1 Latin America and the Caribbean 2 Luce Workshop UCSB 3 January 16th, 2010 4 5 6 PANEL 1: Is the role of religion in Latin American and Caribbean public life changing? 7 8 **Fernando Lopez-Alves**: It's my honor and pleasure to introduce our first panel of this wonderful 9 workshop organized by the Orfalea Center here in Santa Barbara. We are privileged to be able to 10 organize things and to be able to actually invite people and to be able to sit around today because 11 there is no money anywhere in the university system. [laughter] There is no money, but 12 somehow we are blessed by this foundation. We are blessed by other governments. We want to 13 start [with] our rival from Riverside. We don't like these people, but still we keep inviting them 14 for some reason or another. [laughter]...It's my pleasure to introduce Jennifer Hughes. Her work 15 sounds important, and Jennifer has done very important work. Biography of a Mexican Crucifix. 16 published no less than by Oxford University Press, is her first book. 17 18 **Jennifer Hughes**: Thank you very much. I'm very happy to be here. I love having the junior 19 faculty person first up. Take the most junior person and make them start. 20 21 So the question we have before us this morning for this session is "Is the role of religion in Latin 22 American and Caribbean public life changing?" I suppose this morning that my comments are 23 going to emphasize continuity over change. And so I want to talk about the persistence of 24 traditional or popular religio-cultural institutions in a Roman Catholic context. But at the same 25 time that I am wanting to make this point about continuity, I want to say that these institutions 26 that express local religious practice are also malleable institutions and have been so historically. 27 And so they can be imbued with different content in response to the necessities of a given 28 historical moment. So continuity and malleability are two things, but I also want to isolate or 29 identify four points. 30

31 The first of these points is that, in spite of the growth of Pentecostal and Evangelical expressions 32 of Christianity in the last decades, it is still true that the majority of Latin Americans remain 33 Roman Catholic. I want to highlight the persistence of Roman Catholicism and the fact that on 34 some level there is no such thing as Catholicism, and there is no such thing as Christianity. If you 35 look at local expressions, if you have a local view, or a local approach to looking at global 36 Christianities, then the fact is that on the ground there is no one idea, belief, practice, or text that 37 could possibly even apply to most Christians in the world. And so I really want to emphasize that 38 prismatic local diversity of Christian expression so that even when we are talking about Christian 39 mega-churches in Sao Paulo or South Korea, on the surface they may look similar, but for people 40 in their personal, spiritual, aesthetic experience in the pews they have little in common with the 41 resonances and cultural references those places hold for the people. 42 43 The second point has to do with persistence. That is, Latin American religious practice in Roman 44 Catholic context both persists and continues to be profoundly local - oriented around locally 45 specific fiestas, saints, religious images, all of those things that are categorized as "folk religion." 46 And these preserve, shape, and narrate very specific community identities - identities that are 47 ethnic, historic, cultural, and spiritual. 48 49 The third point is, when we try to draw close to communities and believers on the ground in 50 Latin America, activists or organizations have to find some way to recognize the fact that in 51 those belief systems divine agency has equal weight with human agency. So much of Western 52 institutions are focused on the role of human agency and development and social justice. But to 53 really understand, to feel close to, or near to people in their faith is to figure out the place of 54 divine agency in those projects for the people themselves whom, presumably, they are trying to 55 serve. 56 57 The fourth point is a very specific discussion that I want to open about the religio-cultural local 58 institutions that persist in most of Latin America, such as mayordomias, cofradias, religious 59 brotherhoods that are structures of lay religious authority orchestrating the collective practice of 60 local religion. At the same time, these institutions persist historically, and [yet] in the present 61 they are malleable, flexible institutions that are about lay authority and lay power. In some ways

62 I would argue that the Christian-based communities in liberation theology could be 63 comprehended as another expression of these institutions even though they came up against 64 mayordomias in some places. The (sebays) and the [indistinct] are just another version of those. Furthermore, these local institutions are neither inherently conservative nor inherently liberating, 65 66 but they have facilitated both oppressive and liberating projects. Some have worked with 67 environmentalist NGOs, and in Mexico some have collaborated with government agencies 68 around art historical preservation in the protection of architectural and art historical treasures. 69 Also, these religious brotherhoods, cofradias, mayordomias, have leveraged transnational 70 financial support. For example, in parts of Mexico, these *mayordomo* networks raised huge sums 71 of money to support the Sandanistas by collecting loose change. And these networks were 72 behind the famous golf course rebellion, keeping out a large golf course that was funded with 73 capital from the United States. They kept it out of their community by taking over the town. And 74 that would have been a tremendous draw on limited water resources in that community. Plus, 75 they wanted to keep their town just the way it was. 76 77 Virginia Garrard Burnett: Mark gave a stern admonition not to read, but I'm going to read just 78 a tiny section from my blurb, and then I want that to be the lead-in. I talk in the paragraphs I 79 gave you about a changed religious landscape in Latin America, which people tend to know, but 80 not everybody does know that Protestantism has become very much a part of the Latin American 81 religious landscape over the past 20 or 30 years, particularly Pentecostalism. Jennifer is right to 82 note that, even when you look at places like Guatemala or El Salvador or Honduras or Brazil or 83 Chile, they are all countries that have very high percentages of Protestants - maybe a third of the 84 population. Still, this means that 70% of the population is Catholic, and we should not lose sight 85 of that. However, the Catholic Church has indeed lost members to Protestant groups in the last 86 few decades. But by the same token, this has also forced Catholics to think about being 87 Catholics. Movements within the Catholic Church have revitalized it, particularly charismatic 88 Catholicism.

89

90

91

92

This changed religious landscape has, potentially, significant implications for civil society, although it is hard to tell in which direction these implications point. As people redefine their identities through religion in a way they did not necessarily do in the past, scholars and policy

analysts have predicted, for perhaps the past 20 years or so, that Protestants would become a significant force in the political arena through the formation of evangelical political parties and the fielding of "Christian" political candidates. Generally speaking, this has not yet happened—the few examples of "Protestant" leaders such as Guatemala's genocidal General Efraín Ríos Montt in the early 1980s have proved to be very poor templates—and, historically speaking, Latin American Protestants have eschewed political mobilization for its "worldliness." This antipathy for political participation, however, seems to be changing as a new generation of second-generation *Evangélicos* begin to redefine their place in the world, and see politics as a place where they can bring about "redemption" and reform. This, certainly, is a trend to be watched.

I want to point out something that I don't mention in my paragraphs, and that is the formation of what they call "cell groups" in Latin America. It is not unique to Latin America, but it is certainly widespread in Latin American Protestant groups and charismatic groups. These small groups meet regularly, sometimes four times a week. They are not Christian-based communities, but they do Bible study. They apply the Bible to their lives. They also talk about very practical things. They ask, "If I'm a changed person, how does that play out in society? What should I do to manifest this in the world I live in?" They also talk about very practical things - "How do you establish a bank account? How do you make an investment? How do you cope in a world where you don't drink alcohol anymore? How do you entertain yourself? What should your kids watch on TV and not watch on TV?" Very practical things. But as the cellular groups become much more widespread, they are gaining a focus that they didn't have previously. And that is, "How should this new life interact with the neighborhood I live in? With my family? With my community? With my city? Is there a particular role for people who have had these changed lives in society?" They take a very religious point of view into society, and this has dramatic implications for civil society. They talk about it in Central America as simply *capitacion*. They talk about building up leaders. They talk about it at a very local level, and yet the implications are very large. Caballerros in Guatemala ran briefly and unsuccessfully in the last elections, but he is going to run again. He comes from a very large church called "El Shadia." He has actively pushed for the increase of the cellular groups and wants to expand this idea of redemption to the whole country. That is dangerous in a place like Guatemala because of [indistinct] who also had

a very specific vision of what redemption for that country meant, and it resulted in the deaths of probably 100,000 people. So it reads rather differently there than it might in other places. And yet it is a trend; widespread in Latin America, that is very much under the radar and very much worth watching. If you're expecting for political candidates to come out and for Protestants to vote as a block for a single political candidate, that is not going to happen any time soon. But this kind of articulation with civil society is going to happen at this other level. So that's worth watching.

A second, a more concerning trend that is worthy of note is in which religious pluralism creates arenas of conflict in civil society. In certain parts of Latin America, this has played out violently, as in Chiapas, where Catholics and Protestants have taken violent action against one another over conflicts over land and culture that are framed in a religious discourse. Farther south, in Central America, most notably in Guatemala, but also in El Salvador and Honduras, two countries where large-scale conversions to Protestantism have taken place as a response to serious social challenges such as natural disasters, gang violence, and narcotrafficking, religious organizations serve as functional substitutes for ineffective or absent civil, governmental, or judicial structures. Churches, both Catholic and Protestant, may assume the duty of providing basic services such as daycare, primary health care, education, leadership training, and other forms of "capitación." And one can certainly see that in Haiti, which is a good example of a place where you find NGOs and church/faith-based organizations moving in to fill a void left by ineffective governmental organizations even before the earthquake. We can assume that they will also fill the vacuums that Haiti is encountering today.

To address the questions posed to us before we came here, there is tremendous ground for conflict between faith-based organizations and secular NGOs. But there is also an area for collaboration, for cooperation, for non-duplication of efforts. Certain church groups can do certain things very well. NGOs can do other things very well. And if there could be a comity agreement of sorts for how best to distribute the many tasks that need to be met, then there is real promise in that kind of structure, especially when there is no one in charge. Some of my recent work has been on the Guatemala earthquake of 1976, and there one also sees a case where no one was in charge for roughly a month and a half. It was church organizations and to a lesser

extent NGO's, though one didn't use the word back then, that were the ones who were the first-responders and helped to frame what happened in the aftermath. This is one reason why Guatemala is so heavily Protestant today - not the only reason, but it is one of the reasons.

158159

160

161

155

156

157

But parachurch groups may also take on more intrusive, extralegal roles as well, such as in Guatemala, where, in the absence of an effective judicial system, religious mobs engage in the lynchings of alleged criminals in order to "cleanse" their communities of undesirables. This, too, is a trend that demands our attention.

163164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

162

Marianne Loewe: I would like to start with thinking about the topic "Is the church having an effect on public life? Is that changing?" And what first came to my mind when I saw the question was the men and women martyrs of Latin America. Indeed, they have made a change in public life of the church. Oscar Romero is a saint in many homes in El Salvador. Samuel Ruiz Garcia in many places in Chiapas has made an effective change within the indigenous communities, though Rome did not like it, by ordaining men and women deacons, which was more from the Mayan tradition. So welcoming the Mayan tradition into the Catholic community did change how that community was able to position itself to make a change for itself and the region. I would add to that list today the men and women martyrs of the natural disaster of Haiti, and I hope that they stay with us in our hearts for decades. That did not happen to them perhaps by choice, but they will be with us in our hearts for decades, I am sure. There are many other names that we could mention here, but what all of these men and women did consciously was follow the preferential option for the poor. That phrase came to us in Vatican II and was reinforced in the bishops' conferences in Latin America. The preferential option for the poor put before the lives of the Catholic community what we need to do to change to transform the society in which so many people in our world are living in material poverty. As an organization, in fact, we look for church people who continued to choose the preferential option for the poor as their way of doing parish life or doing community work. Initially, perhaps, that meant charity to the poor, but certainly, in our own experience of community in Latin American churches at this time, it means the empowerment of the poor. And that empowerment is real and it is actual if we listen and we share the skills that we have to help them become what they need for their communities. In many places there are base communities as we have seen in Latin America. Certainly Liberation

Theology in El Salvador was very rich and full when the base communities were very much alive and encouraged. But it is the base communities that probably formed Oscar Romero. It was not Oscar Romero that formed the based communities. And the same is true of any other leader that we would know. They changed because they went to the people, listened to the people, and were changed by the people, understood the needs, understood that the people themselves want to be part of their transformation. They don't want it imposed on them. Within our own organization whose primary work is what we call health promoter training to the level of a nurse practitioner, the people really are empowered to diagnose and treat the primary diseases that they find in their communities. When we gather people chosen by their communities to be the health care providers in their communities, and when the doctors that train them or the medical professionals that come from our country to train them really allow them to do the work, we find that not only are they helping their communities medically, they are building civil society. They are building the trust, reciprocity, cooperative work - they are building what they need for their community to really move forward and transform itself. Allow me to give an example of this from Guatemala. We are an international refugee and aid organization. So we worked with the refugees in Mexico. It was quiet work because Mexico didn't want to admit that refugees had come, and Guatemala didn't want to admit that refugees had left. So it was really, really quiet work, but we were invited by the refugees to go back to their communities. So one of the places where the bishop was really concerned for the poor and where the local priests were really concerned for the poor was the Peten - kind of a forsaken, big block of land in Guatemala - not the beautiful, traditional high lands, but the hot, humid plains of the country. And when we first went to talk to the Catholic community there, they interviewed us as much as we interviewed them. "Are you really here to help the poor?" And indeed they were. In one of the parishes, we have wonderful priests who themselves say "we are outsiders as we consider America are outsiders." But we are really here to support and encourage and facilitate that transformation. Once when the local priest, who was a Spanish national, had to leave for a couple of months, another priest was put into the parish as a temporary pastor. That pastor took away all the power of the people. He locked the doors, took away the keys, and wouldn't let them use the vehicles or the spaces for meetings. And that is the risk of the Catholic Church - let me say as a Catholic myself - the power is at the top. However, when the power is shared, and the people are allowed to engage in their work, huge transformation can take place. When the priest returned from Spain, he said,

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

"We have to do this differently now. So we're going to create a cooperative, a legal entity of our ministries so that this will never happen again." And that is what they did. We see that civil society does indeed change when that kind of empowerment happens. A woman in the town of Las Cruces in the middle of the Peten became mayor last year. Women are not usually elected to political positions in Latin America, ever. The first act of this woman was to paint the military post that stood at the gates of the town from the military green so that war would not welcome people when they entered Las Cruces anymore. It is a transformation that is quite real, quite lasting, and in the areas where we work, the Church facilitates it. I have another story from Columbia of how this transformation does take place when people are empowered. On the last day, one of our workers in the country was invited by the local radio station, now we are talking about a community that can only be accessed by river in the middle of an ugly civil war absolutely ugly civil war. So this Afro-Columbian man was the radio announcer, and he asked Curt, the PA running the program for the first 5 years "What changes have you seen in the region since you got here?" And before Curt could answer, this man himself said, "Let me tell you what I've seen. Martha is my doctor." Now Martha is an indigenous health promoter who was trained in the program. For an Afro-Columbian man--probably 6'4"--to recognize Martha, who is probably 5 feet tall as his doctor who took care of him every month for the hyper tension and the health problems that he had, is a huge transformation. The church there in that town is run by the Claretians. One of the things in preparing for this that I've read was that of all the institutions in Latin America, the church has 70% confidence of the people. That is huge. That can actually continue to change public life. And then one other thing that I'd like to mention is that again in an effort to empower people to reach out to communities that have been devastated by civil conflict the Church has been engaged in the process of "nunca mas" in Guatemala. One senior [indistinct] was killed for that particular process which was to remember the past, to write down the past, to reconcile the past. In the region where we work they do another project, and we talk about popular religion. This is where the spirit world of Latin America is something from which we can really learn. It is deep, it is penetrating, and it has practices that go far beyond what we understand. The church takes the Virgin's statue from community to community. It is the Virgin who was the witness to the mutilation and death of about three hundred people by the army in Dos Eres, about two kilometers from Las Cruces. She speaks about what she saw so that the community of people who might be afraid of what they saw, of saying what they saw, or

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

248 speaking about it have the freedom to then say in the presence of the Virgin statue what 249 happened to them in their lives during the civil conflict. These are really powerful movements of 250 local communities within a larger community. And it is not exclusive to Catholics or Christians. 251 It welcomes everyone. Indigenous communities teach us so much about the earth, about the 252 rhythms of the earth, about how we need to care for mother earth. We learn every single day 253 from our experiences with the people with whom we work. Thank you. 254 255 Fernando Lopez-Alves: Thank you to all of our panelists. 256 257 Mark Juergensmeyer: So we have time for conversation and questions. Actually I'd like to take 258 the opportunity to ask the first question of the panel. I've been thinking while you were talking. 259 The social, political impact of some of the new movements and trends, particularly the 260 Pentecostal movement and the Charismatic movement in Roman Catholicism, in some ways 261 these are anti-authoritarian movements. They challenge the hierarchical structure of the Church 262 and the relationship that the Church has had with society and politics to shore-up the traditional 263 status quo. I mean it may be a good thing to challenge that and shake it up, particularly in terms 264 of old oligarchies and landed aristocracies. But doesn't it also have a problematic side in making 265 it possible for the promotion of new populist, extremist and decentralized social movements that 266 make it hard to work with NGOs or with outside agencies? Does it make it prey to the influence 267 we saw in El Salvador, of right-wing American religious groups manipulating and having 268 influence on some of these new Pentecostal movements? Help us understand the complexity, the 269 good and bad side, of this kind of new religious popularism and its social and political impact. 270 271 Virginia Garrard Burnett: I think you are exactly right. It is very complicated, and it is hydra-272 headed. It is very hard to speak about it as a single movement because it is not a single 273 movement. I will say that, in general, Guatemalan Pentecostals do not need Americans to help 274 them be conservative. The general thinking about Pentecostals is that they are very much 275 influenced by the United States and that they are very conservative in their political outlook, 276 although the data doesn't show that they are. The perception is that they are conservative, but the 277 reality is that they are everything because they are very theologically diverse. In terms of

ethnicity, they are diverse. In Guatemala, some denominations are almost completely Mayan,

Mayan-run, and some of them are absolutely not. Urban and rural - every divide you could imagine, except maybe gender. Women tend not to move to the top of Pentecostal hierarchy. They do have power within. So it is a little hard to talk about them as a single movement. It just does not work that way. I have argued elsewhere that that may be a benefit to them - that they are not particularly organized. They do not even all get along with one another. They divide as churches do over theological differences, and those become absolutes. "We don't have to do with this group because they're not dispensationalists or because they're not Pentecostal." There is much more unity among non-Pentecostal Protestants in most of Central America. But, they actually have a lot more in common, with Ecumenical Catholics. So, it is hard to generalize. In terms of a populist leader coming to the fore, there is a danger there because pastors tend to be fairly authoritarian. There's not a lot of pastoral training in Pentecostal churches. They may get it after the fact, but all you need to become a Pentecostal pastor is a revelation and somebody to come to your church. A lot of seminaries now will have these extension courses so somebody can come in during the week to get some Bible study, get some other training. So some of these people who rise to the fore are very authoritarian. That is the old branding of Pentecostalism people said back in the sixties that people would move into the city and become Pentecostal to replicate the authoritarianism of the hacienda. It might be pretty, but I don't buy it. On the other hand the very system that brings them up also mitigates against it because if somebody becomes too bossy, too authoritarian, too populist that people don't like, they will leave and start their own church. So it is both a strength and a weakness. Further, there is something that bears looking at, and that is a popular perception of what constitutes evangelical behavior that does influence people who are not even Evangelical themselves, maybe especially not Evangelicals themselves. There is a perception that Evangelicals, Evangelicos, I mean using that broad term, not using it in the English sense, that they are honest, trustworthy, that they are reliable. They get things done. They are can-do people, which may or may not be true. I would say that Guatemala's history has not particularly borne that out, but perception is different from reality many times. That could certainly help a populist get some traction. I've heard stories of people who, when they want to smuggle something into a prison, will take a Bible, hollow it out, and stick whatever it is they want in there because nobody is going to search an Evangelico. So there is that perception that really could have somebody get some traction. But, by the same token, I was at a conference this summer in Guatemala, and it was a group of Pentecostal leaders who

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

came together in Guatemala City. The idea of the conference organizer was "We have a tremendous amount of social capital now in this country, and don't we have a responsibility to do something with it? We have one of the highest percentages of evangelicals in Latin America, and also the worst social statistics, outside of Haiti, in Latin America and the highest percentage of murder per capita of almost any place in the world. And so what good is it doing us if our society is like this? If it's gotten worse since it's become more Protestant?" Which was a hard question for those people in that room-a very hard question. Number one, he had a hard time even getting people to come because they were afraid of coming to a meeting that even implied that there was some sort of broad political agenda that all Evangelicals should take. It was hard to even get people to come. Then when he just threw this idea out as the whole purpose of this week-long seminar, there was great reluctance in the room to even accept the hypothesis. And I think it is from their history. They are also afraid that they are going to get killed. That is not a small consideration in that country. But they are also afraid that it is just going to woefully misfire in a way that they cannot quite expect, and they've seen well-meaning, positive-minded movements misfire so many times in that country that they are very afraid to seize the moment that they have.

