburg: They rejected the claim of the third group
2434	[more crosstalk]
2435	
2436	Lamia Karim: And then I would like you to speculate, based on what you know about India, to
2437	what extent do you think India's rise to economic power, globally and regionally, may change
2438	some of the ultra-right wing rhetoric and practices? Will it have some effect or not?
2439	
2440	Aashish Mehta: Lets try and collect some more questions. Anyone else?
2441	
2442	Ria Shibata: I have a question to Thomas about religions wanting a more formal position to
2443	inject their voices in the public policy formulation. In reality, how do religions actually inject

their voices, for example in UN policy? 2444 2445 **Thomas Uthup:** First, to the question asked by Lamia about the NGO youth group – 2446 unfortunately I would not be able to tell you the name of the group, but if you go to the website 2447 that I had shown earlier of the youth website and look at the youth solidarity point, or just do a 2448 google search "youth solidarity point 2010 winners", you can find out all of the winners and 2449 2450 there's a description of the project. 2451 I think your question about the rise of India as an economic power is really very interesting 2452 because I want to pick this up also about what you were saying about Muslims being the 2453 defenders of secularism. I assume you're very familiar here with the work of Ashutosh 2454 2455 Varshney? His work on religious conflict has shown that most of the inter-religious conflict in India has happened in eight metropolitan areas. I mentioned to somebody that the fact that India 2456 2457 is still sixty percent in the villages is actually something that is helpful towards continuing the secular status of India. Because if you look at a lot of the support for the BJP and the RSS. 2458 2459 there's a substantial intellectual support for it, particularly in the university level, but also in what Marx would call the *lumpenproletariat*, the urban areas who are a little bit educated. 2460 2461 Sometimes I'm concerned that with the rise of India as an economic power, that there might be 2462 more of a push towards more right-wing approaches in the body-politics just based on the work 2463 that has been done by people like Varshney. 2464 In the case of Pakistan, one of the factors that was not mentioned, and we were talking earlier 2465 about Salmaan Taseer, is this issue of age. It's actually the people who are older that tend to be 2466 2467 "more secular" and more willing, but many of the people who are younger are not necessarily 2468 that secular. Again in this sense, India is supposed to have this youth dividend that is supposed to 2469 happen, where a substantial portion of the population will be young. So are they going to become less secular? It's a real fear, but on the other hand, what I also see is that there is a 2470 considerable influence of the West and modernization. So there is this thing - why are we 2471 2472 fighting about this? At least for people of my generation, why are we fighting with Pakistan? Let them do what they do. We should be more concerned with what is happening within our 2473 societies. 2474

To the question from Ria about what is currently the opportunity for religious groups to influence public policy. One way is to work through government. There might be certain governments that are more open to working with religious groups. Let's not forget that the Vatican is the only religious group, in a sense, that has an actual recognized presence at the UN. I think that there is another level where religious groups can work, at least in being convened by the UN at various events. For instance, the Alliance of Civilizations has these forums every year and we have brought together religious leaders to talk about peace making and conflict resolution, primarily working with religions for peace. So there's an opportunity to talk about, at least setting the agenda in terms of bringing it to the forefront – what are the roles of religion in conflict resolution and peace building? And, letting people know about what the groups are doing. We also recognize sometimes groups that are doing innovative work in bringing people from different religions together. This year United Religions International was recognized for one of their programs called Peace Camp International, which is held around the world.

Barbara Metcalf: I'm not sure I have too much to say, except just to underline that there have been initiatives beyond the well digging to bring Dalits and Muslims together in India, going back to the thirties. I mean there are some very old efforts. In the Indian context, there are very old efforts because by every socio-economic measure, Muslims and Dalits have the most in common. That might be a slight exaggeration, but there was a major initiative from the Prime Minister four or five years ago which produced a report, the Rajinder Sachar Report, that showed...again it really comes back to this theme that I'm really hammering hard on, and that is that you cannot tell very much about Muslims, or Muslim behavior, by looking at abstract values. It was widely considered on the issues of backward Muslim women, that backward Muslim women were backward because they weren't allowed to have education by their husbands, because they were the sixteenth wife, because they were subject to triple talaq, unilateral divorce, and so forth. Of course, what this report showed instead was that when you control for issues of poverty, the situation of Muslim women is basically the same as that of, what in India are called OBCs, or the backward classes, people on the very bottom of the social pyramid. Muslims are poor and they are also subject to discrimination. One argument that is now made – this is a really indirect way of getting to your question, your excellent and

impossible question - is in a sense, Muslims spokesmen are out there, and have been for the last century, arguing in favor of the secular democratic state enshrined in the liberal state. But the ethnographic work that's been done by... At another level, there has been an effort in recent years for Muslims to push toward assimilation into the Hindu caste structure, which is really what affirmative action is in the Indian context, because there have been moves to provide compensatory discrimination as was provided at the time of independence. It was intended to be for a short period and has become a permanent and expanding vested interest to Muslims as well. In a sense, that is a counter-move to the vision of liberal democracy, as it is, in an ideal state from people like Craig Jeffrey, has shown that one of the main benefits of compensatory discrimination, in the Indian context, has been to embolden people. That it's had far more impact on Dalits and their vision of hope for them, and to the extent that Muslims have been deprived of that, who knows. But that doesn't tell you what will happen if there is economic development, it's just that Muslims – at one time the wealthiest man in India was a Muslim, there are pockets of wealth no doubt about it – but Musilms as a whole have been truly left behind by a lot of that change.

Mark Juergensmeyer: Picking up the business of Muslims in India, because there is not only a class issue - by the way there are Christians as well as Muslims who want to be untouchables - but also a conceptual one, because the two things you said that seem contradictory are absolutely right: that Muslims in Pakistan despise secularism, and Muslims in India like it. How can that be true? And one answer is: different kinds of secularism. Totally different ways of thinking about that term, and Charles Taylor showed well in the "Secular Age" that there are different kinds of secular, or secularisms. Rajiv Bhargava, who was one of Taylor's students, was very adamant on the point that Indian secularism has certainly never been American secularism and certainly not laïcité in a French setting. Indian secularism simply means that you treat all religions equally, and the state supports them all, even providing money for religious institutions, but it treats them all equally. That's why when the BJP accused the Congress party of being "false secularists", what they meant by that was that the Congress party wasn't treating all religions equally - it was privileging Islam. So yes, Muslims in India want to be treated equally, everybody, particularly people who are not treated like Vicki Riskin said, issues of human rights are particularly felt by those not in power. If you're not in power and at the margins, of course, you want to be treated

equally. Whereas in Pakistan, where it's a whole different situation, there the whole image of secularism is identified with a kind of Westernized elite. And a kind of elite

unidentified speaker: "Godless," "oppressive"...

Mark Juergensmeyer: yeah exactly, right...people who just stick up their nose and say, "Oh you poor, traditional, religious types; we're much better than you." And there's no question that there's that attitude, perhaps rightly - there is this huge egalitarian gap in it and part of it does have western educated, secularist images attached. So, the secularisms are quite different in the two places.

William Headley: I just wanted to say to Jim, it was a nice summary toward the end of our session. I'm not sure if you came in with it or absorbed it from us, but it was really quite nice and very respectful. Barbara, her precision question right there; Elizabeth, her discussion of theory and practice; many of us were addressing context and problems of religions. I even felt respected in terms of spirituality. I have some friendly amendments and was wondering if you said it all. You were speaking about them very quickly and I know you had a short amount of time, but you went on to say that theory, the study of ideas, you don't think theory will cover all practice. Isn't it the reciprocal true too? To understand your practice, in any kind of context, you need to have some sort of theoretical framework.

James Donahue: Exactly.

William Headley: You talked about understanding the problem of religion; I thought of Appleby's wonderful little book, *The Ambivalence of the Sacred*, which might be a helpful comment there. Have you said it all? It came up in Mark's comment and the same person I was referring to in my notes here - it's secularity. Is it simply enough to say today, be aware of the context? Or do you need to include an appreciation or a struggle with secularity today? It's such a prominent kind of discussion and I'd like to hear your comment on this: how I understand Charles Taylor deals with it in his "imminent frame" kind of concept and what I understand of that is, by Christianity itself, by forcing it to a particular external, moral order, then expose

religion to a particular way to criticism, in such a way, that people have lost a sense of the transcendent. I guess my question is: Secularity, does that need to be included? The other, which I will not offer with so much wind, if you will, is the question of rendering: Do we not also have to be ready to cross disciplinary boundaries to do this particular test? Of course, the list could go on and on but could you comment just on those two?