Mark Juergensmeyer: Other questions?

Katherine Marshall: I am interested in your comments on a couple of questions. One is, how much tension you're seeing as these religious dynamics are playing out. We have heard hair-raising stories of the tensions between the *Evangelicos* and the Catholic Church and even to some extent the indigenous religions in Guatemala particularly, but I am not sure how much further it goes. Bishop Bramazini walks around with four bodyguards because he has had so many death threats - a lot of sense that the religious tension is increasing. And secondly, I do not think that I heard anyone mentioning the right-wing Catholic side and some of the tensions with human rights groups - Opus Dei, some of the other movements. I have heard some people agonizing over the irony of a Catholic Church which has the preferential option for the poor aligning itself with right-wing groups because of their deep fears about human rights and the concern that human rights may be forcing them into accepting women priests as well as issues of abortion and family planning. Where is this playing out most actively, the tensions within the

Catholic Church? Some of your numbers are 90% Catholic across Latin America which seems awfully high according to the numbers that I have seen. But still it is very high. How far are the tensions within the Catholic Church playing, spilling over into the socio-political, economic sphere? And then the third question is we have heard a lot about growing tensions around extractive industries - environmental issues - where some of the religious leaders are playing a very active role.

**Jennifer Hughes**: I guess I get to speak to that. [laughter] These figures - 90% are still Roman Catholic - I don't know what that means, because I think it means different things in different places. And also that 70% support of the Catholic Church, I don't know what that means either or how people are understanding a survey that would ask that question. My experience in Mexico is that I asked everywhere I went, "No es Catolico? Es Catolica?" and they would say, "Bueno, soy criente" "I'm a believing person." The Catholics are those little old ladies who lived in church all day long. So, 90% Catholic, I don't know what that means. And I think I would also say this irony that you have pointed out between the option for the poor and Opus Dei, I don't think that is an irony. That is inherent to the many different strands of Roman Catholic tradition. Certainly, the Roman Catholic Church has been a conservative force in many places and many times. That is not any surprise, and that strand has existed in most places in most times with other strands that are more liberative strands. There is this conception of the new sort of religious pluralism or a more open religious marketplace in Latin America, and yet Marianne has spoken about the liberationist strand. At the same time I think there has been a winnowing of the options with the institutional dismantling of the liberationist strand within Roman Catholicism. So, there are few options on some level for Roman Catholics.

Fernando Lopez Alves: We have another question...

**Otto Maduro**: I completely agree with Jennifer, but I would like also to add something related to historical processes to place some of these things in perspective. It is almost a caricature, but also reflects part of the reality. There has been a very significant backlash within the Roman Catholic Church from the very last years of Pope Paul VI himself, not just the people around him, until today which has among other things pushed back all the inroads that were made by

progressive, liberationist, socially conscious, humanitarian, human rights Catholicism beyond the grass-roots of certain locales of the Roman Catholic Church. I am very much in the movement to liberation theology, but I hate all these universalizations, generalizations, and exaggerations that make it out to be this huge movement - it was always a minority movement. Always. However, in some countries, the majority of the religious clergy, the clergy of religious orders, not the diocesan clergy, and in a few countries, like Brazil, a very large chunk of the bishops were supportive of this new form of Catholicism. From the late 70's on, there has been a regression, and nowadays we find fewer and fewer bishops, fewer and fewer leaders of the conferences of religious orders that support this kind of thing. So right-wing conservative Catholicism is not a marginal movement any longer. In some places, they say the Opus Dei looks progressive compared to the Legionaries of Christ for example and other movements that have taken hold of bishoprics and archdioceses in many countries in Latin America.

Virginia Garrard Burnett: Can I add something to that? I may not have this correct, but isn't it something like 82% of the bishops in Latin America were appointed under John Paul II or Benedict? So it would certainly reflect a much more conservative trend than before. What also bears noting is that despite every institutional reason for it to die out, Liberation Theology has not completely gone away, because it has grassroots support. [audience comment] Very deep roots that have undergone some changes, and it has certainly retracted from where it was - look at a place like Nicaragua where it probably had its greatest flourishing in a lot of ways. But it is still there despite every pressure against it.

Fernando Lopez-Alves: More questions?

James Wellman: I have done work on mega-churches in American culture. What did we find in the vital, growing mega-churches? Every one of them had unbelievable networks of small groups which were all about pastoral care, fundamental desires, and needs of families and individuals trying to grow and be nurtured. I read Rick Warren's book, *The Purpose-Driven Life*, and having some background in clinical, pastoral education, pastoral ministry I read the chapter on small groups, and it is very good in terms of personal accountability, moral accountability, being open and transparent with one's needs and praying for each other. So Virginia, I was fascinated with

your question or your comments about the cell groups and their agenda. Has anyone compared that to base communities? And from my point of view, these small groups are enormously powerful ways of creating social movements. Virginia Garrard Burnett: They can be manipulated. To get back to Mark's question, you can have somebody at the helm of a church who has a very clear patriarchal agenda that he can spread through the [indistinct]. But, in general, they do tend to be very supporting and nurturing in building up the leadership and capability. They can be manipulated. They sometimes are manipulated, but by and large they are not committees for defense of the revolution. They are something different than that. The two of us were talking briefly about Lakewood Church in Houston last night at our dinner, and I was trying to call that church one day because there was a church in Guatemala that is supposed to be the largest church. They just built it. It is supposed to be the largest church in the Americas, and I did not think it was. I thought Lakewood was larger. So I emailed them to find out how many people fit in their stadium church. I had to fill out this questionnaire. They did not get back with me for several days, and I was surprised. I thought they would be on it in a second, and it turned out the reason why was that they could not quite pinpoint what group leader should contact me - that it did not quite fit any profile that they were looking for. But they are very careful. They want the right person to contact the right person. The person that fits whatever it is you are looking for. **James Wellman**: Do you think outsiders underestimate the social networking of these systems and the power of them? Is that your feeling? Virginia Garrard Burnett: I completely feel that way. I certainly do. The other thing about the small groups is they replace other social networks that people might have had. And it may have been one's friends at the cantina, but it might be something else. So it might also have been one's

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

confredia membership.

**Cecelia Lynch:** This is just a transnational comment on all of your talks, but especially Jennifer's point about not being sure if there is such a thing as Catholicism or Christianity. When we social scientists look at religion in the world, we still want to say somebody is X or

somebody is Y. And one of the things I am finding in Africa is that the numbers do not add up so that if you have 80% of the population in Cameroon following traditional religions and 60% following Christianity, and somewhere between 20% to 40% following Islam, then obviously that's way over 100%. And I just think that seeing these things as more fluid is an important way to look at them. It is important for me to hear this, that this trend is that strong in Latin America as well, not just in Guatemala, but throughout. So thank you for that. Sarah Kline: I just wanted to make some comments about Mexico. In particular, the anticlericalism in Mexico has been very strong for a very long time. And in the 1980s, the Roman Catholic Church has some small numbers who were active with liberation theology in the North, but the Roman Catholic Church there in the north in particular found that it could gain a much broader appeal by pushing the notion of the development of civil society and open elections. And this is where Mexico really opened up from a single party system with the Institutional Revolutionary Party to having the National Action Party which was founded by the Catholics to be a much broader-based movement and brought about in the year 2000 an actual Panista win for the presidency. And this was the perception that the kind of bankruptcy of the Mexican system at that point could be counter-posed with a Roman Catholic Church which was appealing to middle class people who wanted genuine electoral openness and good government and the like. Now, whether it's played out since then is another thing, but the Church as a political force in Mexican politics cannot be ignored. We are short of time. I have other comments, but I won't make them. **Audience member question**: To follow on Virginia's comments, is there any evidence the cellular movement has in fact connected to civil society organizations? **Virginia Garrard Burnett**: Not directly in Guatemala... They have in Columbia. It is very, very widespread in Columbia. They have connected ... What they actually do in Columbia is they do field political candidates. So that is new and successful. Columbia has such a troubled political system that once again it is the association that *Evangelicos* are incorruptible and honest and will avoid graft that has made them very successful. So it has been a way that they have identified

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

464 talent and mobilize political society around it. But Guatemala does not have that level of 465 organization yet, and I don't know if it will. It may. 466 467 Mark Juergensmeyer: Thank you panel... 468 469 PANEL 2: Do religious institutions play a positive role in supporting humanitarian 470 activities? 471 472 Sarah Kline: I am Sarah Kline from the history department at UCSB. And our second panel is 473 entitled: "Do religious institutions play a positive role in supporting humanitarian activities?" 474 We have three panelists, Kurt Frieder, Otto Maduro, and Katherine Marshall. I would like to 475 have the panelists go from the practical, on-the-ground to the academic, although Katherine 476 Marshall does both in a sense. I would like to start with Katherine Marshall, then have Kurt 477 Frieder, who has a short video for us and then have Otto Maduro present. So... Katherine 478 Marshall, she is a very distinguished on-the-ground, senior person in both academia and in 479 institutional work for the World Bank. She has an extensive experience in international 480 development. She is currently at Georgetown University's Berkley Center for Religion, Peace 481 and World Affairs and has published a significant number of things with the World Bank on 482 development in the third world. I would like to turn it over to her for a short presentation, five to 483 ten minutes. 484 485 **Katherine Marshall**: Good morning again. I was a little in a quandary as to what to do with this 486 subject. So I will do what comes to mind. At a simple level, the answer is yes, and I could stop 487 there [laughter]. And certainly the dramatic picture that we are seeing in Haiti of what 488 religiously-latent/inspired groups are doing, the various substantial role that they are playing and 489 have played, in other words that was revealed by the crisis, but also that they are playing and 490 clearly will play in the humanitarian relief is a vivid case in point. But what I thought I would do 491 is first talk a little bit about some of the definitional issues that come from framing the question. 492 And secondly, [to] take this opportunity to talk a little bit about some of the ideas and questions 493 that came out of a very similar exercise that we ran in Antigua, Guatemala this January which 494 was on the role of faith-inspired organizations, faith across Latin America, and its links to

development. So this is the only opportunity that I will really have to do that, and it makes sense that we share because the Orfalea Center and the Berkley Center with LUCE's godparent-ship are in a sense doing almost the same thing. We should be cross-fertilizing.

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

495

496

497

First, on definitions, the question of what is humanitarian is a question that I think we need to address. To some extent, the assumption is that humanitarian is the purely relief side, whether it is feeding the hungry, taking care of widows and orphans, or whether it is relief after war or relief after a crisis - a natural disaster such as we have witnessed so many [times], too many [times], in the past years. One of the interesting trends as we actually look across the world at the remarkable universe of organizations that have some affiliation with religion, some people call them FINGOs [Faith-Inspired Non-Governmental Organizations] is an extraordinarily diverse group. And ... one of [the things] we are doing and I think [that] you are doing is what we call "mapping", which can be everything from GIS-linked (i.e. where there is actually a facility) to the journalist mapping which is who, what, where, why, when, with what resources, for what purpose? And [we are] also trying to get at this question - "Is there seriously a difference between a Catholic Relief Services, or the Jewish Joint Distribution, or Islamic Relief, and CARE, Save the Children, or even what the bishops conference, or the world conference of churches is talking about?" And I think we all know that the answer is that it is very complicated. There is no simple answer, though interestingly I heard Ken Hackett speaking at a conference at Georgetown a few weeks ago where he was trying to argue that what Catholic Relief Services does is fundamentally different. And I frankly do not buy it. When you are building a well, there may be some difference, but there is also a lot of fundamental similarities. Trying to impugn the motivation of non-religiously oriented groups, which is what comes out of it, is not useful for anyone.

519520

521

522

523

524

525

But one of the historic tendencies is that many of these groups actually were formed around the humanitarian challenges post-WWII and have been shaped by some of the huge humanitarian disasters of recent years. And that is true of CRS, but it is also true of CARE. I mean, what are care packages? The packages that were sent after WWII. The relief business is actually, as came out again in Haiti, primarily a logistics operation in the initial stages. I spent some time in Mauritania and in refugee camps, both on the border with Senegal and on the border with Mali,

and came away quite surprised that this kind of noble, wonderful work that is done is about trucks and logistics and procurement and some effort to try to deal with the immediate logistics of water and so on. And that is true everywhere. So almost every one of these groups has migrated in a sense towards what we do not want. First of all, we do need this relief, but secondly this is not really about band-aids. This is about trying to stop it from happening again and trying to find ways in which you can move so that you reconstruct in a better way. And already there is some of that thinking in Haiti - that we do not want just to rebuild what was there before. We want to move forward. So you see this migration in virtually every one of these organizations. You also are dealing with an evolution as you see in many parts of the development business from very simplistic views of development that were post-WWII, 50's infrastructure ports - investment, even that it is measured in terms of income to much more complex visions of participation, empowerment, understanding, complex understandings of different models of society and coming to grips with not only abject poverty and misery, but the move towards more equitable societies, which is both ethically and practically very complex. So one of the symptoms of that is that you are hearing--and this is particularly true within the Catholic world, and CRS is putting tremendous efforts into it-talking about peace building. So what is peace building? I mean peace building ... That is where one had a simplistic view that there was war, then there were negotiations, then there was the mobilization/demobilization/reintegration stage, then there was the transition, and then there was normal development. But of course what exists is just this ups-and-downs, and even in DRC for example but also this would be true in Central America. There are some areas where prosperity is taking off, but you have other areas where you are locked into conflict. So in many senses peace building and the kind of development we want to be talking about are indistinguishable. But it is also impossible to define development now because development is everything. Development is progress. It is modernization. It is trying to follow human rights. It is trying to have jobs. It is trying to have education, health, fighting trafficking. All of that is development. But in any event, what one is trying to do is to do that with the tools that people call peace

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

building with the lessons that come out of humanitarian efforts. And one of the big stories in this is the enormous experience that comes out of the universe, the galaxy, of organizations that have some religious link. In that though, I want to highlight one issue and one tension which again comes out of the Haiti story immediately.

561562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

557

558

559

560

The current estimate is that there are about ... at least 10,000 NGOs operating in Haiti. I mean it is just a phenomenally complex issue. But this is part of a piece of the development story that is out of control. I mean not only do you have the Latvians and the Basques with development programs, multiple UN agencies, you have this extraordinary diversity of civil society organizations of all species. You have private companies getting involved whether it is Nike or whoever, and if you take a look at a country like... Guatemala or Bolivia, it is just completely unmanageable. So you have a whole set of thinking around the need to "get a grip" - that you have simply got to find some way to have some coordination - not to have initiatives driven and born outside being driven in the country. And that is where you have the whole aid coordination, aid harmonization efforts. These are less relevant in Latin America than they are in Africa or in some parts of Asia because development aid is actually far less important as a factor in all but a very few Latin American countries. I do not think there is any country that depends for more than 25% of its budget on development assistance except maybe Haiti, not even Bolivia anymore. But still, you have this general sense that the development world is out of control and that there must be more harmonization and more coordination whether it is on procurement or whether it is on education strategies or water. But then you do have another view, and there have been polls taken of this in a variety of places. And it breaks down roughly 80/20 in the polls that I have seen. There are about 80% on the 'get a grip' side and about 20% who say creativity is better, "let a thousand flowers bloom," government is hopeless, coordination will bring us nothing but disaster - State planning. So you know, let it rip. So there are these tensions which affect the development community, affect particularly civil society which really is the tendency to buy and lease, and the religious organizations are out on the extreme of 'let the thousand flowers bloom, let's not get into this ... don't try to mess with me, don't try to coordinate me.' And clearly I do not need to say this because it is pretty obvious [that] religion is part of the problem and part of the solution. And thinking through how that fits, whether it is on gender, whether it is on reproductive rights, whether it is on humanitarian etc.

588 589 Sarah Kline: So our second presenter is Kurt Frieder ... He has done significant work in the 590 public health sector in a very pragmatic sense. A short introduction for somebody who has been 591 very active in this sphere... 592 593 **Kurt Frieder**: Well, thank you very much. My presentation will not be very spiritual really. I'm 594 not coming from the religious side, and [I'm] not an academic strictly speaking although I have a 595 degree in public administration and public health. But I focus on the operative side of public 596 health, and I've been working [for] the last twenty years in this area. And I must say that I feel 597 that we are facing big problems. We heard this morning about Haiti. I just wanted to add to that 598 yesterday night when we were talking about what was going on there, we also mentioned there 599 was an earthquake somewhere in the United States and the buildings moved from one side to the 600 other but with no serious consequences. They only saw the buildings moving. And in Haiti 601 where you do not have high-rise buildings, only slums and small houses, almost everything is 602 gone. That has to do with the reality that we are living in in Latin America. 603 604 I wrote down a few things, because for me it is not as easy not [having] English [as] my mother 605 tongue. I would like to say that Latin America is the greenhouse, the future of our planet. We 606 produce three times more food than required. But there are millions of under-nurtured children. 607 190,000,000 - one third of the total population - are poor, and this figure is growing. In 608 Argentina alone, we have about 900,000 youngsters aged 14 to 24 years that do not study or 609 work. 610 611 In the region, Catholicism continues [to be] the dominant religion. I don't know if it is 90% or 612 less - it is not so important really. But the reality is that in this region local conservative groups 613 still hold great power in political and institutional structures. And the Catholic Church's voice 614 and opinion is almost decisive when it comes to some conflictive matters related to education, 615 health, and civil society activity. Governments have to deal with enormous social complexities in 616 Latin America, and public policies are often mixed with politics in the struggle for power. Social 617 reality clashes [with] and confronts ... religious and dogmatic beliefs maintained by the Church, 618 not only by the Catholic Church but mainly by the Catholic Church. And issues like

homosexuality, diverse sexual orientations or identities, gay marriage, adoptions, and monoparental families are especially conflictive for the society and for religion for all of us. But there are other situations that relate to strict public health issues like wide condom promotion to avoid STDs or HIV infection, and safe and legal abortions for the 3.9 million women that each year do it illegally in the region and are performed anyway with severe health consequences and death in many cases. The number of dead people in illegal abortions goes in the thousands and many millions of those then finally have severe consequences for their future lives.

626

627

628

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

Saying that, I would like to share a very short video - 2 minutes- with you that I think will add to our conversation.

629

630 [video]

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

OK. This video is part of a program that we are showing on TV every year in open TV that is involved with the global media AIDS initiative. This one we presented four years ago. It finishes with a phrase that you didn't read. It was in Spanish there but ... it is a phrase from Susan Sontag. She said, "AIDS is a relatively new disease, but the reactions that arise are as old as humanity." And that reminded me of something that the Nobel Laureate and human rights warrior Aung San Suu Kyi said when he mentioned that fear is not the natural state of civilized people. But the reality is that stigma is still far more of a problem than it should be especially in heavily hit countries. And churches have to do with that and have to deal with that. HIV is a profoundly social disease. Its' causes and consequences are deeply embedded in the social, cultural, and political processes that shape national development, social institutions, and civil society, interpersonal relations and everyday life. And it is impossible to separate the response to AIDS and add the problems that have to do with sexual reproductive rights from the largest issue of global health and social justice and protection of human rights. In Latin America, we are facing social issues that are burning for the region, especially poverty, violence and insecurity, alcoholism and drugs, youth pregnancies, gender inequalities, and also HIV/AIDS. The society is becoming more and more dissociated and we need sustainable programs that address the origins of social inequality resulting in disparate health outcomes. In this scenery and looking into my field of expertise, there are big tensions between humanism and organized religion. And mainly

because, besides the HIV and AIDS epidemic, everything existed already before sexuality stigma, discrimination, harassment of women and poverty. And once we have an effective treatment and a vaccine for HIV/AIDS, if we do not change the conditions, which will be the next epidemic that we're going to face? And I think that this is a very good opportunity to talk about these things because religion, all religions, have a lot to do with these things and have to deal with things that are very difficult to address for us, ourselves individually, but also for society. Finally, what I wanted to say, I said already. I don't want to repeat myself, but I feel that with what's going on in Haiti this may not be the day's problem, but it is the problem we are facing in Latin America. Thank you very much. Sarah Kline: And last, but certainly not least, is professor Otto Maduro, a very distinguished scholar of religion in Latin America, author of multiple major studies and particularly on liberation theology. So not taking more time on introduction, over to you. Otto Maduro: Thank you very much. Let me start a little like Katherine did with the question itself ... My answer would be 'yes', 'no', 'sometimes', 'depends'. [laughter] And I'm gonna touch on one legal aspect of the "yes" and the "sometimes" and the "depends" being very aware that the "no" is also there, including of course in the Pentecostal, Evangelical churches and denominations which is where I'm going to focus right now. One thing that I want to say at the beginning ... One of the problems of talking about anything, especially when you have only 5 to 10 minutes is that you have to proffer a lot of generalizations that really wipe out and erase all the differentiation that is found anywhere. I come from Venezuela, a country that prided itself in being different until we went down the tubes like any other country. And I am therefore very acutely aware of how many generalizations are proffered by journalists and scholars and teachers and just plain people in conversation when we talk about Latin America and the Caribbean. Something that maybe nobody here knows is we had a stable rate of exchange with the dollar for over a century - 335. And then we had a stable one for the next...something like 20 years - 440. So a 25% devaluation in more than a century and then all of a sudden Friday the 13th, 1982 all hell broke loose and we are deeper in hell 30 years later. That's the context where many of these changes, but also needs, in religious institutions played a

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

role in relation to humanitarian activities often takes place. And I am going to say a couple of things in relation to that.

We all know, at least indirectly, the important role that Roman Catholic institutions have played both for and against humanitarian initiatives in Latin America and the Caribbean for the past century and the beginning years of this new century. What many people do not know, and that is where I want to put a little accent in my intervention is the increase in what could be called Social Ministries sponsored by Evangelical and Pentecostal churches throughout the region. In the last half century or so, which are becoming increasingly visible sometimes not because of the humanitarian activities but rather because of the accusations against Pentecostal and Evangelical groups of doing the opposite of humanitarian activities, which is also true for many of these organizations as it continues to be true for many Roman Catholic institutions and for, of course, many non-religious institutions in the area. One of the things that I want to underscore is that part of what has happened in recent years is that things that were not considered humanitarian activities but at best charitable give-outs or hand-outs have become so urgent, increasingly urgent, for such a large percentage of the population that we can hardly continue to deride those activities not realizing at the same time that these are the activities that very often are saving the physical, mental, and emotional lives of people in the subcontinent.

Another thing that I want to underscore is, many of you have heard the expression, "the lost decade." I hate that we are not talking more clearly about what has happened. It has been three lost decades now. Basically things have not gotten better anywhere in Latin America or the Caribbean in the last thirty years except of course as usual for a few chunks of the population. I was in Grand Central Station, picked up the Economist with this headline "The Crisis Is Over in Latin America." I did not know it was over. [laughter] So I picked it up. I read. Basically what it said is that there are more billionaires now in Latin America than ever before, which is true as well. But, as well, and what we very often do not pay enough attention [to] is the other side of the "as well" is that poverty, illiteracy, homelessness, nonpolitical violence, to give it a name, like any other name, including domestic violence, migrations-internal and abroad, child abandonment, child prostitution, child traffic[ing], and all sorts of diseases, particularly but not only HIV/AIDS on the one hand but also diseases that were thought to be eliminated like

tuberculosis, all of these are on the rise in the last 30 years, I think in every single country in Latin America without exception. So we are living through a catastrophe of lesser or greater proportions depending on the period, the region, the country, and so on and so forth in these last 30 years. And we find fewer and fewer public agencies meeting this catastrophe because the dogma of the IMF, World Bank, WTO, and North Atlantic countries is 'you don't do that any longer.' We also find fewer and fewer businesses doing that. We find more and more church agencies doing that, trying to meet the needs that are growing and meet this catastrophe in Latin America and the Caribbean. And there, when Latin Americans feel increasingly left on their own individual means to survive the catastrophe, Pentecostal, Evangelical leaders and groups are increasingly daring to what amounts to crossing the line that divides, sometimes from the outside but sometimes also from the inside, their views of social ills.... [These] tended to be either cast as or actually in many groups and leaders and denominations seen as individual ills, not social, that should be met with what is often called in a pejorative way 'pie in the sky' messages, apolitical messages, or even anti-political strategies or conservative approaches to politics and social change. More and more leaders, more and more denominations, more and more congregations are crossing that line, knowingly or not and doing stuff that they did not used to do that they were not used to be asked to do 10, 15, 20, 50 years ago in relation to the growing needs emerging with this catastrophe. And let me just close with something that is partially related to the question and the answer, and that is at least an interesting fact that I see often not taken into account. All these new populist leaders that have emerged in the continent in Brazil, Argentina, Ecuador, Venezuela, Paraguay, Haiti, and so on and so forth who have won the majority of the popular vote in democratic elections have done it more often than not also with Evangelical, Pentecostal support and with Evangelical, Pentecostal opposition and with Catholic support and with Catholic opposition and in some cases with leftist-socialist support and with leftist-socialist opposition. So just [in] closing I would say these tendencies certainly introduce new elements for responding in the 21st century the question about "Do religious institutions play a positive role in supporting humanitarian activities" and to complicate the "yes", "no", "sometimes", depends" answer to that question. Thank you.

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

743 **Sarah Kline**: Before opening it up, I would like to give Katherine Marshall a chance to finish. 744 I'm managing time here and you may want to respond to your fellow panelists. So over to you. 745 746 Katherine Marshall: Just as an observation, it is clear that we are talking about this word 747 "humanitarian" in very different ways, and I think there are at least three things. The first one is 748 the classic UN definition of "humanitarian" which is really emergency relief and assistance to 749 people in the most desperate straits. That is, within the international community, what 750 "humanitarian" means. The second is more human needs, basic human capabilities, including 751 education, health, HIV/AIDS, etc. And the third is this distinction which I think is a very 752 important one and which is an evolution in the way people think about the development world 753 between charity and efforts that are based on compassion and the ancient religious traditions of 754 charity which ironically were more for the benefit of the person who gave than the person who 755 received to the contemporary view which is important but hard to translate into practice of a 756 rights-based approach. In other words... trying to provide people with basic support whether it is 757 for orphans or for children or for mothers or for whatever, that is not being given out of the 758 goodness of your heart or because you happen to feel like writing a check or responding to an 759 email, but because it is basically the right thing to do. And I think we're caught in all of these 760 tensions. On the humanitarian side, we're caught on this awareness that life is not a line, that it 761 does not go from emergency or from war through classic predictable stages, as people used to 762 think, to development, but that it is very bumpy, up-and-down, mixed-up, very complicated all 763 the way. The understanding of human needs and humanitarian is one that I think is very jumbled 764 in a lot of people's minds. Peter Berger who often says to me, "Well when you're talking about 765 religion, you're talking about welfare." And that he thinks it is wrong. To me, education and 766 health are not welfare. They are basic investments. But that is a classic tension [as it] was with 767 HIV/AIDS. A lot of the organizations we are talking about talk about rights-based [approaches], 768 but when you actually ask them "What does that really mean?" and particularly when you have 769 the imbalance of power that goes with who has the money and who is receiving the money, we

are, all of us, in a dilemma which is what all of this work needs to focus on. In other words,

770

771

772

"What do we mean?"

Very, very briefly, I would like to put on the table the issues that came out of our two-day consultation that we had which was in Antigua, Guatemala. First of all, and it has come out a couple of times here, this issue of deep concern that there is a dramatic increase in the existential life challenges for children - more and more people seeing children on the street, dysfunctional families, tremendous violence within families, the phenomenon of gangs, and it is not quantified [inaudible words] ... I have not been aware of a single country where we really know what is happening. But this is what we were hearing from a group of practitioners - that it is getting worse, we are very worried. And they translated, and this is one of the characteristics of many of the faith groups, as the challenge to the family. And you hear this from, of course, Catholic and Protestant/Pentecostal but also from some of the traditional religions (Jewish, even some of the Hindu groups) that this is why you get this sense of the family - we have to protect the family. But then the question is "What do you mean?" And of course the family then translates into "Oh my God, abortion!" That is what they are really talking about ... I was struck in Guatemala by the fact that the Vital Voices, which is Molan Vermir's group (she is an ambassador for women and very close friend of Hillary Clinton), ... did not want to hear about the religious side. I mean, there is almost a complete wall of separation between women's groups and religious groups around a lot of these issues. So I think that that was an important one. What do we do with indigenous groups and of course the overlap, the tremendous syncretism, which is a characteristic of the region. We were trying to get a handle on the 2008, 2009 economic crisis which is playing out very differently in different countries. But of course the remittances, drop in tourism, drop in orders for certain manufacturers, the sense of increasing food prices, volatility of energy prices, all of these are having an effect which is not wellmeasured and not understood. The physical security, the gang issue was a tremendous issue. Lots of concern about inequity, almost no idea what to do about it. I mean, inequality ... Latin America is the ... world champion in inequality. What do you do? And it is apparently very sticky. I mean, even Chile, with all of its performance, still has extraordinary inequality ... Religious roles in education, not well known and understood, are obviously important. Emerging roles on environment, including the extractive industries (oil) and then the issues on violence including gangs where in many cases the religious people are the only people who are there.

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

Migration, reemerging issues on land tenure. So ... that is just the agenda that came out of our discussion in January.

**Sarah Kline**: Shall we open it up?

Mark Juergensmeyer: [Tell us] about religious groups moving in and filling the vacuum often where government is not or can not operate, and yet that does create a curious kind of dilemma. In some ways it lets government off the hook. In some ways it also brings in international elements that challenge in some ways the sovereignty of governments. We are seeing this now in Haiti. I mean, this is a very interesting moment where the United States military particularly has the ability to bring an organization into a situation that desperately needs it, and yet there is very difficult political consequences. But at a different level this happens all the time when international organizations, particularly international religious organizations, come in and play social service roles that maybe traditionally a government has, or at least should have, occupied. Are there issues here of sovereignty and of independence that are being challenged through these organizations? Maybe it is for Otto. I don't know.

Otto Maduro: One thing that I would like to put on the table related to your conversation about humanitarian activities is, not this crisis of the last few months, but the one of the last thirty years in Latin America and the Caribbean has in many ways and in many places blurred the line between charity, concerns for human needs, concerns for human rights, and then almost erased the other understanding of humanitarian activities that was more prevalent in the 60s and 70s which was the struggle for social justice and structural transformations. I would put it this way, these thirty years of increasing poverty to oversimplify (it is more than poverty) have pushed on the one hand many people that did not have any social concerns to develop them and then on the other hand many people that were fighting for radical transformations of the economic and political structure to just give up because these urgent needs are such, and the obstacles to real deep transformations are also such, that this has been put in many respects on the back burner. And for Cecelia's questions about the state of liberation theology in the region, right now I would say that is part of the issue. Liberation theology was born mostly with its sights placed on social justice and structural transformation. And people are just dying all over and killing each

834 other all over. So this has gone onto the back burner - the more comprehensive social justice, 835 structural transformations - except in those countries now where these populist leaders have won 836 elections in recent years, and that has awakened the hope that maybe it is not impossible yet to 837 dream again of more than just charity, if you wish. 838 839 **Katherine Marshall**: Can I add one quick comment on that. At first, I think that I have never 840 heard humanitarian, the word humanitarian, specifically equated with social justice and social 841 transformation, but that does add a fourth category, which I think is clearly an important one. 842 Second comment is that I think that there is a real dichotomy, but also a model, in thinking about 843 your basic question. And it does come back to this nagging debate around the role of the State. In 844 other words, is it a good thing or a bad thing that the Jesuits are running schools all over or that 845 the evangelicals are starting to run schools or that they are running orphanages? Should that be a responsibility of the State? We see it most acutely in hospitals ... I've had some really interesting 846 847 discussions with Catholic cardinals and bishops, and they see the Catholic role in health services 848 as a permanent and integral facet of the Catholic Church. As one cardinal said, "The hospital is 849 our cathedral." And yet, the basic assumption in most thinking today is that health is essentially a 850 public responsibility, that it is not a religious responsibility. 851 852 Sarah Kline: But where the government can not actually go in and do that in a comprehensive 853 way. 854 855 **Katherine Marshall:** So it's only as a last resort and temporarily. But you can not build an 856 Adventist or a Catholic or whatever health system if it is only for two years... and I think that's 857 where academics and some people like us can try to help move that debate forward as to ... 858 859 Sarah Kline: Well, the Red Cross also, in Latin America, has hospitals. It performs a function in 860 certain Latin American countries that is not here because there is that absence of State 861 intervention. 862 863 **Katherine Marshall**: But what are you trying to build?

**Mark Juergensmeyer**: ... In the area of education, you do not seem to be concerned that churches are running schools in Latin America, but in Muslim countries we are horrified at the idea that education is a religious institution.

Kurt Frieder: I would say that I am so glad to be on this panel because... we came down to earth with what we are discussing now. The main words are here: poverty, inequality... In each society in Latin America you have inequalities. Katherine mentioned it and it is absolutely true. Each society [is] divided. You have part of it that looks like Europe or the United States, the best of the United States, [but] the main population is living in increasing poverty, and the problem of the youngsters, the youth. [As] I mentioned, in Argentina we have about one million, one million, youngsters that are not going to school, that are not working, and that are producing poverty. Their families are producing poverty. And I feel that this is something that the world will have to address. Of course, I think that the churches... because of being so much involved in the local community, in the base community, they could have a bigger role. But I think it is something that is not well understood by governments... I'm glad to hear that it is being discussed already because... those are the real issues that we have to deal [with] in Latin America. It doesn't matter where you are coming from.

Virginia Garrard Burnett: So I have some questions... Number one, Katherine, I hope you will share the website where we can read more about the Antigua meeting because it is fascinating. But one of the issues that we get into [is that] ... religious groups get involved in humanitarian projects for a variety of reasons. Sometimes there is a structural reason or it is tied to theology such as liberation theology. Sometimes it is about charity - that is, that it is as much about the benefactor as it is for the people who benefit. But the areas where religious groups are most likely to get involved for what I would just call "theological reasons," really religious reasons, are also the areas where they are most likely to become very controversial. That is, when you talk about the family, the family - who objects to families? You know we all probably came from one in some way or another, and yet, when you say that, any number of things are evoked in your mind. [If] Focus on the Family (a very conservative political movement in the United States) and the Catholic Church get involved with family issues or health, you are probably correct in assuming that it is also going to have a strong anti-abortion agenda. It is

going to have issues tied to women's reproductive rights and health that many people are going to find controversial. To look at a very extreme case, ... I hope that those American evangelicals who are advising people in Uganda could anticipate the toxic turn that that made... [bringing about] the travesty that gay people would be executed in Uganda for being gay. And yet there does seem to have been some sort of involvement there. Those groups are becoming involved in these issues because of religious thinking, because they feel like there is a moral cause involved that they become involved. And yet, I am guessing that not everyone in here would really approve of all of those outcomes. And so how do you grapple with that - that religious groups are willing to spend a tremendous amount of their own time, their own money, their own personnel, their own infrastructure to put into different kinds of social projects? But they have a reason for it ... Of course we all know that's why people tend to be very suspicious of religious groups. Some of that is well [indistinct] and I think some of it probably is not. But how do you address that? How do you deal with that? Isn't that one of the challenges that we face? Right? **Fernando Lopez-Alves**: Well, I don't know what I can say that can lift the gloom around the conference right now. [laughter, chatter] And on top of that, the sun has gone now. This is gloomy. Yes, Latin America is a gloomy region, and as Otto was saying, it is a long story. And those of us who have studied this part of the world know that maybe it is longer than 30 years. Maybe, you know, I can go back to the 19th century. I can go back to who knows when. And we can go back and back and always be messy and always be terrible and horrible and the poor are not doing better and the rich are not doing better either. That is another thing that we need to say. Neither the poor nor the rich are doing much better except only, according to my research, only 3.5% of the rich are doing better. The rest of the rich are not doing that much better either. Everybody is doing a little bit worse. And inequality is worse. And that is also true. But let me share very briefly the results of a survey that I've been running with some colleagues in Latin America for the last 5 years, and this includes Columbia, Argentina, Venezuela, Chile, Ecuador and Paraguay - so all these countries. And there are 3 basic questions. One question is "Do you trust your government?" Second question is "Do you trust your church?" - if you go to one church. Third question is "Do you trust your neighbors?" Well the government comes out

really pretty bad, not only because they do not trust the government, but because when I ask

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

secondly "Do you think you owe anything to your government?", people say, "The government owes me." Right? They owe me. When you say "Do you trust your church?" "Do you owe anything to your church?" [They respond,] "Yeah, I owe something to my church, but the church owes me. Because I go to church and I'm there and I'm a part of it and so the church is something that I am helping [to] construct." And the third thing that is important is the idea of the neighbors. "Do you trust your neighbor?" "Not all of them, but we try to help each other any time we can." The survey was about self-confidence and entitlement. And I think entitlement... if something good has happened in America in the last 30 years is entitlement. People believe they are entitled to many more things than they ever believed they were entitled [to] before. Everybody wants to have that little piece of middle class life, that only before... I'm sorry about that ... only Argentineans, of course. (I thought about that before, when [indistinct] said "we are all Europeans in exile." I'm sorry about that. The Argentineans we will leave aside and not deal with them. And I do not want to offend anybody.) But this sense of entitlement is positive in a lot of ways. The church, according to our research, but we are not experts on religion or anything like that, but "the church is something that I built" - that is very, very different from a Catholic tradition in which the church had been built by other people and I just attend and sit through service and make a contribution when I can when I go out. "No, no. The church - I built this thing. They owe me. I come here, and I'm needed here. And if I'm not here, there is no audience. Without audience, there is no religion. Without religion, nothing happens." So this idea of a leadership, religious or political - or even community leadership - that needs me to function... is a little bit different in Latin America today than it used to be before. I don't know whether this is good news, but I take [it] as some good news in the middle of a very cloudy day. Thank you. **Kurt Frieder**: May I add something? I wonder ... We did not mention the power of communications and the internet and all those things that changed the real world today. [audience comment] I know, but there is a tool there that has to be used much more than today. In Argentina, we [have] some Evangelical groups that are using it on radio and on TV. They have their own ... Every day you find some information or something. And those groups are growing. Those groups are growing. And when you look at the Catholic Church, it comes again with the old information ... I feel that the strength of using communication is something that we have to consider because, I don't know if I mentioned it, but this presentation I did is part of a

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

major program that we are doing that has to do with the Global Media AIDS Initiative, that was launched by Kofi Annan ten years ago or something like that, considering AIDS and sexual reproductive rights and discrimination, but with the idea that more than 70% of people only inform themselves through TV. And in all those countries, when you go and look at houses, all houses have TVs. All houses have TVs, ... or if they haven't got TV, they have the radio. There is a tool there that has to be used much more ... the tool that transmits information that may change cultures - that may change cultures.