James Donahue: Well, I resist the dramatic separation between religion and secularism, especially when you begin to layer in things like spirituality, values, etc. The question is how does one find the transcendent religious dimension within a secular context? That's where I would pursue the inquiry. Not to say it's there, but to pursue the nature of the religious dimension, what Taylor refers to as the transcendent dimension, in the secular context - not to presume too much about it, but to go there and see that as part of the inquiry. I think the polar separation of the secular and religious is really too simplistic frankly, so you find how these merge together.

There's no sense that this is an exhaustive list, I would invite your additions to it completely. As I was thinking that these were some of the more obvious ones, but there are other dimensions of that. The other issue with the secular, and maybe I was trying incorporate that under the notion of "the rational," and where reason fits in – if you presume that reason becomes a test of the adequacy of religious truth claims, then the question is how does reason function there? My sense is that the ethical nature of reality is such that there is a rationality included in that. There is a kind of calculus – is something destructive or not destructive? Is there suffering or not suffering? Some of these things can be determined, you can at least make some judgments about them, based on some rational assessment of them. Now that's not to reduce the secular to the rational, I just don't want to get into too separated, or polarized sense, of these things.

Katherine Marshall: The question about religion and the United Nations triggered some thoughts, because I happen to have been involved over the past decades in some of these issues. I think the fundamental issue is, and it is I think part of this grappling with what does secular and secularity really mean, can you – and my answer to start right away is "no" – can you divide the world into people who believe and people of faith versus the rest, the sort of six billion versus

one billion? I think it's a very phony and dangerous division. The fact is that in a large number of global institutions, and in global civil society as well, religion is dramatically absent.

Somebody did a review – it's part of what the Luce grants are about – someone looked at, I think, 1,300 international affairs articles and found two or three that had religion in any way explicitly in it. The World Bank library has no category for religion, even though I've been pointing this out...

2605

Unidentified speaker: To this day? Is that true?

2607

2608

2606

Katherine Marshall: To this day, well when I checked last there was...

2609

2610

Mark Juergensmeyer: Does it have for Islam or Buddhism?

2611

2612

2613

2614

2615

2616

2617

2618

2619

2620

2621

2622

2623

2624

2625

2626

2627

2628

2629

Katherine Marshall: Nope, nothing. In the fifty-year history of the World Bank...you know, I go through indexes and there was *one* reference to religion. There's a huge gap in vocabulary on the United Nations. I don't know about the early history, but basically from the year 2000 on, there have been a lot of people saying there really needs to be a voice for religion in the international system. There was the Millennium Summit, which was in many ways a spectacular event, it was in August just before the Millenium General Assembly. There was this meeting of spiritual leaders, which had over a thousand...I think there was about 3000 in the General Assembly Hall for the first time ever. It was in many ways a catastrophe and set back the cause, because of a variety of personality issues, but the idea of that was it was supposed to come up with some kind of council of advisors. There has been a group ever since that has been arguing for a Spiritual Council of the United Nations, which again is an idea that will go nowhere, but the idea is that the security council should have a group of people who have the ethical and spiritual grounding to advise. In fact, the United Religions Initiative, URI, which is based in San Francisco, came out of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations and it too was saying if you have a United Nations, you should have a United Religions. So there has been a lot of thinking along these lines, there have been meetings of religious leaders for the past five years before each of the G7/G8 meetings. My suspicion is that if you ask the leaders of the G7/G8 about this, most of them have not a clue that there were these meetings. I think that from everything I've

heard in South and South East Asia, there is nothing that is significant of a inter-religious nature that has a regional base, though again there have been efforts to create that. I believe ACIA is just barely beginning to think about a religious...