965

966

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

## PANEL 3 - Do NGOs find religious ideas and/or institutions are a help or a hindrance?

967968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

Claudine Michel: Welcome back, everybody. Let's continue our conversation of this morning. Our third panel is "Do NGOs find religious ideas, religious institutions are a help or a hindrance?" In terms of ethical values, moral values, and service to others the areas of conjunction between religious institutions and NGOs are very clear, but there are also areas of disjunction. And I hope that our panelists will help us highlight both aspects of those two entities that we are looking at. Let me now proceed to introducing our speakers. Our first speaker will be Mary Becker who has a background in financial planning and investment and works in Louisville, Kentucky. And her main area was helping people develop strategies for socially responsible portfolios. She retired and has been with us in Santa Barbara since 2004. And she's also the president of the board of Fonkoze which is a micro-finance organization and an educational organization in Haiti dealing with recovery and rehabilitation, and it's one of the organizations that we Haitians respect the most. In fact, the Haitian Studies Association newsletter recommending where to send funding, Fonkoze is listed number one with Direct Relief Services, Partners in Health, and Doctors Without Borders. Mary will be telling us more about Fonkoze. Our second presenter will be Victoria Riskin, who is a founding member of Human Rights Watch, the California south branch that she co-chairs. It is the largest US-based human rights organization with a staff of over 250 people working globally. She sits on the international board of Human Rights Watch and helped create the Human Rights Watch council. She also chaired the Helen Hammett prize committee, a grant program for writers who have been victims of political persecution. [She] has worked in particular in India, Nepal, and Tibet. And our third presenter will be Thomas Tighe ... who serves as president... of Direct Relief

International which was created actually in 1948 in Santa Barbara. And we all know that this is one of the largest [indistinct] organizations in terms of material assistance to locally-run health programs and also intervention in times of crisis. He also worked as chief operating officer of the Peace Corps, and also served as associate counsel to the US Senate committee on Veterans' Affairs. So we look forward to your presentations.

Mary Becker: Thanks, Claudine. The question of this panel is "Do NGOs find religious ideas and/or institutions are a help or a hindrance?" And the answer to the question is "yes." At times they are a help, and at times religion seems to be a hindrance. But I want to try to lift the gloom, as the day has done. The sun has come out, and even though things look very, very dark in Haiti, this day I'm going to speak personally about my experience with the Haitian institution, Fonkoze, which has benefitted both from religious ideas and from religious institutions' involvement.

The founder and the animator of Fonkoze is Fr. Joseph Philipe who was born into a Haitian family, peasant family. He became a priest and was sent to study in Canada, in France, and at the Chicago Theological Union where he earned a master's degree in Liberation Theology which has already been mentioned here today. At some point, he also read the works of Paolo Frieri who was a Brazilian educator and author. And he took both of those to heart, and he developed a vision that synthesized the concepts of liberation theology, Frieri's ideas on popular education, and micro-credit - that is, the providing of small loans, very small loans, to very poor people. And again, to lift the gloom a bit, one of the things that has been a powerful idea that has emerged over the last 20 to 25 years is micro-finance. It is happening all over the world in various cultures and is primarily being carried forward by women in those cultures. [It] has proved to have many, many effects, not just in those women gaining economic self-sufficiency, but it raises the level of living of their children, their families, and, in fact, shows that as women become economically self-sufficient, they choose to limit the size of their families. So it has an effect upon population control as well. Fr. Joseph came to believe that it was possible for poor people in Haiti to master these concepts and that would allow them to gain autonomy. At that point, Fonkoze the institution started. This was in 1994.

But an idea is one thing, and it takes money to carry that out. And the earliest investors were religious women, Catholic religious women's organizations in the United States. And they have proved to be the most faithful and steady supporters over the years. They, early on, invested in Fonkoze and have stayed with us through thick and thin. And in Haiti, it is very much thick and thin. Over the years, Jewish, Protestant, non-denominational religious organizations have become engaged in lending. And I assume that all those organizations are motivated by their own religious beliefs and social justice tenets, particularly that one of solidarity with the poor. Fonkoze is not allied with any religious institution. However the reality is that Haiti is a very, very Catholic country. So Fonkoze does utilize networks of parish priests and church leaders to find clients and employees and leaders for the bank's operations around in the communities where it operates. So just again, to lift up the whole role that liberation theology has played - and not just in Fr. Joseph's mind, but also in the country of Haiti over the years - ... [liberation theology] was really what inspired him and some of the women, even though they may not have been involved in these little groups, but they are able to imagine something beyond the current reality and better their situation. I think it is interesting that several speakers have mentioned small groups, and ... that is the model of micro-finance that Fonkoze follows. I think James mentioned, you know, small groups that are meeting in these very large churches and gaining knowledge and become empowered by simply that structure. There was a mention of cells... And even though it may look somewhat different than the base communities, it draws upon much of the same dynamics.

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

Just to interject a personal note: one of the things that got me to Haiti in the first place was that kind of a formation process that I did in a program called "Just Faith" and it might be of interest to the folks. There is a "Just Faith" program that is geared for college students and is happening on campuses. It is very much a focus on poverty. And I was talking to a couple of the students before we started this panel, and we agreed that there really is no way of understanding how the majority of the people of the world live without actually seeing them and going to their countries. Our own reality is so much a bubble. We say in Santa Barbara, we live in a bubble, which we do. In the United States, we live in a bubble, most of us. And so, meeting in a small group gives one the courage to go out in the big, wide world. I think that is what I wanted to say

1050 about that, and [I] appreciate the opportunity to be here with this group, and I am learning a lot. 1051 Thank you. 1052 1053 Claudine Michel: Thank you so much, Mary. Victoria. 1054 1055 Victoria Riskin: Well, I just want to say what a pleasure it is to be on a panel with two people I 1056 know very well and admire so much. You do fantastic work. 1057 1058 I am with Human Rights Watch. I am on the board - I am not a staff person. So I have the 1059 responsibility of helping them meet budget every year and other daunting worries. Human Rights 1060 Watch, many of you may know but for the students who might not, is one of the largest human 1061 rights organizations working globally with a staff of 250 people. And we are a secular 1062 organization. Our mission is to identify human rights abuses where they occur, document them in 1063 as much detail as we possibly can, and then issue those reports and findings as widespread as we 1064 can to journalists around the world, (particularly in the region) to work with local groups (civil 1065 society) to bring about change where we can, and to work on governments to bring about 1066 changes, but also to work with collective governmental organizations like the EU or [indistinct]. 1067 And we work in five major regions of the world: Africa, Middle East, Asia, the Americas, and 1068 (what am I forgetting?) Eastern Europe. And we also have worked on particular issues having to 1069 do with women and children and on arms trade. So, for example, we did a big initiative to bring 1070 about a treaty two years ago that ended the use of cluster munitions. And we had over a hundred 1071 countries sign on to that, and that was kind of an interesting project. So I tell you all this to set 1072 the stage for our relationship over the years to Latin America. 1073 1074 I think the principles of caring and concern for one's fellow human beings is fundamental to all 1075 the religious traditions, obviously. But it was also the same spirit and longing that helped the 1076 design of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and that's our tent-pole instrument going 1077 forward. Religious institutions have within them people who are dedicated and work tirelessly 1078 for good, and like all institutions also have inside of them people who grab for power and 1079 support dictatorships. Latin America is still, at least, and I should really defer to the experts here, 1080 dominated by the Catholic Church and [it] enjoys a special status. But of course, we have seen

1081 the rise of some of these other Christian organizations - Evangelicals, Pentecostals - where they 1082 have also been engaged in good works, but also been complicit in some of the atrocities. One of 1083 the important growth spurts, in my mind, in the human rights movement came, for Human Rights 1084 Watch in particular, came in the late 70s and early 80s from Latin America and from the Catholic 1085 Church and from the liberation [theologians] who were so deeply engaged in fighting 1086 dictatorships on behalf of those people who were being crushed underneath repressive regimes. 1087 So until this particularly repressive era with Chile, Brazil, Argentina, and El Salvador and so on, 1088 this so-called "lost decade" that someone referred to earlier, human rights, which was basically 1089 focused on the Eastern block countries in the Soviet Union... it was at the height of the Cold 1090 War. 1091 1092 But the atrocities in Latin America were so enormous and overwhelming that we founded this 1093 separate division of what was then the beginning of Human Rights Watch to focus on Latin 1094 America. And some of you who were around long enough and old enough to remember 1095 "America's Watch" as we were called, and then we mushroomed into "Middle East Watch" and 1096 all these watches. And finally we became Human Rights Watch. So the Catholic Church and the 1097 organizations that we worked with in those early years were instrumental in helping to build 1098 Human Rights Watch. I know that you were involved in the early days, the Concern 1099 Organization, with our work in El Salvador. 1100 1101 There is a particular book that I love because I optioned it to make a movie called "A Miracle, A 1102 Universe." ... I commend it to you who are professors, but it is a description of Brazil at the time 1103 when there was a slight opening for a freedom of expression and gathering of information. And it 1104 was the Archbishop Arnes of Sao Paolo and Jamie Wright... who built a coalition of individuals 1105 who went from police station to police station gathering information about who had been 1106 disappeared and by whom, a monumental task and to do this a little bit under the radar. This 1107 coalition of 30 people were so frightened of what might happen as they collected this 1108 information that they sent it to our team in New York where we could keep it safe. They did it 1109 very quietly, not saying a word. Then one day they published it, and it hit the streets and 1110 everybody knew who had done what. This process of fact-finding, truth and transparency is the 1111 rock bed of the human rights movement. Fortunately, Arnes and Wright survived that, but life

was not so kind to Oscar Romero in El Salvador who was gunned down for his supporting those who were criticizing the government, nor for some of the Maryknoll missionaries who went down to El Salvador. I also was involved in a film project about those very brave women. So the fact-finding and exposing the atrocities is seminal to what we do. In those years, Human Rights Watch focused, for example, in El Salvador, unearthing how the United States government was complicit in supporting those atrocious regimes, particularly in El Salvador because the Reagan administration was denying it. So we had to gather the facts and expose the truth.

11181119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

In Argentina, the church was not, I believe, so brave. But in Chile and Guatemala, church leaders, of course, from the very beginning were. And many human rights groups really spawned out of that beginning, those seeds that were planted by the Catholic Church and have continued on and been partners with us since then, but no longer necessarily affiliated with the church. Perhaps the Catholic Church's activist role has been a little bit muted, and you are experts on this, but the rise in power of Opus Dei and the more repressive elements of the church... And that makes it more difficult for the progressives to find their voice. In Guatemala, Amazing things happened, even though we are far away form Guatemala being a healthy and vibrant society. But after the peace initiative, the efforts toward a truth commission was a very brave step. And one of the things that... Monsignor Gerardi put together [was] a process by which he gave people who were going to testify a chance to practice. There was a practice commission before they actually went to the real commission and told what had happened. And then of course, there was the issuing of the famous report "A memory of silence," and Gerardi was killed. But that did not squelch the human rights movement in Guatemala because there have been teams of lawyers who have worked diligently over the years to identify who had committed those killings, and finally the perpetrators are in jail. But the story of the human rights movement and religion in Guatemala has to be also underscored by the role of Rios Montt, the nightmare that he perpetrated, and his affiliation with the evangelical church and of course Pat Robertson.

11381139

1140

1141

1142

So here was a question for all of you, why is it that devoted church-loving people who were evangelicals could stand by and watch atrocities being committed while the Catholics did not? They stood more in coalition. Is there something intrinsic, is there a relationship one has as an evangelical that if you are good [then] God will reward you and if you're bad you're punished

and so you therefore must have been bad and you deserved the punishment? Is that part of the ethos? So one has to look at those issues...

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1143

1144

I do not want to take up too much more time, but in recent years, as the civil society and NGO/human rights groups have mushroomed in Latin America, we have had partners on many important issues from police brutality to the treatment of children to domestic violence to failure to hold abusers accountable and so on and so forth. Where we find ourselves parting ways, say with the Catholic Church in particular and other church groups, is over the issues of a woman's right to choose and gay rights and HIV rights. And there the church has not been so much in partnership. Although, we have found that for example in Mexico where we did an initiative, many women that are active in the church were working with us to make sure that women had access to legal abortions in the case of incest and rape. And we found that those women are not as dogmatic as women in the United States, religious participants in the United States, who tend not to even tolerate the idea that a woman should be allowed to terminate a pregnancy if she has been a victim of rape or incest. So in some ways, we are not so far away from the religious community, it is just not so much church-sanctioned. I think one of the things that we all must work on, and I say this in closing based on the comments today, is to push, in all of these countries, accountability for past abuses. That is something that we are working on constantly, but I do not think we will reach a level where we will have stable, open civil society, democracies, healthy governments unless there are systems of accountability for past abuses, and that includes the United States of America.

1164

1165

1166

1167

**Thomas Tighe**: Thank you, again. It is my pleasure to again be on the panel. It is more comfortable up here than following the first panel. I thought, "Wow, that's a really smart panel of academics. 'Publishing their ninth book on this subject...'" So thanks. [laughter] We were just going through your upcoming books, which was intimidating.

11681169

1170

1171

1172

1173

It is a fascinating question coming at it from an NGO perspective, as Mary said. Religious institutions were sort of the original NGOs, weren't they? You had the sovereign, and then as commerce evolved they figured out the shared responsibilities and the taxing authority, and then religious institutions took up the social services. My take on the explosion of NGOs is the failure

of old institutions to provide things that people otherwise think ought to be done, but governments aren't not doing them enough to satisfy people. There is no business reason to do them because you cannot make money, particularly with poor folks - although, some of the micro-finance pushes back on that assumption to a large degree. And then old institutions get hidebound. And so you have seen people in the past 40 years in particular say, inspired by faith in many cases, say "Well, that's great words on Sunday or Saturday, but it's not happening in my life. And I want to manifest those and do something about it." The example of the Fonkoze founder is a perfect example of that. And ... our organization, Direct Relief, is non-sectarian. We do not ask what motivates people. You sense that there is something else that is motivating folks based on their family traditions, their faith traditions, their life experience. So I think it is really an interesting question.

Defining an NGO, what an NGO is, is itself an interesting academic question. Isn't it? ... With the stipulation there may be a false distinction between religious institutions and NGOs, ... one may be a subset of the other. Mary was smart. She [answered] "yes." And I would say "I don't know." I really do not, because at times we tend to look at the functions that religious institutions perform. As a non-sectarian, humanitarian organization you tend to look at, as in Haiti today, "Well, who's capable of getting the job done?" ... There's food, water, shelter, medical attention, medical supplies, and medical services - who can do that? If you can not sit down, if you can stand up, and most of those that stand up have some- either religious institution directly or some arm of religious institution- that has grown up parallel to the religious element in particular. In Latin America, again, in a room with experts of which I am not one I would certainly defer to your judgments, but I can offer that every time that we work... religious institutions of all types, they are embedded in the culture. Whether the religious component is embedded as deeply as the institution itself or just the cultural and social identifiers, you cannot avoid it. Even people like me - Catholic school, altar boy, still ostensibly Catholic - I am not sure if they would consider me one just based on my church attendance and personal views. But I identify with it. And growing up and going to Catholic school and meeting no one other than other people who went to Catholic school, I was not aware that there was anything other than Catholic people. But I am not sure that made me a good Catholic or a theological Catholic or gave me any particular expertise in the Catholic tradition or dogma, but it was certainly my tribe... Religious institutions

have an effect on people's lives through identification and culture and social interactions that is divorced from the religiosity of the faith itself. So we certainly see the tremendous value that religious institutions play at a functional level. Who knows the most people? Who's hooked in? Who has a hub and a network and a distribution channel and a communication... They are religious institutions in Latin America. Unavoidably it is going to be someone who is working in a bishop's office in Peru, when there is an earthquake in Peru. Find the chief operating officer of the archdiocese and you are going to find a very good source of information and make a very coherent plan or at least someone who is hooked in. So we certainly see ... religious institutions, which have been deeply embedded in societies for a long time, playing a helpful role.

Some of the things that ... [are] risks for NGOs who are particularly pursuing an advocacy role or are trying to do something, ... in the human rights arena, that the long-standing religious faith or institutional stance is inconsistent with... (gay rights or access to family planning, access to abortions if necessary) that goes foursquare at the church. And so if you are trying to say it is a human rights violation to deprive people of making those kinds of choices, you are going to find the church in its place of hindrance. If you are trying to distribute food and water and medicine and medical supplies and shelter, you are going to find the religious institutions with high credibility among their followers an extremely effective vehicle.

One of the dilemmas that we confront as a non-sectarian organization is if you just look at the function, are you unwittingly advancing the faith? ... Who is the best food distributor? It may well be a deeply committed, passionate evangelical group that is trying to gain credibility with its people. So if you look at what their capability is to deliver services because they have a passionate group of people engaged, even though you do not have any religious agenda, you may actually be advancing a religious agenda by virtue of participating with them. That is a playbook perhaps from the Catholic Church which was educating people and doing a lot of things in a way to, perhaps one might say, both pursue their faith and attract followers. This faith, this tradition, this set of beliefs in this God can do these things for you. So as a non-sectarian organization, we always try to check ourselves. "Well, we know we want to work with them because they do this, but what if it was Satanists Against Hunger? And they had a very devoted crowd of Satanists who were really against hunger and their programmatic reach was better, they had the best

warehouse and inventory system, would we do that?" And that is a nice hypothetical question, but I think some people view other faiths' encroachment like "Who is this upstart faith? They seem to be doing good work and having good meetings and attracting people." You look at the function and try to define what is the driver. Is it [that] they are doing that for an ulterior motive? We have worked on and off with the philanthropic arm and humanitarian services of the Church of LDS - LDS Charities - which has an amazing reach and a deeply committed group of followers, and their missionaries go out and do good work. In emergencies, they can turn on material supply, and food supplies, and shelter supplies, and leverage financial resources. And they are very good at that. But that is one of those things where they understand and the people who work with them understand, in our perspective we will work with folks but let's not make it about that. Save that for another time and another moment. Let's not add too much literature, nothing should be conditional. We are basically a support organization that does not paper and flag everything, and there are no conditions other than it is non-discriminatorily provided when we provide aid to anybody. But it is an interesting question that requires good antenna.

We are also a non-political organization. But the same thing arises in the political arena. If you are doing something for apolitical purposes, are you making a political statement if you try to provide services, humanitarian services in Gaza? Are you making a political statement about oppression? Some may be and some might not be, but really trying to be consistent over time is a challenge for NGOs both religious and non-religious.

The other distinction is religious NGOs probably don't find religious institutions a hindrance. If it is unclear what an NGO is (and hypothetically churches are NGOs themselves), [then] NGOs, in providing types of services that NGOs have, [gain] a very effective means to advance a religious belief as well as to perhaps develop a way of providing services - educational, financial. If the Catholic Church or the evangelical groups or the Church of Latter Day Saints, for example, established the best micro-finance institution, how would that be perceived? Would it be a smart play if you are trying to say "This is cool. This is attractive. How do we embed this?" Because religious institutions always have a great way of co-opting and umbrella-ing and morphing, and so a thousand years later you think, "No, that's their idea." So it's a very interesting question that you have the answers to far more than I. But ... to just reflect upon it and just give you how

1267 difficult it is to make those judgments, particularly today on the fly when there are Saint 1268 Damian's Pediatric Hospital that we have been working with, Justinian University Hospital that 1269 we have been working with, Partners in Health ... they sure seem to be faith-inspired because 1270 they do all the things that Jesus said you should do. But they do not say "It is because we are that 1271 way." ... But their founder, Paul Farmer, seems to me one of the (if not the) most morally rooted 1272 people I have ever met. You just think that there is some faith inspiration underneath it out of the 1273 liberation theology model. 1274 1275 So, again, thank you for inviting me. I can't answer your question. I get at least a C- in this 1276 seminar. It is really fun to listen to you. And every time I am invited I know it is going to make 1277 me struggle, but congratulations on all your academic work and struggling with these issues 1278 because they are very important and they can inform us doing a better job and having better 1279 intended not to do something that we do not intend to do while trying to do something good. 1280 Thanks. 1281 1282 Claudine Michel: Thank you very much. This call to a higher order is always a difficult 1283 rebalancing act. 1284 1285 Mark Juergensmeyer: Ready for questions? I just want to follow up from Thomas Tighe's 1286 remark, and we get grades when we answer these questions. But when you answer the questions 1287 you answer it with supplies and decisions about whether to service people. And... man! You have 1288 a tough job... First of all, how do you analyze a group? ... You work with local NGOs, and you 1289 work with a lot of religious-based ones and not just Christian but Jewish and Muslim and Hindu 1290 and Buddhist. So how do you check them out? And... I would like you to give us some examples 1291 (but if you do not want to give the names, that is OK but)... are there instances when you've 1292 decided this group is over the line? "We can not give to this group. We are furthering an agenda I 1293 am not sure we want to be involved in." 1294 1295 [off mic, off camera audience member]: That [example] was "Satanists [Against] Hunger." That 1296 was "Satanist [Against] Hunger."