Thomas Uthup: Actually I've been part of a group at the Asia-Europe Foundation, which is based in Singapore, but they have meetings both in Europe and in Asia, and they had a group for three years called "Talks on the Hill" that was specifically dealing with inter-religious dialogue. I just found out a couple of weeks ago that they have decided to cut that particular program out. There is a move by some branches of Religions For Peace to actually establish a physical office at the United Nations, which would try to be the vehicle through which the UN would be advised. I don't know where it's going to go, or whether it's going to be successful. I think that the issue about the Millennium Development, and this is the issue that I was talking about: who represents what religion? It's that personality - so you have somebody who comes across to the people in the room as a "windbag" or somebody who talks at an extremely high level spiritually and people would be like "okay what does this have to do with anything?" But this is where the issue of rhetoric comes in very handy, it is to have the people who can relate religious values and religious rhetoric to the policy goals that the UN is trying to accomplish, whether it's security, whether it's development, whether it's hunger, whether it's reducing AIDS, that would be most useful.

I just wanted to pick up on a point Mark made about the secularism issue; it's absolutely right that there are these many different kinds of secularisms, and one thing I found very surprising was that France has a lot of this *laïcité*, but I was looking at public holidays in different countries and France has something called "Assumption Day" as a public holiday. I don't think that's a secular holiday. All I can say is as a kid, when I was in India, it was great because you get public holidays off for Hindus, Christians, Muslims and also Buddhists and Jains, who are less than one percent of the population. And then I came to the United States and even the Baptist University of Baylor University didn't have Good Friday off.

Philip Oldenburg: I'm going back to the earlier discussion, and naturally I will start off with meat eating. There is a wonderful book on the vernacularization of Indian politics, a study of the

Yadavs of Agra and their political mobilization. The Yadavs are cow herders by tradition and therefore vegetarians. In order to get Muslim votes they met with their vote brokers, and served them a non-veg meal and ate it themselves. The power of the need for votes trumped their vegetarianism. And I believe that story.

I am an optimist on the BJP issue and I've argued this for a very long time; the high point of Hindu nationalism and the BJP's benefit from that was in fact the day of the destruction of the Babri Masjid. From then on it was in decline. In November of 1993 as they held elections they shifted from a Hindu nationalist program suddenly because they realized that they were going to lose the states where they had previously won. The Gujarat *pogrom* was in fact, I think, the death rattle of Hindu nationalism. I think there's been everywhere, in economic terms, the secular downward trend of support for Hindu nationalism in the Indian parliament. But more important was that the BJP never relied entirely, or even perhaps as a main factor, on Hindu nationalism for votes. They relied on what they got votes for and what they still get votes for is for - integrity, the party that is, on the whole, not corrupt and secondly, disciplined. A party we see that non-dynastic, merit-based, disciplined and who can govern. That's what they were getting many of their votes for. To equate the BJP with Hindu nationalism is a mistake. There are as many Hindu nationalists in the Congress as there are in the BJP.

So then we go to Thomas' point about what, I would not call the *lumpenproletariat* but the *lumpenbourgeoisie*, the young students, the youth who are without anything to do and turn to fascist ideology and practice. I think that's over drawn, partly for this reason that I'm suggesting, that in fact the vote is not coming from a particular group. Partly it is that India's economic growth has moved a lot of these problem about where these people are going to get jobs. Now they are all trying to become computer scientists and there's obviously not enough room for them all. In fact, the BJP's strength and the Hindu national strength came from the middle trading community, that's the real core of their strength. Those people are doing very well out of this boom. In that sense globalization, whatever we may think of it, in terms of increasing inequalities and so forth that is something that is obviously worrisome, but in fact this issue, I think, is really a live one in terms of a *lumpenbourgeoisie*. The kinds of things that have happened in Gujarat were state directed, this was the mobilization of particular elements who