1298 **Thomas Tighe**: We are still debating whether we are going to approve them as a partner.

[laughter] But they do good work. OK?

1300

1299

Mark Juergensmeyer: But tell us an example for those of us [indistinct].

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1301

**Thomas Tighe**: It was the "Witches Against Homelessness." [laughter] ... What we look for is, first of all, "Do they know what they're doing," "Are they doing good work?" before you get to the other questions. "Are they properly trained?" If you are equipping them, "Are they properly licensed?" Then you start to ask, just as you would do if it was an interview for an employee or a professorship, "I've seen your excellent resume. Hmmm... Let me kinda work around the outside and see what other people are saying about you." ... As in Haiti, we have made some good calls and have worked with people that we thought were doing good work today and would be doing good work for the foreseeable future. So it was important, because you are taking care of people without much money. They need a subsidy just like the richest country in the history of the world needs to figure out how to find its healthcare system, the poorest country in the Western hemisphere... need[s] outside help. But let's find the good ones who are going to actually use it to strengthen services for the poor. And so when you find groups that have the credibility, ... there is no particular scientific way to do it. You look at their finances. You look at what they say about themselves. Then most importantly, you try to see if they have standing within the community which they are working [in]... You would probably do it the same way we would do it. Who do we know, and whose judgment do we trust in this country where we can get a good disinterested read on reputation on longevity on the quality that people associate with it? And then, if we cannot answer those questions to our satisfaction, we tend to defer. It is not that we are against you. We just do not know... There are a lot of people we do know and have that kind of trust. But... when the faith element is just so front and foremost, it is hard for us to see beyond that because sometimes it does not seem that they see beyond that. So if they want to work with us because... they have a calling to do that, it makes it tough to say, "Before we get to that,... do you have doctors? Because you want a lot of medical material." But we expect very good antenna, and we have to do our homework. And I think it is not as complicated in Latin America as it is in other places. But if, you know, what's a big challenge with jihadism? They provide good service and they have good clean schools, professor. So if you are looking for a person who

1329 is just going to provide good services in poor areas and do good feeding programs... Greg 1330 Mortensen is a lone exception to the work of other folks in the Wahhabist schools who are doing 1331 it exceptionally well and recruiting people by providing services that may or may not be 1332 advancing either religious agenda or some other political agenda wrapped in religion. But Latin 1333 America, for all of its tortured history, it is much less intense now. And as the pendulum swings, 1334 it is not swinging as far out right and as far out left... 1335 1336 Victoria Riskin: If I could just coattail on this little bit because there are two examples in the 1337 human rights movement that might go to your question. The first is scientology. Scientology is 1338 building a very strong "human rights presence" particularly going after young people. If you go 1339 on the web, you'll find something that talks about human rights education for high school 1340 students, and it looks like an ideal website that wipes Amnesty [International] off the map in 1341 terms of its bells and whistles. And then you have to scroll down and scroll down and scroll 1342 down, and if you really get all the way to the bottom, you see that it is Scientology. So it is a 1343 very scary thing. And they have been circling around Human Rights Watch and trying to build a 1344 partnership. And you do not always know when someone approaches you and says "Oh, gosh! 1345 We would love to partner with you on a symposium on blahdy-blahdy-blah." That all sounds 1346 very, very good. But you are actually about to enter into what I feel is sort of the snake pit. A 1347 little bias on my part, but I think I am accurate about that. 1348 1349 Another example, however, where religious groups were very actively involved in Southern 1350 Sudan; part of it was out of humanitarian compassion, some of it was... missionary. They were 1351 trying to convert people. But there was a lot of good that was going on in Southern Sudan on the 1352 part of fundamentalist churches who are not natural allies for the human rights movement. At the 1353 time that Darfur broke out, it was very tough... Human Rights Watch was the first group on the 1354 ground and reported out... video and reports and news releases. But it was hard to get the Bush 1355 administration to pay attention. And we were scrambling to find somebody who had credibility 1356 because we did not have credibility with the Bush administration. Imagine that. [laughter] And it 1357 went downhill from there. So we met in a quiet meeting with some of the leading fundamentalist 1358 groups, church groups who were working in southern Sudan and said, "Do you know what's 1359 going on in Darfur? And can you go to the White House and get the Bush administration

engaged? We will stay on the sidelines. In fact, it will not help you if we walk in with you." And they did. Now, we have not solved Darfur, but the United States engagement or concern on the issue did at least make a difference in terms of the engagement of the UN to some extent. They were not organizations that we have partnered with since or will probably ever partner with on anything that I can imagine. But in that moment and in that situation, they had the right access and we did not.

**Thomas Tighe**: Mark, if I could just say something. When I was thinking of Fonkoze and some of the great work that has been done and some of the earlier comments today about the cell approach - small groups of people bound by faith - then you see as these things grow, the challenge of organizations - they get big, they get all screwed up. So the question is, "Can you get all the micro right and get the macro wrong?" I think the only criticism I have heard of micro-finance is that it is micro. It is almost inherently limited because it will not build the big road and it will not put the port in. It will not get a coherent network so goods and services can be brought. And that is the challenge... Is there something that happens in the scaling of a movement from small groups of people who are bound and meet four times a week? It was fascinating. Then you think, 2000 years from now, those groups ... [are] going to be more bureaucratic than US government. Right? I mean, it is just institutional. And it was an interesting question both for the aggregate size of micro-finance. At some point, you need the macro activity because it is self-limited in some way and so then the need for the institutional large-scale institutions to kick in is really important or ultimately frustrating. But I just thought it was an interesting [idea]... when you were talking, and [I was] thinking about what some of the other speakers had said, which is totally off the point of this panel...

Mary Becker: Just to pick up on that... Given, there have been hurricanes and there has been... an earthquake, but the agenda of the organization is to build the economic and social structures in Haiti. And so one of the things that I did not say was these solidarity groups, when they get together, they learn, pay their loans back, they talk with each other about what is going on with their children and their families. That is not the ultimate end. The next thing that happens is these solidarity groups elect delegates and there is a general assembly every year, an annual general assembly, and women who at the beginning of getting into the program could not even look you

in the eye and would never think of getting up and standing and talking - you have seen Haitian women here today - they are able to get up. They stand at the microphone. And these are women who, yes they start with micro-loans, but they come to have macro aspirations... That is going to be the thing that really changes a country is when people not only think it is a good idea to go to the polls and vote, but they actually field candidates and they actually are leaders in their communities. [From audience: And women candidates] Women candidates, another thing... who was it who said the last thirty years [is] the lost thirty years. I mean the role of women across cultures again is another very bright spot.

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403

1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

**James Wellman**: You know, I was thinking about the small group comments. And I think what you all are getting is the ability to survive in the moment: food, shelter, medicine, whatever. But what religious groups do, they may do that, but then they give a culture from which a village can be sustained. And that is a totally different mechanism. I guess Scientology is the demon now, but even they give a culture, a network, and allow people to survive because they care for one another and then they build up mechanisms, social capital for living. But that is what Christian groups do, and Hamas, Hezbollah do the same. So I guess that's the answer to the question is that "Can you provide a culture to a people who have none?" I kind of put you on the spot but that ...

1409

1411

1410 **Thomas Tighe**: It is a fair question. That is not the aspirational goal of our group. And the humanitarian tradition was to be eminently respectful of all cultures, recognizing that it is... the 1412 Haitian's determination to define how Haitian culture is going to evolve just as it is in El 1413 Salvador. And I think the [indistinct] of the pushback, whether it is Mayan versus Catholic or 1414 whatever, you see the desire to present and provide a culture... towards what end? We don't have 1415 any ultimate agenda. So we are for everybody, and the humanitarian definition is "You are for 1416 humanity" ... to allow each person to realize the inherent potential that they were born with. 1417 [indistinct]

1418

1419

1420

1421

**James Wellman**: I am not at all criticizing you. I am just saying that there is a way in which you have an implicit culture. You said your Catholic culture, which I think is fantastic... which clearly motivates you to do these good acts.

1422 1423 **Thomas Tighe:** Well don't say that. That is going to keep me up tonight, that "Why am I doing 1424 this?" [laughter] I said I wasn't active ... 1425 1426 **James Wellman:** No. But when I ask my students at the University of Washington, "Do you 1427 know the story of the Good Samaritan?" 90% no longer know it. You were raised with it. That 1428 gave you a culture of charity, and whether, you know, I am just... 1429 1430 **Thomas Tighe**: And I was smacked with rulers too, [laughter] so don't forget that. 1431 1432 Claudine Michel: But, let me say something about this. In the case of Fonkoze for example, 1433 they are particularly effective because they do employ communal thinking and communal 1434 organizing which is part of the fundamental ethos of this African-based society. Even in the 1435 school system, when you use methods from the West where students have to compete with one 1436 another they do not do as well. Whereas from what I understand from Fonkoze, it is one woman 1437 teaching another woman, and helping her with this and all the educational programs that they 1438 have. So it provides a culture, sustains a culture, [in respect to] the models that are foundational 1439 to Haitian society. 1440 1441 Mary Becker: One other thing I would say, because it has come up a few times about the whole 1442 reproductive rights and birth control and all that. What I have noticed in Haiti, we have a 1443 reproductive rights module, education module, and it is one of the things that the women talk 1444 about in their groups. And it is based on the type of education that is 'education is conversation' 1445 - not too unlike book clubs that American women had back in the day, in the 60s. Consciousness 1446 raising is what it does. And certainly in that the materials don't say "you must practice birth 1447 control" but it raises questions about what do you do if your partner comes back from the city, 1448 has a girlfriend, and has you know an illness... And it certainly talks about condom use and raises 1449 the issue, and then people do with it what they will a lot like the dance that American women do 1450 with official Catholic Church teaching on birth control... It all exists. [As Jennifer said] there 1451 really is no church position on this because it is all over the place, and the same very pragmatic 1452 stance that Thomas really explained well is... what happens on the ground too. And we see it.

1453 That is what gives me faith that we are not going to be taken over... People are not going to be 1454 taken over as long as they are given tools of empowerment. And one thing that makes us very 1455 edgy personally as an organization is if we feel that someone that we would partner with does 1456 have very much an agenda to advance their own power, their own power... and not to empower 1457 people themselves. 1458 1459 **Thomas Tighe**: The question we are floating around, "Do religious institutions find the ideas 1460 and actions of NGOs a help or hindrance" could be similar because... if it were flipped and we were religious institutions looking for a way to advance our cause and attract followers, NGOs 1461 1462 could be enormously helpful to establish our credibility because it would allow us to get our 1463 word out and show our good works and that we can deliver for the people. And that is the tension 1464 Mary was talking about. Who is leveraging what here? ... And that is a dance you just have to 1465 have good antenna to realize you are in that moment, and it is hard. 1466 1467 ... [indistinct chatter, laughter] 1468 1469 Victoria Riskin: I would just add that in the human rights movement, we have a proactive 1470 obligation to help groups protect their culture - whether they are Mayan or whether they are 1471 Tibetan - that is at risk of being obliterated. So that is where we might come into conflict with 1472 those groups that are missionary underneath it all. Even if it is Fulong Gong or some group that 1473 wants to take over another group, we must think first and foremost about what is crushing in on 1474 this culture and how can it be protected because that is part of the human rights movement. 1475 1476 Virginia Garrard Burnett: First, I have to say, going way back when you started Tom was that, 1477 Jennifer and I were talking before we started that we hated to follow people who actually knew 1478 how to do something. [laughter] You know, we had our panel this morning. 1479 1480 I want to clarify something that, and maybe I am wrong about this, James, but when you talk 1481 about culture (I do not mean to speak for you - I hardly know you) but I do not think he meant a 1482 new culture. I think it meant more a system of living, and it may be networks more than 1483 imposing an American culture. That isn't what you meant, but maybe I am wrong. But... the

point I wanted to get to was when you talk about agendas, and I haven't worked around missionary groups and responded to missionary groups in Latin America for a long time. You always hear people talk about "Well they have this hidden agenda that they want to convert people." And I think religious groups have three motivations. Sometimes they [indistinct] and sometimes they do not: social justice, charity, and evangelization. And evangelization is not hidden at all. It is what they are about, not all religious groups, but a lot of them. And far from being a secret, covert thing they want to impose on people, it is why they are there. In their minds, it is the best thing they have to offer. It is the most important thing they have to offer. And yet from the point of view of people who are not part of that organization, let's say it is the Mormons or the Satanists or the Wiccans or the Episcopalians, how can you reconcile that? You are talking about, "Well let's put this aside for the moment and address emergency in a moment like Haiti is facing right this very minute." I do not think that is very hard. Everybody can see that this is a crisis. And maybe you do need to baptize people who might die quickly. There might be some sort of religious actions that you need to take immediately because there is no time to waste, from a religious point of view. But eventually people who are evangelical in their outlook, evangelical in whatever religion it is, are going to get to the point which is they want to do evangelism. And so how do secular, non-sectarian NGOs deal with that? ...

Thomas Tighe: I think we all deal with it differently. [In] Haiti it is really cut through... It is interesting that it was acceptable for kids to die in Haiti last week but not this week. Right? It is hard to understand why certain things cut through, pierce through the consciousness. But when they do it is a wonderful reflection of our species that sometimes - and some events just cause everyone to kind of set aside whatever they were doing - it gets it to a deep place that you see this outpouring of genuine emotion. But at the same time the last time it really happened was in the tsunami in 2004. And in northern Sumatra and Ache province, which is the one Sharia law governed area, there was a lot of concern about the motivations of people coming in, ostensibly to do good work. And it caused tension. There are folks here in the room who were there. And we just said, "You know what? We can't work with you because we don't know you." And if the question is significant enough to the culture that exists now that it is a distraction (and there are plenty of other folks) that was an easy call. And that got some prominence. And [there were] folks who were... not overtly evangelical, but they scratched the surface and it came out. It was

1515 controversial and a huge distraction. I have not seen any of that in Haiti, and I do not expect to... 1516 [It helps that] it is not sharia law that is governing the territory. But different organizations do 1517 it... differently. I think we are pretty open that we do work with everybody that we think is 1518 credible in the communities in which they aspire to work. 1519 1520 [indistinct off-mic comments] 1521 1522 Otto Maduro: Thank you... I have an anecdote to connect with Mary's reflections. In 1523 Venezuela, the archbishopric ordered a survey of knowledge, women's knowledge, about Roman 1524 Catholic injunctions on birth control. To oversimplify, basically 90% of Roman Catholic women 1525 in Venezuela did not even know there was a connection between being Roman Catholic and 1526 using or not using pills or condoms. [laughter] So the survey probably made them know, some of 1527 them know, that there seemed to be a connection. When the results were taken to the archbishop, 1528 the mediator, the guy who received the study, reordered the study because this could not be 1529 shown to the archbishop. [laughter] The archbishop could not know that Venezuelan women did 1530 not know that there was a connection between them. And I want to tell that story because I 1531 connect this with some things that Victoria said there. It is important to appreciate the internal 1532 diversity of religious institutions and never think that the official doctrine is what all the bishops 1533 really think or that what all the bishops really think is what most of the people or all of the 1534 people that are under their power think. That is one thing. 1535 1536 And then again, with Victoria's comment about these possible, almost essential, differences 1537 between Catholics and Protestants in relation to human rights, I want to recall one thing - until 1538 about 1958, it was absolutely abnormal for any Roman Catholic priest or bishop in Latin 1539 America to support democratic constitutions, governments, elections, or parties. Democracy was 1540 the thing of Commies and Protestants. This was not a healthy, Christian thing. And it was around 1541 1957, 1958 that slowly, among other things with the presidency of John F. Kennedy in the 1542 United States and with the papacy of John XXIII in Rome, that democracy became thinkable for 1543 Latin American Christians, and Catholics in particular. Even Argentinians and probably 1544 Guatemalans know this better than anybody else in [Latin America], until just a few years ago -1545 two or three - a significant proportion of bishops was very supportive of torture, military

dictatorship, elimination of enemies of the State and the Church. So this is not at all a Protestant stance. And on the other side, you can go as far back as probably 1955, if not earlier, in at least two countries that I know of (Venezuela and Chile) and you will find Pentecostal groups that were fighting for democracy, that were fighting for equality. So I do not think we can do any generalization as to differences between Catholics and Protestants in relation to humanitarian aid, human rights, democracy, dictatorship, torture. We have to examine more in detail the particular denomination we are talking about, the particular period, the particular country, and the inner diversity even then and there of the group we are referring to. And then we will find probably a richness that allows for things like the ones that Victoria mentioned. You can work with women, not just in Latin America [but] also Catholic women in the United States, a lot of them that are very supportive of initiatives regarding women's reproductive rights, even though their bishops would think that they are going to hell because of that. No?

Victoria Riskin: In some ways we made more progress, in Mexico, on our initiative to make sure there were protections, legal protections, for women to terminate pregnancy in the case of incest or rape than I am seeing today in the United States where we have had this vibrant women's movement. The problem, of course, is the implementation of those laws in say Mexico where... women go to clinics and they have been victims of incest or rape and the clinic says, "It's a family matter. It doesn't belong here." Or they do not help because of the cultural discomfort. But legally, in some ways, it seems like a more open arena.

Claudine Michel: OK. We will take a last question.

**Fernando Lopez-Alves**: It is just something that I wanted to put on the table. Maybe you can give me an answer to that or not. My daughter... manages an NGO in Cambodia and deals with human trafficking, especially sexually abused children, and all that kind of thing. And I went there at the [indistinct] in Cambodia... My daughter belongs to a church, so does her husband, in Northern California - Folsom Town, you know, Folsom, ex-gold rush town all that - another world from this. Another world. So I went to a couple of churches because my daughter was giving talks in these churches. And to my total astonishment, these churches had a strategy... The strategy they had was to place young people like my daughter and her husband, etc. in NGOs.

They place them in NGOs, and they didn't tell them "go and transform the NGO from the inside out... and transform the NGO [into] something our church can support or can do something with it." They told them "No, no. Just be anonymous. Work on the NGO, and from there you contact people that you're working with and try to, you know, distribute our faith. And try to convince them to become Christians..." whatever it is. So this is like a secret service, a church secret service infiltrating NGOs to work with these people, and my daughter is one of these agents. And so [they] infiltrate the people in order to work together, and I think that is not such a bad idea that the church does that, in fact. And the NGO that she works for actually knows about this. She has been very clear about it. The NGO is delighted that there are people that come as volunteers to work with them for free, basically. They get donations from the United States, so the salaries are always low. And so it is a very good partnership in that regard, I believe... And when he was talking about this alliance between NGOs and churches, I immediately had to say this. I had to put it on the table, because I thought it was really very interesting, and particularly important that the church had this strategy, clearly-thought-out strategy, at least the two or three I went to see. They have new pastors, these kinds of local churches that have this pastor that make up the shows on the stage and had a great band. Actually, I enjoyed the band. It was very good. [laughter] But they have this idea as a thought-out strategy. It was conscious. It was done on purpose. So... I don't know what you make of it, but...