2692 were, as you said, trained to kill, and given the means to do it, which they then produced. They 2693 also had something of a backlash. So, I'm optimistic about the eclipse of that particular 2694 thing...for whatever it's worth. That's my analysis on that. 2695 I just want to go back to the final point about the summit of religious leaders, being very 2696 particularistic. When thinking about the 800 million Hindus, who can you choose as the 2697 2698 Hindu...you certainly can't have the Hindu leader? Swami Agnivesh doesn't cut the mustard that 2699 way. There is no... 2700 2701 **unidentified speaker:** And there's no Muslim leader, unthinkable— 2702 2703 **Mark Juergensmeyer:** Why don't they all have Popes like us? (laughter) 2704 2705 **Philip Oldenburg:** I mean I wish to be enlightened, if you will, about how many representatives 2706 of the Hindu community would you be able to accommodate in your summit and what thing 2707 would you expect to get out of it? And that's leaving aside Muslims, Buddhists, and any number of other groups. 2708 2709 2710 **Barbara Metcalf:** Yes, and probably the person most of you would want to talk to is the living 2711 divinity on earth, the Agha Khan, whose theology is so out of line, but he's so on target...you 2712 mentioned it, the AK is perhaps the most inspiring series of projects anyone ever can find as far as I'm concerned. 2713 2714 2715 **Thomas Uthup:** Just quickly to address this issue of the decline - on some levels I think yes, 2716 they have declined and actually their reputation for integrity and corruption has also declined. There is also, on the counter-level, for the first time you had a BJP government in Karnataka in 2717 2718 South India and that is not something that used to happen because the BJP was thought to fight as a *Hindi* party. 2719 2720 2721 **Muhamad Ali:** I'll be very short, I just want to bring up the discussion about secularism, the 2722 variety of secularisms. In Indonesia it's still quite controversial, still debated. What is Indonesia,

is it a secular state or an Islamic state? You have diversity of opinions and movements for either one, and for those who say, well Indonesia is an Islamic state because *pancasila* is already...you have one pillar, for example, you have monotheism, belief in one God, that's an Islamic pillar already, and then other religions have to adjust to this monotheism, including Buddhism, Hinduism and Confucianism - you have to have one God and so on. I think that's very interesting: faith-based Islam movements influence the state and then the state chooses a political compromise, or common ground, at a national level. Then all other groups try to adjust to that. I think it's quite unique to this debate about the variety of secularisms. And you have, of course, [indistinct] seen as Muslim secular, you have Islam and secularism not necessarily as two opposite things, it's really integrated. On the other hand, of course, people who don't like the term itself say, "secularism- wow that's a Western term, so we don't' like that." But in reality they are secularizing themselves - so it's secularization and secularism. There's some distinction also there that has become a public debate. I just wanted to mention this as one case that's quite unique, how Islam and religion share the political climate, and then these political climates share religions. Thank you.

Surichai Wun'gaeo: I feel it is very difficult to see how we can get out of the present crisis in the context of the existing idea of states. When I heard Thomas talk about youth camps I felt quite hopeful, but when he mentioned working only through the state representatives, I feel it to be a bit problematic. When Jim was putting things together at that point, I think that maybe human sensitivity can be included, not only in terms of concepts which often deal with and become so easily trapped by dichotomies. So how can we bring the sense of crisis, which is so much value-related crisis, maybe related to religious values, but not religion as it is mentioned, today, in the sense of the great religions and all.

I was struck by the efforts to study, for example, a new international university called Nalanda International University. Nalanda, a Buddhist university formed some four hundred years after the death of the Buddha, and people said it was more than just India because many people came including Chinese and many others. We talk about economic growth and that relates to national identity and we are trapped by that. I think we cannot see the world beyond our national identities and the summation of that. In that sense we need to consider a human identity that

could go beyond, and the human sensitivity which we hope to cultivate if we look into our interactions. So I think in that sense, your ten ideas...I'm grappling with how we can create a sense of common crisis, not just a third world crisis. I think the crisis is also because of greed - the structure of greed is everywhere, not only in the third word. And corruption is not only in the third world, again, it is quite structural. So greed, delusion, and hatred, I think these are the real trap, and beyond that I think these are very related to our religious ideas. So unless we grapple with it, we will remain trapped with the national and seeing the totalizing concepts. I think this is very much a burden for us, to sense the real crisis that is still going on. I think your challenge for our vocation as educators also relates to intergenerational interactions. I see this in solidarity with your youth work.

Thomas Uthup: My slight amendment to the 6th point about inter-religious dialogue: I just wanted people to know that there is also an interest in intra-religious dialogue. Many of you know Krista Tippett, she has this program on NPR called, "Speaking of Faith." She had this program about young Palestinian-Americans and Jewish-Americans getting together, and she said one of the most surprising things was that the Jewish Americans, who were reformed Jews, had never met or spoken with an orthodox Jew before this particular program. The second part of this debate is about this debate about the word dialogue. Certain countries, and certain people, seem to think that dialogue means that it is meant to change people's minds, that it's debate. But properly understood by other countries, it is just that dialogue is being able to listen to each other and talk with each other and understand where you are coming from, and it's not about change. That could be another skill I think students would need to have.