Thomas Tighe: Well unions do it. They "salt." At non-union shops, they have union members go in and start working and try to organize. Community organizing, organizations of all types do it. It is a long tradition of trying to put people in other institutions and spread virally throughout other organs... But it is interesting. That is a question, "Who's leveraging whom," if there is some leveraging going on. Depending on the radio station, as members of the academy you might not listen to these things, but occasionally just to see what the other side says, [I listen]. And [they claim that] ... the academy has a secret, hidden agenda that is trying to pollute the minds of people and frustrate the inherent conservative values that humans had that have been overtaken. [audience comment] The Communists! Yeah! I mean, you hear these things, you think, "Wow, what an interesting accusation." But you have got to hear that stuff... When I hear it on those stations (which I don't listen to often) I think, "That's bizarre. People actually believe that." But there is a lot of that, particularly with religion, because you tend to connect with

1608 people who are more like you than less like you in the normal course of the day with respect to 1609 culture, religions, etc. Sorry. 1610 1611 Claudine Michel: OK, we will take one last comment or question. OK. 1612 1613 **Jennifer Hughes**: In light of this opening question, ... I was just recalling that under the military 1614 regime in Brazil that the church, the Roman Catholic Church, really provided an umbrella, a safe 1615 space for NGOs. And... in a very deliberate way, understood that, in providing that space, was 1616 holding open the possibility of a strong civil society. And in fact, I recall when I was there, the 1617 Roman Catholic Church was actually trying to help people to prepare to participate in democracy 1618 when that democracy was still just a dream and a hope. And so in some ways.... there would not 1619 have been NGOs during the course of that regime if it was not for the Roman Catholic Church 1620 providing a safe space for that. 1621 1622 PANEL 4.1 How Can Academic Programs Be Reshaped to Integrate the Role of Religion in 1623 Latin American/Caribbean Public Life? 1624 1625 **Kathleen Bruhn**: The panel today, all of our panelists, have some experience with administering 1626 various programs in either religious studies or, in the case of Dr. Lynch, the Center for Global 1627 Peace and Conflict Studies. Our own Giles Gunn leads the Global Studies program here, and I 1628 know that we have several others around the room who have been directors of academic 1629 programs, interdisciplinary programs in particular. And the challenge of discussing religion in 1630 the academy is one that we all face. So I look forward to hearing the comments of each of our 1631 presenters. 1632 1633 We are going to start with Dr. Lynch since her name is listed first on the program, although it is 1634 out of alphabetical order. I don't know how that happened exactly. But Dr. Lynch is a professor 1635 of political science at the University of California, Irvine, has studied as she mentioned earlier, 1636 not Latin America, but in general the issues of international relations, peace movements, and 1637 religion and ethics. [She] reminds me a bit of a friend of mine and former colleague, Dan

Philpot, and looking at the use of ideas and the influence of ideas in international relations. So, Dr. Lynch.

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

1647

1648

1649

1650

1651

1652

1653

1654

1655

1656

1657

1658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1638

1639

Cecelia Lynch: Thank you... Thank you Mark for inviting me. And thank you very much for your introduction. The program I direct is not a course program. We are a research program at UC-Irvine, but I do teach classes in international humanitarianism and also religion in world politics. And knowing something about the Orfalea Center and the program, the master's program at UCSB, I have been thinking all day, "What does what everyone has said... tell us about what students need to know as they're going to be entering this kind of field?" And it strikes me that there needs to be an awareness of intersecting landscapes - the landscape of religion and politics in Latin America and the landscape of the development of this field of humanitarianism... and NGO work as a couple of the speakers have alluded to. So one question that I have for some of you from NGOs is "To what degree is it really important to know something about Latin America?" And of course, if you are going to go work in Latin America... and as a scholar it is always important to know about the region that one is going to. And I was thinking about what we began the day with - the current, horrible tragedy in Haiti, and obviously the unhelpful words of Pat Robertson that we all know about, that Claudine was alluding to this morning as well as what I heard the Haitian ambassador say on the Rachel Maddow show the other day when she was asking him about what was happening at the time. In the midst of all this tragedy he said, "I want to take a moment to respond to that [Robertson's comments]." And he went back into history, and he said the Haitian revolution is a critical point in history that is often forgotten, and that if it were not for the Haitian revolution, the US would not have the Louisiana Purchase at such a cheap price. And he also talked about the impact of the Haitian revolution on Simone Bolivar and all the independence movements throughout Latin America. And to me what that says is that, knowing the history is important, and knowing one's internal memory, domestic internal memory, and regional memory is also very important.

1664

1665

1666

1667

1668

So with that said, I want to move a little bit to the religious landscape. And I think one of the... even though I am not a Latin Americanist... from the outside, looking at Latin America and being interested in the difference, the ethical difference over time that liberation theology made, one of the things that we see in Latin America over the past forty years is this tremendous relationship

between religion and politics, especially Christianity and politics. And one of the things that liberationism did, although it did it overtly - it was not that it was not there before - is make this relationship very explicit. And so I think that going into the field it is important for students and academic programs to take account of the religious landscape in this region of the world and what it has meant, both in Latin America and to other areas of the world. And clearly, from what everybody has said today, that religious landscape includes primarily Catholicism, but it is a very dynamic religious landscape that also includes Evangelicalism and Pentecostalism and also indigenous forms of religion. And all of those have their institutional components and also their social justice components. They also have their political components even though some of those are more overtly expressed than others. At least, this is what I am drawing. So if one is going into the field, ideally academic programs to prepare people to go into the field should be talking about that landscape and also that landscape as dynamic and not simply as a static landscape. Just as liberationism today has a checkered reputation and did not live up to the potential that its adherents and promoters set out for it, at the same time, a number of you have said that it is still there. It is still there in base communities, and it is still there certainly in the ideologies of a number of NGOs that work in the region. Pentecostalism seems to be what a lot of people who are interested in religion and world politics are interested in right now, and that is extremely important. At the same time though, we also need to be aware of what the political limits and the internal, ethical contradictions of Pentecostalism might be. For example, between the prosperity gospel and this whole idea of empowerment of the poor, what kind of tensions are there in that, just as in the Catholic Church and liberationism? And also as somebody who has become increasingly interested in Africa and working in Africa, the presentations on indigenous forms of religion and the way in which they are intertwined with everyday practices of other forms of Christianity is also incredibly important. So just that complexity of both the genealogy of the religious landscape and the different meanings that different faiths hold with their relationship with politics and what is possible in Latin America is important.

16941695

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

1682

1683

1684

1685

1686

1687

1688

1689

1690

1691

1692

1693

I also, listening to the talks today, found some interesting points that are important about the transnational humanitarian landscape. And one is the issue of definition that Katherine and others have pointed out - "What is humanitarianism today? What is development today?" And as

Thomas pointed out, "What is an NGO today?" And all of these we have a general idea, but the

definitions are somewhat in flux and also might be situationally contingent, might be somewhat different humanitarianism for some religious groups than for some of the secular groups, for example, or the UN. The issues of relationship with the State continue to be something that students who are learning about religion in civil society need to understand. And again, one of the issues that Haiti brings to us is the necessity of understanding the capacity of the State, the simple things of, "Can the State provide airfields?" or "Can the State provide... infrastructure...?" And then, "What are the limits of NGO work?" Also, as part of NGO landscapes and intersecting with religion are the existence of long-time religious institutions in, whether we call it humanitarianism, development, and whatever kind of work. But education and in health that is something that any program training workers or talking about religion in civil society in Latin America has to talk about or has to teach about. And also both the possibilities of contestation between religious groups and cooperation among them on certain issues. In Latin America, the cooperation and the contestation also has to do with historical memory about human rights and human rights abuses, and that line then between. That definitional question of "What is humanitarianism? What is development in Latin America?" also has to deal with the question of "What is building a democratic society?" and "What is the role of memory in human rights?" I will just end by saying again that Haiti does help us bring to the fore both the immediacy of a lot of the problems that programs that want to train people to work in global civil society and understand religion confront because of the richness of the religious landscape there and the fascinating part of it, but also brings us to both the immediate necessities of relief in this incredible tragedy and reflection on the way Haiti has been discriminated against because of its revolution. And ... there are certain historical reasons as well for why Haiti has been in the position that it has been in and always to keep in mind looking at the immediate as well as thinking through the genealogy of the past.

1724

1725

1726

1727

1728

1700

1701

1702

1703

1704

1705

1706

1707

1708

1709

1710

1711

1712

1713

1714

1715

1716

1717

1718

1719

1720

1721

1722

1723

**Kathleen Bruhn**: I am going to ask Dr. Wellman to go second. So [indistinct]... Dr. Wellman is the chair of Comparative Religions at the Jackson School of International Studies, and although like Professor Lynch he does not work in Latin America *per se*, he has also dealt at some length with the area of religious culture and its impact in society. So we look forward to what you have to say.

1730

1731 **James Wellman**: I have changed my talk about three times since I have been here today. So I 1732 feel a good deal of ambivalence but not about you. I enjoy being in here, but it has been a 1733 learning experience. I do American religion and politics and culture. And more recently I have 1734 done US religion and American foreign policy and was going to comment on the Haiti situation 1735 as well, but you told that story, so I will not do that. One of the interesting things about Haiti, 1736 not only was it a problem which allowed America to take the Louisiana Purchase, but it became 1737 a symbol in the South as to what could happen if the blacks became free. And that, as far as I can 1738 tell, was really a part of the nineteenth century and the reason, in part, for continued oppression 1739 of that nation. So the relationship of the United States to Latin America is of course important 1740 and growing in importance, but the most recent thing I have done is on mega churches, and we 1741 did a study of eleven national ... large mega-churches and out of that we did three hundred 1742 interviews. And... there is a sense in which it changed the way I think about religion, and I want 1743 to talk about that, but I want to talk a little bit about Latin America, evangelical culture, and what 1744 I think about it. And that is, from this research on mega-churches, in these 300 interviews which 1745 I coded and looked at, what was important to these people in these congregations was not a belief 1746 system but a form of deep desire and longing for what they call the divine. And I did not expect 1747 to find that... I expected to find some theology, and I found none. Now that could be a 1748 compliment or not, but what I did find was an enormous desire and for a sense of God's 1749 presence, a sense of God's activity, a sense of healing, a sense of hope. And it was so 1750 overwhelming that I recently wrote a paper on six forms of desire from the data that I collected. 1751 And I began to really reflect and really rethink my own thinking about religion. I began to 1752 construct this definition of religion which is "A socially enacted desire for the ultimate." And 1753 this relates also to work I have done on religion and human security. And we came up separately 1754 with a definition of human security as a desire for human welfare, a need for human rights, and a 1755 deep sense of the need for social and subjective freedom and autonomy. And so I began to think 1756 that there is a structural, cultural relationship between religion and the movement for human 1757 security as we have seen in the UN and other places more recently. And then reflecting more 1758 empirically and historically on Latin American religion, (I have not done empirical work in Latin 1759 America, but I have read others who have done that research) and there are a series of factors 1760 which we can learn from or I can learn from Latin American Christianity. And I want to share

1761 those with you, think with you a little bit about "What is the relationship of evangelicalism to 1762 political culture?" And that is where I will end. 1763 1764 The first thing, and Jennifer said this before, is the multiplicity of Christianities. The more you 1765 study Christianity, the more multiplied it becomes. And as I said with the mega-churches, I don't 1766 know what kind of Christians these are after reading the transcriptions. How would you define 1767 them? As people who desire the ultimate. But that is not very helpful. Anyway, it was 1768 fascinating, again, as far as I can tell, Latin America is a variety of Christianities. 1769 1770 Second thing (and this is something also that I have discovered in my own research) is that the 1771 contradictions that we think of if we do not know about them, we think there are contradictions 1772 between this-worldly and an other-worldly stance among Evangelicals today, and I do not think 1773 there is one. I think they are perfectly at home thinking the other world is coming and they are joyful about it. And they are perfectly at home thinking, "This world is fantastic, and I am going 1774 1775 to prosper in it." And there is no contradiction. I think some liberal scholars or scholars who 1776 have no relation to religion think there is a contradiction, and I have not experienced it. It is 1777 both/and. The same with the spirit and the body. Perhaps it used to be that the spirit and body 1778 were dichotomous. Now spiritual health, bodily health, it is both/and. And this physicality which 1779 I have seen in evangelical culture, certainly in Latin American culture in what I read, is just so 1780 powerful and it is obvious. There is no need for these contradictions. The next supposed 1781 contradiction is between divine agency and human agency. I think it is always both. There is 1782 both this powerful sense that divine will work and we can ask for everything, as well we can be 1783 humans who have drives... I was reading David Martin's work on this. I think he makes a great 1784 point that the change, a shift, over the last fifty years (and this kind of goes to Fernando's point 1785 of entitlement) that is "I don't have to give in to fate, I don't have to give in to destiny. I can 1786 create my own through the working of God in my life." So there is that contradiction I don't 1787 believe is there. 1788 1789 And then, finally, and this is complicated, and I haven't figured this out. And maybe you have. 1790 But "What is the evangelical?" "What is the political?" "What is the political polity that results

from evangelical culture?" And we can say, "Well, it is manyfold," and we can say, "Well, the

New Testament has no political governmental polity that's inherent to it." You can take anything you want. But still, I wonder, what is it? And my sense is that it is really more a culture than a politics, but I will say a little bit more. And that is, within the evangelical culture that I have looked at is, the power of the family is always critical. Small groups are another family through which I am held morally accountable for my actions. It is neither conservative nor liberal, and Otto... we have talked... [and] you gave me great examples of both, conservative, liberal - what are these people? And you find this, and certainly in American evangelicalism as well. It is generally egalitarian, but certainly authoritarians can pop up. But in my experience (and I would like to hear more about it from Latin Americanists) these congregations will not allow these pastors to become too hideous in terms of their authoritarian behavior. I sense when I study these evangelical mega-churches, these pastors have power, but it is conditional. If they preach well. If they do the right thing morally, etc... One more thing - that there is no metaphysic of a common good. And so, somebody was talking about "Well, how are we going to get together in Guatemala and talk about what we can do for the common good?" There is no philosophical mechanism to reflect on that, as far as I can tell, within evangelical culture. And that is, it is generally libertarian, generally ahistorical, and therefore not much historical reflection going on here, and really no real systematic sense of how to create a common polity. And to this point, no real attempt to do so that I have seen. Anyway, those are unhelpful or helpful comments that I have.

1810 1811

1812

1813

1814

1815

1816

1817

1792

1793

1794

1795

1796

1797

1798

1799

1800

1801

1802

1803

1804

1805

1806

1807

1808

1809

**Kathleen Bruhn**: Thank you. And finally, last but not least certainly, Dr. Giles Gunn, the director of the program at UCSB in global and international studies. He also does work on literature, but literature as it intersects with culture with religion and is therefore housed in the English department, but has wide-ranging interests. And I hope among other things that he will touch briefly upon the master's program in the program for Global and International Studies which is in fact attempting to train people to take these kinds of positions in global and development agencies... So, Dr. Gunn.

18181819

1820

1821

1822

**Giles Gunn**: Like everybody, I am sure, today I am a little humbled at the thought of taking up questions like the ones that we are considering in the face of the enormity of the crisis in the South. I also think, though this may be a personal prejudice, that of all the questions that you

have posed this afternoon and morning, the one that this panel is organized to confront is probably the least interesting. [laughter] In any event, I could answer the question fairly simply as somebody did on an earlier panel, "How Can Academic Programs Be Reshaped to Integrate the Role of Religion in Latin American/Caribbean Public Life?" My simple answer would be, in innumerable ways, but it depends. And that probably means that if I go on to answer this question at all, I am going to have to talk to you a bit about the complexities it has aroused in my mind. And this risks getting the grade of F, not C- that Tom was in endangering himself with. But in any case, it may be that by sharing with you some of my own confusion about this question, I can at least provide places where the rest of you can find traction and the discussion can go forward. It would be helpful to know in the first instance, given the question, just what kinds of academic programs we are talking about in addition to the academic program I have some responsibility for along with Mark Juergensmeyer. In the second, it would be useful to know exactly what is meant by religion and how one is to assess its role as in, I suppose, the part it plays, especially if one is interested not only in differentiating the no doubt various roles that religion does or has played or might have played in this connection, but also if one is interested in evaluating their constructive as well as unconstructive or even destructive consequences as we were talking about it in the panel just previous. So a third imponderable is related to the Latin American/Caribbean public life itself, which I would assume is quite different and various throughout the Americas, but is mainly examined or can be examined with academic seriousness in departments and programs that include concentrations and emphases in this part of the world. In other words, this kind of study, it seems to me needs to be fairly concrete. All this, I suppose, is pretty obvious but it does matter. Different academic programs in the

1843 1844

1845

1846

1847

1848

1849

1850

1851

1823

1824

1825

1826

1827

1828

1829

1830

1831

1832

1833

1834

1835

1836

1837

1838

1839

1840

1841

1842

All this, I suppose, is pretty obvious but it does matter. Different academic programs in the social sciences and the humanities have different parameters and methods, and while none of them are fixed or given with the disciplines that make them up, all have their limits as well as their limitations. It might be easier to mount an inquiry into the role of religion in some aspect of civic life in a History or an English, or an Art History, or a Communications, or a Geography, or a Chicano/Chicana, or, for that matter, a Religion Department than in an Economics, or an Environmental Studies Department, but it would in any case play itself out in relation to the diverse idiosyncrasies of whatever department is considered.

In any case, I suspect that this dimension of the question is slightly loaded insofar as it has a particular kind of department or program in mind, or at least an academic program with a particular agenda. And that agenda would be the study of civil society, or at least public life, as it bears on the rest of the question about how that form of study will reshape the structure of said programs so that they can integrate inquiry into the role of religion. Religion is not, as we all know, one thing but a great many. To some it is an institution, to others a theology, to still others a creed or set of scriptures, to still others a group of narratives, to yet others specific feelings, again to still others an assemblage of aspirations or merely inclinations. In truth, every complex religion we know about is comprised of all these elements, any one of which by itself or in combination with others can inspire or has inspired religions to involve themselves, whether for better or worse, with public life. Nor is this, as a matter of fact, all. Numbers of societies contain a good many hidden as opposed to visible religious formations, some of which are folk based or indigenous as Cecelia was saying and only loosely organized, and not a few of these religions exist and sometimes thrive in the Latin America/Caribbean world somewhat out of public vision or sight but not necessarily without public consequences.

Nonetheless, the religious issue – whose religion are we talking about and what in fact do we mean by religion to begin with – is a good deal more complicated if we think about the kind of religion that not only makes itself felt in public or civil spheres but that takes expression consistent with those spheres. One of the most dramatic forms of this public manifestation of religion, though the Latin American and Caribbean worlds undoubtedly possess something analogous, is what in the United States, at least, is known as itself civil religion. A distinctive religious formation different from all the denominational varieties belonging to say, American Protestantism, and easily differentiated from Western or Eastern Catholicism, or Judaism, or Islam, or Buddhism, or Hinduism, or Confucianism, or Shinto, to name just the big eight, though quite happy to exist alongside them. American civil religion was first identified back in the fifties and associated with a common core of beliefs widely shared by most citizens in what was then called "the American way of life" and associated with the promotion of democracy. Insisting that this common core of beliefs was not a distillation of the creeds of all American religions or even necessarily compatible with all the beliefs of more mainline, convention religious bodies,

1884 the man who proposed this definition of civil religion in America, Will Herberg, had found the 1885 fundament of commitments to democracy that upheld and explained American civil society. 1886 1887 But soon thereafter this kind of spread-eagleism was challenged by another church historian, 1888 Sidney Mead, who argued instead that the real civil religious alternative to traditional 1889 Christianity and Judaism in the United States was created by the Founding Fathers in their, as he 1890 put it wittily, monotheism of the First Person of the Trinity, a religious formation centered on a 1891 creative God who left evidence of his intentions in the orderly patterns of the book of nature. 1892 But Mead was himself challenged by a more famous sociologist, Robert Bellah, who argued that 1893 civil religion in America was by no means confined to the ideas of the Enlightenment but simply 1894 set for itself a task somewhat different from traditional faiths by attempting to re-situate the 1895 nation's entire cultural experience in a transcendent or sacred perspective. Neither a sectarian 1896 offshoot of rational American Protestantism nor a sacralized version of American Protestantism, 1897 civil religion in America constituted for Bellah a system of national symbols and rituals that 1898 construe the American political experience in representative government as a decisive event in 1899 what Old Testament theologians liked to call 'the mighty Acts of God." Documents like the 1900 Declaration of Independence and the United States Constitution, Lincoln's Second Inaugural, or 1901 Jefferson's Act for Establishing Religious Freedom in the State of Virginia all served as sacred 1902 scriptures of this social and political religion. But Bellah was himself then quickly challenged by 1903 somebody else, another sociologist named, John Murray Cuddihy who pointed out that Bellah's 1904 heavily Protestantized version of American public religion was merely itself a religion of civility 1905 bent on managing sectarian diversity through the promotion of religious amiability. But if this 1906 religion of civility was most preoccupied with good manners than good morals, it was 1907 nevertheless, Cuddihy concluded, possibly indecorous, since it was always invading the sacred 1908 precincts of more traditional faiths or religions to render them religiously inoffensive, even 1909 innocuous. 1910 1911 One could go on with this. I merely wish to point out that this civil religion does confuse matters 1912 a good deal in countries like the United States, but does exist, it seems to me, at least as a 1913 potential in all those countries whose development of state identity is supported by a strong sense 1914 of national identity, since nationalism as an ideology always seeks to create a kind of sacred 1915 ethnos surrounding itself which can very often define things in particularly this way. 1916 1917 I should also add that, in the United States, this kind of civil religious formation has been 1918 radically challenged by a tradition of writers and thinkers, moving from, let's say, Mark Twain to 1919 Doonesbury which sees much of it as merely a theological form of cultural pretension, and they 1920 seek to remind us that over against all these proud commodores and custodians of our pretended 1921 virtues, both national and transnational, there is a different world, a world of the vulgate, the 1922 ordinary, what Ralph Waldo Emerson referred to in "The American Scholar" as "the common," 1923 "the familiar," "the low," and the vulgar" that specializes in by turns comic or ironic 1924 transgressions against its supercilious solemnities. This is part of what clearly animates some of 1925 the newest religions in America, such as the Internet's Kick-Ass Post-Apocalyptic Doomsday 1926 Cult of Love or its Church of the Bunny, together with the Holy Temple of Mass Consumption, 1927 the Jedi Religion, Church of the Profit, and The Church of the Last Laugh, dedicated to St. 1928 Stupid, whose formations are neither stupid nor uncivil. Let's not forget that the Internet is 1929 quite clearly the largest and most unified site of civil society despite the fact that it is 1930 unregulated, boundary-less, and, as we say, virtual. And there are also many sites on the internet 1931 which of course specialize in religious practices such as prayer, meditation, and pilgrimage. And 1932 then there are also those sites that deal with alternative religions, with outworn religions, or, as in 1933 the case of some of those I've just mentioned, fake religions. 1934 1935 But I have gotten ahead of myself. If America has its civil religions that are engaged with one 1936 another in shaping or misshaping civil society, whether they should be or not, so clearly do many 1937 of the societies that make up Latin America and the Caribbean. In the Caribbean world, or Black 1938 Atlantic as Paul Gilroy has named it, some of their effects in fact are very conspicuous inasmuch 1939 as that world, composed of peoples produced by the slave trade but defined by all the movements 1940 of emancipation, cultural expression, nationalist aspiration, historical memory, and religious 1941 longing that now defines them was created in considerable part out of common struggles for 1942 freedom, for political rights, and for citizenship. But how many of those struggles were 1943 informed and supported by religion, or at least by Roman Catholicism, and how many were 1944 informed and supported by the resistance to that religion? These are questions that are

fascinating to pursue, and that could be, and no doubt have been pursued, if or when any academic program has decided to turn the Black Atlantic into a laboratory for the sake of exploring them.

But this leads me to my third problem with the question. What do we in fact mean by Latin America and the Caribbean? In what sense are its linkages religious and in what sense not? Are enough of those linkages yet available for inquiry when, as in the case of Argentina, I was informed by several of our civil society actors when I was there this summer that religion played almost no role in civil society because religion, or rather the religion of the state and the elites it served, lacked much interest in civil society. This surely does not mean that religion was without its influences in the conduct of Argentinean civil society, but one would need instruments to assess that role that could measure the effects of its absence or indifference. Or, again, there is the case of a country like Bolivia, or, for that matter, large parts of Ecuador, where there is little civil society as we would recognize it perhaps, and yet where religion does have an impact, for good and ill, and undoubtedly much of both, on public life, whether that life is organized or informal and improvised. And so one could continue.

Little of this would matter if our question was a bit clearer, but its very openness tends, at least for me, to blur its focus. Can the roles that religions, I would prefer to say, have played, or do play, or could play, or should play in the Latin American/Caribbean world be examined academically? I would answer yes. Can academic programs be reshaped to accommodate that focus? I suspect they might, but it all depends on the program. Should this focus involve integrating the role of religion in Latin America/Caribbean public life? I would prefer to leave that up to the citizens of the Latin America/Caribbean world, but this is the question that I think the title of our panel somewhat begs. The real issue here is: to what purpose, and to what end? What is it that we really do want to find out, or, rather, what is it that we might want to do?

**Kathleen Bruhn**: We will open up the floor now to questions and comments.

**Mark Juergensmeyer**: I just wanted to follow up on Giles's comments because, my friend, you and I are about to do just what the question implies because we decided as a faculty in our

master's program in global and international studies to create four tracks for the second year: one in development, one in environment studies, one in human rights, and one in religion and culture. And the religion and culture faculty are Esther Lezra, myself, and a guy named Giles Gunn. So at some point, probably sooner than later, you and I and Esther are going to have to sit down and figure out what we want to do with our course because we talk about having at least one central course in the area of religion and culture for this graduate program in global and international studies. And I do not know about you, but I am kind of curious how this is going to come out. And I also kind of wonder how we are going to approach it. I do not quite know what we are going to commonly agree upon because all three of us are going to be teaching this course, I imagine, at one time or the other, we are going to try to agree on some basic things that we want to include in the course. But at least for my purposes, I would like you to have at least a big chunk of the course be case studies, to take like the Church of Satan that Thomas Tighe talked about ... So I do not know. At the risk of putting you on the spot, Giles, what is it you think that we might end up doing?

Giles Gunn: At the risk of putting Mark on the spot, I will be happy to answer that question. As Mark does not know because he happened to be absent from the meeting in which this was decided [laughter] the faculty unanimously voted to remove the word religion from the focus that is going to be devoted to culture and globalization, not because it was in any sense uninterested in studying religion but because it felt very uncomfortable isolating religion as the name of only one focus in our program. That is, people wanted to reserve the right to talk about religious issues in relationship to globalization and the environment, globalization and human rights, globalization and governance, as well as globalization and culture. But by the same token everybody felt that we would have to take this up in relationship to very careful considerations of exactly what sorts of situations we wanted to think through. And so what you might want to do, that is put case studies into however you might organize this course, sounds terrific. That might not be what I would want to do. I might want to talk about religion in relationship to issues of cross- and inter-cultural understanding. Others might want to talk about religion in terms of the way in which ideas of human rights have been informed by religious traditions, could be revised in relationship to certain religious traditions, or in any case going to have to be rethought in relationship to other religious traditions. So I think the idea was simply that, in this case, what we

were wanting to do was to take account of the complexity of considering religion in relationship to the things that we were setting out as the foci of our program without making religion the subject of a single one.

**Audience Member:** I've got to leave, so I just wanted to make a comment to James. You might be interested in the work of Andrew Chesnut on Pentecostalism in Brazil. He says that they are looking for the "numin." And what I say is they are getting high. But they are not all getting high... Most people do not achieve the divine in their lives even though they are striving for it in Latin America. Thank you.

Otto Maduro: Contributing a couple of pieces to ideas about what to teach when teaching religion in the Latin American and Caribbean, two things are important that we already mentioned and discussed in this meeting. One, which should never be missed is the role of the US in the shaping, interruption, and reversal of social, economic, political and religious processes in the region. And three case studies come to mind but there are probably thirty or fifty: Venezuela 1948-58, the overthrow of the first democratic experiment in the history of Venezuela; El Salvador, 1980's (I think we all know about that); and then Haiti, the two removals of Aristide from power and the process in between. And second, which is not unrelated to the first, but is different, is the role of the IMF, the World Bank, the WTO, and the US in imposing among others policies of privatization of productive units of any kind including education and hospitals, deregulation, reduction of state expenditures except military and police expenditures which are the only ones that they never impose a reduction on and other policies that have been imposed in recent decades on states over the world including Latin America and the Caribbean that have ended up disabling Latin America and Caribbean states and governments as actors in the processes of meeting basic needs and of responding to humanitarian emergencies and therefore have basically automatically thrown in the lap of NGOs, including churches, the task that the state is increasingly prohibited and hindered from doing, which is social expenditures and responding to humanitarian emergencies.

**Kathleen Bruhn**: I am going to take advantage of sitting here and ask one question for the academics and one for the practitioners in the room. And my question for the academics is,

having tried to teach American college students about religion in Latin America, there is a problem that we have with false cognates in that they assume that the role of religion in Latin America is the same as it is in the United States. So they identify religion with a particular brand of social conservatism, and they completely do not understand Christian Democrats, Liberation Theology, and all these other things that are going on in Latin America. And they are very surprised to learn that there are people in Latin America who were both evangelical Christians and social progressives. This does not make sense to them. And so my question to the academics is, "If you were to go at the American college student, what would be the main things that you have to teach them that are different about Latin America from what they experience of the role of religion in public life in the United States?" And in a related vein, the practitioners in the room, I would like to know what you see as the problem with academics coming down to study you and find out what you are doing? What are the misunderstandings that academics seem to carry away from them as they look at the work of NGOs who are affiliated with various religious organizations?

James Wellman: Well just two things... When you teach American religion, the sad side of this is that fundamentalism in a sense has colored everything people think of American Christianity, and evangelicalism in particular. But what you can do and what I do or try to do is say the nineteenth century, an evangelical in the nineteenth century [was] much different in terms of his or her social and political perspective than post-1910s America. And even in the 20th century, you can have Evangelicals for Social Justice and you do have them. Their voice is simply not strong. And so you know, there are many examples that you can take from the American scene to say, hey, wake up folks. Fundamentalism is an aberration, historically, in Christian history. Period. And most of them will say, "Fundamentalism is Christianity, isn't it? It's always been that way." And it is a real problem though.

**James Wellman**: I am not sure if there is a great solution.

**Jennifer Hughes**: I was going say, your question, Kathleen, is really exactly to the point because there is this incommensurability of these two ways of living and thinking and being in the world in a way. The other thing that I have seen in the classroom trying to teach say, liberation

theology, in the classroom is that another kind of mis-recognition where students from evangelical backgrounds say, "Oh, well, that's what we do. We do that... we read the Bible. We have bible studies. We work in poor communities." I have to say I have struggled with that and I don't know... I would like to know the answer because it is in some ways an encounter with the religious other. Right? And so, as a professor of religious studies, we are always trying to broker these encounters with the religious other that does not homogenize in a way, ... but I think the question of Liberation Theology is particularly difficult. Particularly difficult.

Virginian Garrard Burnett: ... I teach a course called "Religion and Society in Latin America" and I face that all the time. But I completely agree with Jim that fundamentalism is an aberration and they do not know that. And we talk about that and say, what about the civil rights movement in the United States? Who was involved with that? What was Martin Luther King Jr.'s day job? He was a Baptist preacher. And you know, they are like, "Damn, that's right. Never thought of that before." But as a pedagogical tool, the thing that I have found and I do not know if it is working, but in my imagination it is working, is to let people read what people themselves are producing... Let them look at the murals that were produced in Nicaragua. Let them listen, because you can get it on YouTube (I think YouTube is a great teaching device now), they can listen to Archbishop Oscar Romero give his last radio address with English subtitles on YouTube... And that is true if you are reading about nineteenth century missionaries, let them read letters that they wrote, and you can see that they are not the jingoistic people that they think they are. Just let the people speak.

[Audience: That must be especially hard in Texas]

**Virginia Garrard Burnett**: Well you know actually, you would think. But actually in that particular class, the majority of the students are Mexican-American Catholics. So they are coming with a different point of view anyway... or Mexican-American Pentecostals.

**Kurt Frieder**: I am trying to answer the second part to your second question. I can not talk for all practitioners as you mentioned or NGOs. I can talk only for myself. We have nothing against

academics. We have nothing, really none. We think that from the theoretical they give us the theoretical background that we certainly use from time to time... First of all, we have to divide. We have academics in the south and academics in the north. Academics in the north means the world is being seen from the United Sates and Canada and Mexico and the North... and South America is in the South if you are looking at this part of the world only. We would like to see the opposite way, we are on the top and you are on the bottom. That is number one. Because if you are on the top, you patronize. You go down with the idea. It happens many, many times that things that are developed in the north are being sent to the south as revealed truth. And we would like more people to put their hands in the mud and to see what is really going on. I learned a lot of things today here, but I must say that I learned most of them from people that have been in the South and that are working in the South. And that means in the South, seeing as Latin America and the Caribbean are the South. And we have nothing really [against] academics. We are working at my foundation... we have a lot of academic people that are studying the issue and are going with us to the communities and are studying the communities and researching and preparing the materials that we are using later on in the actual work. But the problem comes really when you receive a book, you have to follow a recipe coming from the north, or from the US in this case. The main problem is - it has to do with the thing that Otto said - the multinational organizations, the World Bank, the UNDP, all those big international corporation funds that are being really managed by academics, by theoreticians and not by people that have experience of working in the south. And the recipes are not always what has to be done or what is really useful for the communities. I repeat myself - I have nothing against academics, really. They are very good to write books and so on. [laughter]

21212122

2123

2124

2125

2126

2127

2128

2129

2130

2100

2101

2102

2103

2104

2105

2106

2107

2108

2109

2110

2111

2112

2113

2114

2115

2116

2117

2118

2119

2120

**Denis Garvey** (Audience Member): I don't mean to pick on academics either, but we used to subscribe to a thing called Foundation Search. I think we paid about 2,000 dollars a year, which is a lot of money for us to have computer access to funders who give money, and it seems to me (and I am only one person) that of the one hundred fifty top foundations in the US, they are not in the least interested in programs on the ground. They fund policies and studies and stuff like that. Or they will fund the University of Manchester because it is doing something. But they are not in the least interested in something that our organization is doing. They tell you right up front, "If you're asking for program funds, please don't come to us. We can't be bothered with

you." It is just odd to me, but that is my experience. So after three years, we dropped our relationship with Foundation Search because it was useless to us. We get a lot of money from groups in the US who happen to be religious women - Dominican Women of XYZ. It is odd to me, but maybe they understand preferential option for the poor too. Thank you. Sarah Kline: Well, I'm an academic, but I also feel like I'm a subversive. In the course that I teach in the Latin American and Iberian Studies program, I talk about commodity chains, sustainable development, and NGOs. And part of what informs my lectures there is the work of Heifer International, and I also make presentations on micro finance and the various kinds of work that NGOs do. And quite frankly, after that course is over, I get lots of emails from students who want to work in NGOs, not just read about them, not just hear the theory, but actually work in them. One of my history students actually ended up in the MA program in Global and International Studies. [He] did an internship in Columbia and is now working at Direct Relief International. [audience comment] Yeah. Exactly. I'm evangelical for the kind of work that can be done, and theory is fine, but I'm the Praxis Axis. And this is the kind of thing I want to see happen. So there can be a useful dialogue between academia and work that can be done on the ground. **Kathleen Bruhn**: Although there is a risk there. I once had a student come back to me and say I owed her fifty bucks. And I asked her why. And she said, because you taught me about the World Bank, and I went to the protests in Washington and I got arrested. [laughter] And you owe me fifty bucks. That was my bail. **Sarah Kline**: I tell all my students... I was in college during the late sixties and I said, one of my achievements was never getting arrested because you don't want to have to check that box, "Yes, I have been arrested." So, this teach[es] in all kinds of ways. **Kurt Frieder**: I would like to add that we've received a lot of students from the United States from different universities that come to [indistinct]. And we take them always... We take them to our outreach [indistinct]. Sometimes we also use them for translations and things like that that we require... Part of the commitment when they come to the foundation is they have to go

2131

2132

2133

2134

2135

2136

2137

2138

2139

2140

2141

2142

2143

2144

2145

2146

2147

2148

2149

2150

2151

2152

2153

2154

2155

2156

2157

2158

2159

2160

wherever we go, and we take them to the suburbs and we take them to the community. And many of them after they came back to the States continue studying here and continue [to be] related to the foundation, and they write to us and they are really seriously thinking of coming back to Latin America and continuing doing this kind of work. That's great.

Victoria Riskin: I think increasing numbers of students are interested in the practical, in the real world partly, at least American students, because they're children of 9/11. And it was a wake-up call. There's a world out there. It's angry. It's complex. It's hurting. It's angry. And how can I understand that? And then how can I take my sense of helplessness and do something constructive and become empowered? So whether it's Heifer International or whatever or your organization or Fonkoze, I think young people want to feel that they are part of the army of good so that academics have a responsibility to nurture that along but give context and realism along the way.

As an NGO doing human rights work, I have two different, completely opposite reactions to academia. I sometimes find academia frustrating and theoretical and disengaged, from my point of view, not that it's in fact that way. But when the war broke out between Russia and Georgia, we didn't want to sit around and talk about it. We want to deploy and stop the atrocities from happening. So we're all about getting out there and getting onto the field and figuring out what's going on. But the other side of me says that to be good at our work, we have to understand historical context, social context, tribal context, religious context, and that has to help inform how we look at situations. And so, in that regard, academia is incredibly invaluable. In addition to which, the ability to step back and look at trends and where we have been and where we're going in a particular [movement] - say in the human rights movement - is invaluable to... and what are the principles involved? Because when I work with young people, I want to make sure they know that there's an underpinning, that human rights are not built on "airy-fairy" ideas but that there is a body of knowledge, of laws, of treaties, agreements. And wherever you start that journey of educating students, that's invaluable. So whatever impatience I have in moments of crisis, I'm indebted in the long run to what academics bring to students.

**Katherine Marshall**: Well, these are big questions, but as somebody who has come from the practitioner world into academic just a few comments and some of my surprises. The first, I've thought for a long time that one of the largest bodies of knowledge that exist is what there is in practitioners. And almost by definition, a lot of the practitioners don't write. They don't have time. They may or may not have time to reflect, but there's very very little... [It is} very difficult to tap their knowledge. And that's true of most global institutions, whether it's CARE or Catholic Relief Services or UNDP or the World Bank etc., these huge networks which make at least some effort to try to draw the threads together, but there are a huge number of broken links. In other words, it's very difficult. It takes a really wise leader whether at the global or at the regional or whatever level it is to be hearing all of the different pieces and then making it into a coherent story. And there's far too little effort to do that. In fact, a lot of the policy work and the analytic work is done by people who are viewed as academic within institutions like that. And academic, you realize, is a bad word. Basically, it means detached from reality, somewhere up in the space cadet or space capsule or something. But this challenge of trying to tap the real knowledge and wisdom of practitioners in an enormously complex world hundreds of different countries and societies and situations, it's part of what we're trying to do with our interviews finding ways to draw that out from the individuals in ways that then has to be used. But at least that's part of what has inspired me on that. So you do have this huge wealth of information very very poorly tapped and very very poorly analyzed.