Closing Comments

Mark Juergensmeyer: We are now going to participate in our closing comments. This is where we eagerly look forward to hearing somebody wrap it all up. Well, that somebody is you. What I've learned most, and maybe best, about conferences like this, I learned in the third grade. A third grade teacher, Mrs. Beasley at the end of every day would tell us, "Ok children, what did you learn today?" And we would all have to come up with one idea or one thought about something new that we had learned. I'm not going to ask you what you learned today, but I want

to ask you what new thing, or one thing that you thought differently, or one idea that came to 2785 2786 mind that this workshop helped you to frame in a way that is different or challenging. 2787 2788 I'll start off and tell you one of the things that I learned today, and that is I learned how the 2789 questions you ask are sometimes the wrong questions, a bad question. This is what you always learn in field work- that all the questions you have turn out to be bad. We had set this thing up to 2790 2791 talk about politics influence on religion and then religions influence on politics, but one of the 2792 things that we found out, particularly when Mark was talking about state Islam in Pakistan and Malaysia and the rise of BJP, is that it's not that simple. Sometimes even when religion 2793 2794 manipulates politics, politics is manipulating religion to manipulate politics. It is that these two 2795 things are not as impermeable, just as in the mythological separation between religion and 2796 secularism, there is sometimes an illusory separation between religion and politics. Katherine, 2797 what did you learn? Katherine Marshall: Well, this was a very different discussion than the discussion that we had 2798 2799 in Bangladesh and actually in Phnom Penh as well. It depends a little bit on your starting point. Our starting point was development, which came into the discussion here a bit, in the sense of 2800 the issues of equality or inequality and the failures of development as a factor. But what I 2801 2802 learned, or what I'm starting to learn, because I'm really just beginning, is some of these broader questions of how national politics is permeated by and influenced by, and influences, the 2803 religious scene. That is, for me, a new element and a different starting point from a starting point 2804 that has, as its assumption, that the critical challenges are improving welfare, starting with 2805 2806 education and health, extending life spans, cutting down the infant mortality etc. So that's my 2807 point.

Mary Zurbuchen: Many things came up for me today. I'd say that one of the things that came up for me today was to ask a further question about our question on the role of religion in global civil society, whatever global civil society might be. I started to feel, very keenly, the gap in lack of representation, as you were talking about it earlier, of religion in international organizations and in the international formats for dialogue. I feel like religion and religious organizations are almost, by definition, ghettoized as having more to do with peace building and ethical value, somehow giving us an aura of improved spirituality and shared humanity, rather than the things

2808

2809

2810

2811

2812

2813

2814

that they actually might be better at doing, which is looking at the critical questions of 2815 2816 development, of equality, of identity, and of change within their societies. These religious organizations are profound authorities on many of these things. They are deeply imbedded in 2817 communities of many different kinds and perhaps their representations should be reformulated in 2818 2819 terms of contributing in other dimensions rather than the interfaith dialogue and peace building 2820 initiatives that often frame those discussions. 2821 William Headley: Thank you, I learned personally, and I think it came out in this meeting, about how much we've learned about Islam and how little we've learned about Buddhism. I also take a 2822 note of real encouragement. I think things like Katherine's call to coordination, Muhammad 2823 Ali's search for the public core of Islam, Ria's gathering of Buddhism in Japan, all speak to me 2824 2825 of a reach toward, using the language of this conference, the cohesive, transformative roles of religion and I yearn for that. It's very promising to me. 2826 Ria Shibata: I believe that religions are attempting to play a transformative role in the various 2827 decision making processes in a global civil society, but the issue of how is still unresolved in my 2828 2829 mind. The one answer that I got was to influence/impact the decision making process of your local government. So, in that sense, I guess, the quickest way is therefore to make sure that the 2830 norms get adopted and legitimized in the local context. The question that I had in my mind, was 2831 organizations like Soka Gakkai and Rissho Kosei-kai, who are trying to become involved in the 2832 2833 GCS, the global civil society, discourse, may not have much impact in actuality. 2834 Surichai Wun'gaeo: I have two points. The first point is these are new openings for all of us. I heard from friends here about the efforts on many levels, even in the very formal UN structure. I 2835 2836 have a very strange image of Soka Gakkai from here. I think that there are other dimensions of learning that we see are a part of these new openings, these structures are quite adaptive to 2837 2838 learning. In that sense I think that these are very positive. Yet in the opening Katherine mentioned corruption, I think that religious circles are also very corrupt and I don't think 2839 Thailand has a monopoly on that. The way that they respond to corruption issues in the world 2840 was clearly stated by Katherine. I think there is a big gap about this opening. The second point is 2841 that this is not only about knowledge. I think the knowledge gap was clearly mentioned by my 2842 friend here about Buddhism, I think that's very telling. It's also about our senses beyond 2843 knowledge. We may use spiritual, we may use other terms, but I think it's about how we ground 2844

ourselves...do we ground ourselves in our identity? Who are *we* talking about all these issues? I think the world is more than just seeing each other in the existing rationale or the religious... I think that the kind of identities beyond talking about nations is really necessary. So I just wanted to mention that, thank you.

Thomas Uthup: I hope not to take too much time. First, thank you for inviting me to be here because it's been a real learning opportunity to learn from many of you working in these areas, about the work that is going on with this whole area of religion and global civil society. It makes organizations like us very happy that there is a committed core of people who are working on this issue. Second, one thing that I thought was absent here was the linkage, and maybe it's because it's there in other Luce programs, which is the question of conflict. We did touch on it to some extent, but just looking globally - there's a report from the University of Heidelberg called the Conflict Research Report, which ranks conflicts by levels of intensity. Out of the conflicts with the most serious levels of intensity, there was 143 conflicts in 2009 and 137 were within states. I would say from my reading of it, at least a third of those conflicts have the religious dimension. Real or perceived doesn't really matter because perceptions are sometimes more important than reality and that, I thought, was something we should pay attention to. The third aspect that I learned was that this whole subject is extremely complex, as people talked about, it depends on where you stand. It depends on what issue you're talking about. It might depend on who you are. How Kristina is perceived vs. how Mark is perceived or how Ria is perceived; and also our own subjective viewpoints, how Phil sees the BJP and how I see the BJP and how Aashish sees the BJP may be very different. Thanks.

Barbara Metcalf: I guess the main conclusion that I would draw from today is the luxury that those of us who are primarily academics have compared to those of you who are actually trying to think through how to work with a wide variety of organizations, how to integrate "religious" and developmental organizations - because I am just overwhelmed by the complexity from what I've heard today. I raised the question earlier of the unbelievable unintended consequences of what these organizations think they're doing and what actually happens as a result of the unintended consequences of working with one group rather than another. We didn't actually talk a lot about Tablighi Jamaat in Bangladesh, though you had Lamia's extremely interesting paragraph. There's this huge movement of millions and millions of Muslims, which in a sense, is

predicated on not being part of anything, like civil society or pubic space. To somebody who for a living is working inside a university this is anothema because they're basically predicated on the notion that you don't need to know very much, right? Highly anti-intellectual, and yet when you see how a rickshaw driver in the old city in Delhi, who used to beat his wife and spend his money on liquor, now has straightened out and become a responsible person, you think that maybe this organization may not be all bad. So that's what I mean. You just don't know what these organizations are doing. So it's that complexity that is my rather unhelpful thought. **Aashish Mehta:** As an economist, and not a religious scholar, I suppose I'll have some unhelpful thoughts. The thing that struck me about this was the numerous examples of how control over information and misinformation has huge consequences and unintended consequences, so that information or perception about how religion and politics collide has huge political ramifications. When we talk about how the World Bank and international organizations don't really deal much with religion, centrally and in an up-front way, and it seems to me that part of the reason for that is because all the various stakeholders would not want to give up control over how religion and politics actually get represented. So how do you move those discussions into any sort of global sphere seems to be sort of intractable. **James Donahue:** One basic connected set of ideas: global civil society is a dominant reality, [Recording Interrupted] religion is a reality and what we've been trying to do is relate these two very complex spheres. Let's continue to do so. I think this network, this project, has enormous importance for the world that we live in and it's just really significant. My thanks for what has happened so far and my encouragement to all of us to continue because these are the dominant realities that we face and, yes, they're connected in some way. The other thought I had is that one word I haven't heard today, a western word, is the word "justice." I haven't heard it at all. Again it does have a western slant, I understand in an implicit sense we're talking about equality and a lot of other things but the concept has not been explicitly named which strikes me as interesting. Lamia Karim: I think today reaffirmed for me some things I have known. First, politics and religion is a toxic brew. Second, Muslims globally want what we in the West want for their children – we want a better future and 50% of the population in most predominantly Muslim