Coming into the academic world, I had looked forward to sort of this wonderful paradise of reflection and ideas and knowledge and curiosity. A couple of things that have really surprised me and coming into the academic world apart from the unbelievable union card business of "If you haven't done this stuff you have no standing within the academic world" which is frankly weird, but that's another issue. But I find the decentralization of the academic world incredible, that there's all this knowledge but the integration... and that is actually very different from the operational world where there is at least a forced effort to integrate - to try to integrate across sectors, across disciplines. And it's fairly disciplined. I mean, if you're dealing with HIV/AIDS, there's a genuine effort to say "What is the experience? What is the evaluation and monitoring?" Whereas in the academic world, everyone does their own thing, and that really is something that... was very surprising to me. And I've been very surprised by the general lack of curiosity. In

2223 other words, it's very seldom that people are really asking questions. They come with theories 2224 which they're testing more than the kind of openness that I'd expected. But those are very 2225 personal observations. 2226 2227 Just one last comment, I'm not going to rise to the bait of the World Bank [or] IMF, but I do 2228 have one reaction which is that I think there needs to be a proper discussion of the history 2229 because I think there's a lot of myth out there. And sometimes when I hear this from students, I 2230 wonder "Where in the hell did you get that?" And I think I have some insights into where it came 2231 from. 2232 2233 James Wellman: Well, I was just going to respond. In terms of the core courses in the 2234 international studies program at the Jackson School, I like them very much because in part, the 2235 goal is to explain the modern world and how we got here. And my course, the one I do for about 2236 250 students, is called 'Intercultural Relations in an Interdependent World.' And it really is the 2237 culture course, and you can bring religion in, which I do, but I don't do much of it because for 2238 whatever reason in my context ... and I've asked students this... I'd say most of the students don't 2239 find religion relevant to understanding the contemporary world. That's a problem, but what are 2240 you going to do? That's the way it is. But the project that I give them at the end of this course is 2241 a practical/moral project. They can pick any cultural, political conflict in the world historically or 2242 in the contemporary world, and then they have to go analyze it. And they have to make some 2243 determinations about their bias coming into it, what they've learned, and what, in a sense, they're 2244 going to do about it. And, you know, one of the amazing things coming out of that course is 2245 those papers when they come in. It's unbelievable, the incredible diversity that you get and the 2246 moral engagement that is going on with the students. So, I don't know if that helps, but to me 2247 that's very exciting. 2248 2249 Cecelia Lynch: A couple of things... One, in terms of what one should be teaching or what 2250

Cecelia Lynch: A couple of things... One, in terms of what one should be teaching or what module should be [implemented]... Far be it from me to say whether religion should be a separate module or not. But... when I was at an SSRC conference in San Salvador in 1998 (So it was after the end of the civil wars) and at that time a lot of the NGOs appeared to be more technocratic than religious based and there was a big influx, so it seemed, of secular NGOs. And

2251

2252

2254 anyway, as part of this conference, we were all grantees, and I'm very grateful for the grant, but 2255 even though a lot of people's projects didn't have to do with Central or Latin America, the SSRC 2256 McArthur Foundation had gone around San Salvador and found a number of experts to come and 2257 talk to the group. So there were people from political parties... there were people from technical 2258 NGOs who were there to build pipelines and bring electricity and plumbing to poor 2259 neighborhoods and slums. And there were some scholars too to talk about the history. Well, my 2260 project was on religion and I used the opportunity to call up some people at the... Central 2261 American University run by the Jesuits. And I did speak to a couple of people including a base 2262 community organizer and another person I tried to talk to was John Sabrino, one of the big 2263 liberation theologians, and I didn't get a chance to meet with him, but I remember I felt very 2264 embarrassed because he said "Oh, the SSRC MacArthur foundation's having a conference there 2265 and they didn't invite any of us?" And there was nobody there to talk about religion. Now, I'm 2266 eternally grateful that they funded my project and a couple of other projects, but I think it was a 2267 sign of the times. And one of the advantages of the LUCE foundation project and the SSRC now, 2268 (I mean everybody's in on the act of trying to figure out what this is), but I think that what 2269 Sabrino was saying was in part we've had a tremendous impact... Even if it's ultimately a 2270 minority movement, the legacy of liberationism in El Salvador in the late 1990s was huge. And 2271 for a major North American organization to go down and ignore that was also significant to 2272 them. So... whether it's a separate module or not, it just reminded me of two things. It needs to 2273 be incorporated very strongly... I'm a Latin Americanist, but I think that some of the discussion 2274 of international financial institutions, for example, and this goes back to this overall ethos of 2275 liberationism and whether contemporary groups are different or similar or whatever was the 2276 structural critique so I do think that it's worth while to think about regional emphases and 2277 interactions with religious movements and what is the legacy and the meaning of that. And 2278 whether it's accurate or not, clearly, from what I've heard here and from what I've known in the 2279 past, the structural critique that has emanated out of Latin America in the last generation remains 2280 quite powerful. Whether that's accurate of say World Bank actions today or even then is a matter 2281 of debate... But... obviously US actions and other institutions are part of that. And it seems to me 2282 that... to study or to think about religion in civil society in Latin America for potential NGO 2283 activists, that those are issues that really should not be ignored. The whole legacy of the 2284 structural critique on the one hand, and on the other hand the variety of religions and the way in

which there's been better reaction against that structural critique. And what is it that people go into today when they go into Latin America? They go into these layers that play a role. Again, I'm saying that as a non-expert, but it strikes me as important.

Giles Gunn: Thank you. Well, I want to go back and reaffirm something that Vicki said which is that I find myself, to refer to my own experience, that like Mark, I teach at least two courses with 300 students very much on the model of the one that Jim teaches. I find that the students are extraordinarily open and interested. In fact, there's a moment that I keep telling my TAs every time we get ready to start it off again which is that about half way through this course, you're going to find a kind of transformation taking over in a classroom of 300 people. They suddenly grip down and begin to awaken, they get it. And what they get is not just the interconnectedness [indistinct] or the ways in which globalizers tie together on so many different levels, but they get in particular, because this course like many of Mark's is suffused with religion, how much not just forms of faith but religious constructions, religious needs, religious pathologies, and the rest shape the world that they are now living in. And I do find as well that they are very open, whether they come from traditional evangelical backgrounds or something else, they're very open to thinking that that is not the only way to think religiously or feel religiously. That's the first thing I would say.

The second thing then is that I do believe in fact, despite what I said, that indeed religion should be a primary factor in the kind of teaching we try to promote about the global. And in fact, we made that the case in many of our undergraduate courses. We haven't done very well in our graduate program. But I do believe that we will do better at it if we open, as it were, all the foci or emphases or modules of the program up to considering the religious elements and influences in these realms of experience than if we simply segregate it into one. That's a judgment call but I've been studying and teaching religion for now a bit over 40 years. I think this is a good one. In any event, I can't speak for how it needs to be inflected in the teaching of things in Latin America and the Caribbean world, though I'm very interested in that world. But surely in our program, religion is going to continue to become a still more important ingredient in the way in which we try to open up the meaning of world experience.

2316 **Kathleen Bruhn**: With that, I'd like to thank these panelists and those panelists for a stimulating 2317 session. And I'll turn it back over to Mark. 2318 2319 Mark Juergensmeyer: I want to thank the panel very much and thank all of you. In the last few 2320 minutes of our gathering together I'd like to invite comments along the lines recommended to 2321 me, in fact demanded, by one of my grade school teachers, Mrs. Beasley, I think her name was, 2322 my homeroom teacher. We would all come back at the end of the day, and she would look at the 2323 class and she'd say "Now, boys and girls, what did you learn today?" And we would all have to tell one thing that we learned different every day we had to think "Oh my goodness, Mrs. 2324 2325 Beasley's gonna ask us. I have to remember something that I can say that I learned today." And 2326 I'm not going to put you all on the spot. I'm not going to go around the room and ask all of you 2327 what you learned today. But I hope that some of you will contribute some thoughts as Kurt did just a few minutes ago, but what he learned differently, some insights that he had or gained from 2328 2329 this gathering that he didn't have before. And I'm going to start off by telling what I learned 2330 today. 2331 2332 What I learned today was that the subject of religion and civil society in Latin America is on the 2333 one hand a very local thing. It is local in the sense that Haiti is not Argentina, and Argentina is 2334 not Venezuela, and Venezuela is not Mexico, and even within each of those countries as we 2335 learned in Argentina if you are talking about the north it's quite different than talking about 2336 Buenos Aires and talking about the [indistinct]. They're all different areas and different kinds of 2337 people. And of course, religion and the way in which religion functions is also a very local thing. 2338 There are many Christianities as I think Jim and several other people have reminded us. There is 2339 not just one kind of church and one kind of religious response in Latin America. 2340 2341 But at the same time that all of this is very local it is also very global. And it strikes me that 2342 religion in Latin American civil society is global in at least three different ways. When we are 2343 talking about religious involvement we're talking about networks and institutions that are not 2344 just Latin American. We're talking about global Christianity and institutional structure, whether 2345 it's Roman Catholic and the various branches of Roman Catholicism or whether it's 2346 Pentecostalism or evangelicals that reach beyond the area. And the interaction is a very vital part of the way in which religion functions in the region. We've seen that with the Evangelical missionaries and the Pentecostals. The same is true of course with the global civil society institutions, that they are sometimes local but they're also in many cases a part of a larger international network sometimes based in the US, sometimes based elsewhere. And the interaction between the local and the global is a very interesting situation. As Thomas Tighe indicated that Direct Relief International works through local NGOs. And many of these are NGOs that are based in a particular location, and that interaction and calculating that interaction (knowing what those signals mean, knowing what it means to be humanitarian in that context) is a juxtaposition of local and global perspectives. It's also global in terms of the interaction of the State. The State was an invisible partner in this activity and an invisible subject in our discussion today, but an important one. But State action in Latin America is, as in the rest of the world, is very much a globalized activity. That state action in Latin America is always conscious of the US and the US role, and as Otto Maduro reminded us, it's not just the US but institutions such as the World Bank and the World Trade Organization and the IMF. And even though we may misunderstand them or bring myths about them into our discussion, there is no question that these institutions play a role bringing the globalized world and understandings of what the standards of an accountable society or development must mean within global terms in a way that affects state policy, and therefore the way that it affects religion and NGO activity.

23642365

2366

2367

2368

2347

2348

2349

2350

2351

2352

2353

2354

2355

2356

2357

2358

2359

2360

2361

2362

2363

So the discussion today, it seems to me, touched both on issues and interests and activities that are in one sense very immediate, very local, but at the same time global and in that sense affect all of us. So that's what I learned today. What did you learn today?

23692370

2371

2372

2373

2374

**Mary Becker:** Today I gained an appreciation for how an idea which never was a majority of people, in this case, example number one, Liberation Theology, how many times it's been spoken today or alluded to, and just how powerful it has been even though it was never espoused officially by a large number of people. And yet it has animated a number of things in a number of the countries that we've talked about.

2375

2376

2377

**Kurt Frieder**: Well you, Mark, already mentioned what I learned today. I learned a lot of things really today, especially that there are a lot of people in the north worried about what's going on

2378 in the south. And sometimes, not knowing the south really in depth, but at least are worried about 2379 it. And for me it was an incredible experience to be here. Thank you very much. 2380 2381 **Katherine Marshall:** I think one thing I come away with reinforced is how problematic it is to 2382 try to put together a coherent picture on trends and directions. I think it's difficult for anything... 2383 I mean, we know about demography. We can pretty well figure out demography and a few other 2384 areas like that. But religion is multiple times more difficult because almost every piece of the 2385 data is difficult. But we don't know where Pentecostalism is going. We don't know really what 2386 the impact of behavior is even in a single country much less in the region. Very difficult to know 2387 in a way that does reflect all of the experience of what's happening with the Catholic Church. 2388 Again, [we] should be more knowable [about] other things because of the elements of 2389 decentralization. But when you try to figure out what the orders are doing and how they're 2390 thinking,... we've got a lot of work to do is one of the things that I learned. 2391 2392 Another thing that I hadn't mentioned before... Well of course it's fascinating that you can have 2393 a meeting on roughly the same topic that has a completely different set of discussions. In other 2394 words, most of the issues that I had said had preoccupied the meeting we had on Latin America... 2395 almost a year ago... the agenda of topics that people were concerned about and that they brought 2396 to the table were very very different. And I'm not even sure what to make of that, whether the 2397 accident of whoever's there is the right people? Or whether it's some selection bias. I don't 2398 know what it is. But it's interesting nonetheless. But there was one issue that came up that we 2399 haven't mentioned very much but that is very action focused. That in the discussions with people 2400 who were from, World Vision, Catholic Relief Services, in other words a lot of the NGOs, but 2401 also some of the actual churches, there's a tremendous hunger for networking. But also a 2402 frustration as to what the networking would actually consist of because the people are so busy. 2403 They say they don't really need more emails. They don't have time to go to more meetings. They 2404 don't have time to read, but they have a tremendous hunger for networks, which I think comes

back to something that's been mentioned a couple of times, the challenge of using

communication well and creatively. But the issues are tied together. It is this question of trying to

put together the different visions of the elephant, of very complex local realities. But [there are]

some very important overall trends, some huge gaps between hopes and aspirations and realities

2405

2406

2407

-constant new challenges. And if you start from the perspective, say for example, of millennium development goals - specific things people are trying to achieve - or human rights or whatever, gender equality, and the huge gap between what is actually happening... how do you try to find ways to use these networks to have a more effective adding up? Those are still burning issues.

Otto Maduro: I'm going to talk only about the last thing that I learned because there are many things that I've learned throughout this meeting. And I'm going to preface that with saying that I am what I call an "Epistemological Masochist." That is, I like my convictions to be shattered every so often and the oftener, the better. And listening to Katherine and her perception at the lack of curiosity among at least some of us in regards to several issues, including, I gather, the World Bank... one of the things that came immediately to mind is I've been listening to Haiti news since the earthquake almost nonstop. And one of the things I heard twice was the new director of the World Bank expressing the conviction that things were not done well by the World Bank in the past and that there is some kind of rectification or transformation or rethinking of the ways the World Bank acts in the world. I dismissed that, and it was only when you [Katherine] pointed the lack of curiosity that I learned my lack of curiosity and the need for me to be a little bit more curious and more consistent with myself. I insist with my students and myself that institutions are multifaceted, institutions change. Why wouldn't I give the benefit of the doubt to the World Bank and take a second look especially after hearing the new director saying that things were not done so well in the past and that things are changing in the World Bank. I feel your critical remark as an indirect invitation to be humbler, more self-examining, and more curious about, among other things, the World Bank. Thank you, Katherine.

**Toby Volkman**: As someone who doesn't know that much about Latin America, I learned so much, but... in so many ways what we learned here today resonates with other parts of the world in terms of the complexities of religious traditions, religious actors, religious institutions, the importance of sorting out what's an institution, what's the church, what are local folks doing and thinking? The need for specific knowledge about context and history, the importance of history, the challenge of having academics and activists of various sorts meet in the same room and try to see what they can learn from each other. I thought it was very interesting... [when] Thomas [was] talking about the play of "Who's doing what for whom, or using what in what way?"

2440 2441 Just one thing I'd mention on the global point that Mark made, I thought it was interesting we 2442 didn't talk about a couple of things. We didn't really talk about immigration. I would have 2443 expected there to be more discussion about what role the flow and movement of people, 2444 especially recently is playing in religious change or religiosities. And also communication, 2445 although it was mentioned a couple of times as a tool. But what about the internet? What about 2446 social networking and social media? Is there a digital religious movement or movements going 2447 on that are also affecting these kinds of changes? So just a couple of questions - very provocative 2448 discussion for me. 2449 2450 **Thomas** Tighe: I was hoping to avoid it [speaking], but thank you. First of all, in... anything that 2451 involves religion, don't invoke Satan! [laughter] That was my first learning [moment], check 2452 yourself a little bit. And I think again as you said .... how much I appreciate the nature of 2453 academic work requires looking back and being reflective and waiting for the data to assemble. 2454 And the nature of what I'm doing now... you have to look forward and make decisions often on 2455 the fly with imperfect information. And rare is the opportunity to have both at the same time so 2456 you can actually make a more informed judgment that you have to make with access to great 2457 thinking that is burdened by reflective thought and has a lot to offer. We always learn from the 2458 same mistakes, and we'll learn them again in Haiti. And people know about them. So the integration of what is learned in the academy to translate down (the applications of them) are 2459 2460 real. They're needed, and they are often disconnected from the judgments being made. 2461 2462 We have conferences all the time where people want to apply the best practices, and I've always 2463 hated that because if we take that to its logical extreme, no one will ever have the best practice. 2464 We're just chasing the tail. No one will ever do something different if we only follow the best 2465 practices. You need the engagement of folks who don't think like you do, disagree with you, mix 2466 it up with you, and shatter whatever you've formed on. That's important that the academic 2467 community can do. And I get concerned when I think it seems like they're trying to please each 2468 other and conform to a norm. Mixing it up hard is really important, working in politics... it's a 2469 way to do it that's not physically violent but intellectually challenging. As one of my students

said, "A wise enemy is far better than a foolish friend," which I will now adopt as my own. But

2471 it's apparently an ancient Iranian saying. So I appreciate [this] very much... but I'd rather be a 2472 wise enemy than a foolish friend. And thank you for the invitation. 2473 2474 **Marianne Loewe:** I think my understanding and appreciation of Haiti has been deepened today. 2475 I thank you for that. I was really happy to hear that the methodology of Paolo Frerri is used in 2476 many places including our work, and that's a huge way forward for our world. The third thing 2477 was... I believe with Jane Adams that the way forward for all of us to transform our world is to 2478 do it together, not individually. That's been affirmed here today as well. 2479 2480 And I have a story about communications that I want to share with you, a simple story and short. 2481 A year ago October there was a serious flood in the town of Las Cruces, where we work. In the 2482 little house where a couple of the health promoters were sleeping, right next to the clinic, one of 2483 the indigenous men noticed in the middle of the night that ants were invading his cot. So, being 2484 an indigenous man, he couldn't knock at the door of the woman who was next door to him 2485 because that would not be the appropriate thing to do. So he took out his cell phone and he texted 2486 her to let her know that there were ants on his bed. And so she texted back to him and said, "well 2487 shake them off." And he said, "No. The ants are up on my bed because the waters are rising and 2488 we need to evacuate." I just thought it was a great story about communication, social networking, 2489 and indigenous peoples. 2490 2491 **Sarah Cline:** Well that's a hard story to top. As an academic that has quit going to conferences 2492 because I'm tired of the same old blather, this one has been terrific with its diverse viewpoints 2493 and academics who are engaged in very interesting research topics but also practitioners on the 2494 ground who are doing terrific work. And I have been very pleased to be part of this conference. 2495 2496 **Jennifer Hughes:** The state was sort of a hidden subject but present in our conversations. It 2497 was interesting for me to hear NGOs say we need stronger states. This idea that we need 2498 strong states for that social function of the state that NGOs can't replace that. In the light of all 2499 this thinking about transnational and post national. Also a little bit of effort to try to figure out 2500 what is the realm of NGOs in that public life. What is the role of the state? 2501

2502 **Virginia Garrard Burnett:** Does the emperor have no clothes? When we started off this 2503 morning there is such incredible need and urgency in Haiti. It is real and it is right now and I am 2504 going to talk about angels dancing on the head of a pin. As we have gone through the day I have 2505 felt a little bit better I have learned that NGOs are not universally hostile to religious workers. 2506 Those of us who are in the academy and aren't on the ground can provide some sort of context 2507 and ideas for people who are really doing it. Perhaps we are providing some sort of a useful 2508 service in that. I hope so. 2509 2510 **Fernando Lopez-Alves**: Satan is worried about world hunger! [laughter] One of the things I 2511 learned again today is this false dichotomy. It is a false dichotomy. Academics and practitioners 2512 I think this is a false dichotomy. Fifty years ago we would not be here sharing the same table. 2513 Why? We had two different worlds. We are not the only ones. The other thing is about the 2514 relation between NGOs and religion. What is the problem really? It is a good thing that this 2515 connection is being built and seems to be working. Religions and NGOs can come 2516 together...The same countries that are being the subject of oppression have representatives in 2517 those institutions...This is just to tease Otto Maduro! He is looking at me with respect which is 2518 something weird that I have never seen before. NGOs and religious organizations are working 2519 together. 2520 2521 **Kyrah Daniels:** Being reminded that there are so may approaches to "development;" political 2522 economic and cultural approaches to development. My own research is in cultural approaches 2523 and resistance...have provided models of resistance and rhetoric. I am going to take away that in 2524 addition to looking at short term relief efforts which include water, food, shelter, and medicine, 2525 we may think that these are things we need to talk about right now for short term goals and long 2526 term goals. But we also need to thing about considering religion as a sustenance for short term 2527 and long term. 2528 2529 **Claudine Michel:** ...it is a moral imperative to do engaged scholarship there is no other way to 2530 go about it. Theory and praxis there is no choice...merging academia and community. I do 2531 want to thank everybody for engaging the situation in Haiti and for your compassion support and 2532 long term work. Whether it is in the field or with your academic work. To bring us to the

practical, every time that Nadege or I stepped out of the room it was to learn about more
 destruction in Haiti. The destruction of the community library looking for one specific project
 Thank to you all on behalf of the Haitian people.