2875

2876

2877

2878

2879

2880

2881

2882

2883

2884

2885

2886

2887

2888

2889

2890

2891

2892

2893

2894

2895

2896

2897

2898

2899

2900

2901

2902

2903

countries is over the age of 25. So we, at the level of global civil society need to address two key issues: job creation and inequality.

Mark Woodward: This is a somewhat difficult question, but one of the things I was struck by is that a lot of the conventional analytic terminology that we have been using to talk about things like civil society, religion, and politics, isn't really adequate. I don't really have a solution to that problem but it seems that different people and different groups use very similar terms to talk about very, very different things and that the absence of some sort of meta-analytic language seriously gets in the way of understanding the complexities of the relationships that we've been talking about.

Philip Oldenburg: I think that I would endorse that particular point wholeheartedly. It's something I certainly learned that sharpened my understanding- that I need to sharpen the terminology that I use. The other thing - India is often said to be a yes-but country, that is, any statement you make is answered by, "Yes! But..." The opposite is true, typically. I discovered in this group that there are a lot of yes-but countries and yes-but issues that I constantly have to remember. The other point that I would make that I learned - I think Lamia's remark that religion and politics are a toxic brew- echoing a similar phrase, is politics a problem or solution? Is religion a problem or solution? Can we say that if religion is the problem then politics is the solution? Or that religion is the solution to the problems of religion? If it's a problem of politics, is religion the solution? I can't say that I've made much advance at resolving that in my own mind but I understand the dead ends that I could lead myself into by trying to think in those terms.

Elizabeth Collins: This is a challenging assignment. I would like to start with religion at its best and then religion at its worst. Sorry for using the generic term there, but religion is at its best for me when religious leaders or movements are able to articulate values that are widely shared-that mobilize people to improve the world. I think that we have living examples and we have examples in South and South East Asia: I'm thinking of Gandhi, Martin Luther King, the Dalai Lama, Mahmoud Muhammad Taha. There are these people who make us, whatever religious tradition they come from. That is religion at its best. Of course the Dalai Lama is still an influence of that sort, but what frustrates me is that particularly since the 70's the entanglement of religion and politics has brought us a lot of religion at its worst. Religion brining conflict and,

in particular, religion not addressing the issues that are the most important for us to think about and actually do something about - I go back to poverty, all those people who don't have enough to eat, inequality and a global climate crisis that's going to make these problems worse. That context of us ending up with a lot of religion at its worst, to my mind, has something to do with globalization and what globalization is and that's where we need that meta-vocabulary of sharper analytic terms to understand why we're getting more of religion at its worst instead of religion at its best.

Caroline Meyer-White: Today has given me a greater headache since I still want to go and do my work and now I have become even more aware of the complexities. That has confirmed to me that working on a village level is what we as an organization must be doing because otherwise we can't encounter all of this, as all of these issues change from one village to the other. At the moment, if we want to get funding from the Danish government they are following the trend, that I think is quite general, that they want us to work with advocacy and work on making people aware of their rights and how they can reach them and so on. I'm sure that's good. I'm sure that's the way to make real and positive change, but also from today, I get how much more we need to be aware of what we're doing to really make it a positive change, because that work is so much more complex than just going and building shelters.

Muhamad Ali: Thank you again everyone. Just two sentences – one, I learned so much about changes and diversity in not only religion as such, but the conceptions of religions, including Islam. There is not only one type of Christianity, Buddhism, Islam and so on. Of course we know that in scholarship but it is even more obvious in this discussion. The second thing that I have learned is that we bring here scholarship as well as personal experiences, which, to me, cannot be separated. We tried to distinguish between the scholar and practitioner but in fact we are involved with our mind, heart, and body when we discuss these different issues. This is about understanding interaction of mind, body, and heart as well as interaction of people's ideas, goods, and so on.

Mark Juergensmeyer: I learned one other thing which is how smart we were, that is Victor and Dinah, to pull together this group of people...